

Comments

Replied to a comment on [Keith Woods Is Wrong About Consciousness | TPS #894](#)

@Erin Magner See you on the other side of the book burning.

7 minutes ago

Commented on [Laura Loomer - OK, Loomer - "YOUR WELCOME" Episode #124](#)

This is an important interview Michael - I recommend that you take off the ads.

23 hours ago

Replied to a comment on [Keith Woods Is Wrong About Consciousness | TPS #894](#)

@Erin Magner A being evolved to process information accurately in increasingly arbitrary/complex environments must be capable of reason (and the veracity of this reason must be assumed to engage in conversation/language). They are likewise motivated to make consistent (as opposed to self-contradictory) decisions based on their available information and precoded assumptions (genetic continuity goals), because the cost of error is high (risk evolutionary dead end for themselves or their kin/population). I don't ascribe to deconstruction, but see language as a set of shared definitions/relationships for the purposes of communication. Words can have multiple meanings and parts of speech depending on their context, but this does not invalidate the concepts being referenced. The reason people accept exploitative ambiguity/overloading is not because language is inherently relativistic, but because they are either ignorant of these manipulations or don't have the courage to highlight/challenge them. An alien civilisation would develop a similar set of concepts to describe our external world, because they are typically the most adaptive (efficient) categories to encode and convey this information. Similarly, a civilisation that attempted to mass manipulate language would die out by natural selection (in competition with its neighbours).

There is a difference here between prescriptive and descriptive morality; there is nothing in nature prescribing behaviour (and I would argue there can be nothing at all because even an authority's commands would have to be questioned based upon some standard of reason). There is however something objectively real we have traditionally called morality, exhibited cross-culturally, and which happens to correspond to the application of logic in decision making (over immediate whims or desires, etc). For example, with respect to theory of mind, there is no reason to treat another different to how one would expect to be treated by them all things being equal (genetics/environment), because the only reason one treats themselves well is because they value themselves, their brain encodes this value, and it can be assumed like others share this same encoded sense of value. This model predicts for example why we see particularly imaginative, idealistic, religious and moral motivations being introduced in situations of materially beneficial and thus adaptive proactive war (as rationalisations) - because evolutionary drives are otherwise contradicted by reason based on the equivalence of desire alone (to survive). But this doesn't preclude the possibility of a progressive accumulation of knowledge (word) leading to a more parsimonious/nuanced behaviour over that of raw control, given that we are motivated towards reason on an individual cognitive level.

Note I might describe reflective desire or energetic will specifically as volition, expectation as being related to prediction, and memory as a general phenomenon associated with all neural learning. The intuition of a subjective agent operating and causally directing energy towards goals is a perspective I have once shared but do not currently think necessary to describe mind. I currently believe we make decisions based on reason and often commit to these decisions irrespective of the focus seemingly required to achieve them (based on a reflective and deterministic ordering of priorities/desires). If the nature of the physical system doesn't absolutely (finitely?) determine behaviour and will plays a role above and beyond this (over that described by the physical system), then one must concede a form of metaphysical libertarianism. For example, Robert Kane's "free volition" with agentic will power determining the outcomes of physically indeterministic neural events (or Libet's "free won't"). As above I currently reject libertarianism, but it is not clear this is what you are arguing.

Show less

4 days ago

Replied to a comment on [Keith Woods Is Wrong About Consciousness | TPS #894](#)

@Erin Magner Although this is probably obvious again but just to be clear; for a person to remember the expectation (and act on their memory) that expectation must be stored in the brain (bar interactionism). We can in principle observe and decode the memory to determine the expectation/concept (along with the neural correlates of its feeling/valence with respect to qualia). I haven't read Nietzsche but take in general a more positive/altruistic view of materialism, in that we must necessarily conclude the universe has evolved beings capable of reason (or else any statement/proposition/communication is meaningless). I view morality as equivalent to the application of reason to assumptions regarding the world (for example theory of mind). Moral relativism/intuition largely identifies differences in cultural norms and knowledge, along with evolutionary motivations, as distinct from any overall processing or reasoning regarding these assumptions (of which any arbitrarily ancient behavioural disposition may give rise to contradiction, or cognitive dissonance: wretchedness, as per Aristotle/Boethius etc).

Show less

4 days ago

Replied to a comment on [Keith Woods Is Wrong About Consciousness | TPS #894](#)

@Erin Magner The only way there could be a lack of concordance (mapping) between physical and mental reality is with substance dualism of some kind (non materialism), and in which there exists a functional/causal interaction between them. Whatever the nature of the mapping under physicalism it must satisfy the conditions of supervenience (there cannot be a change in the mental without a corresponding change in the physical; cf anomalous monism). Interactionism is of itself a form of metaphysical libertarian free will, and there are a number of reasons to be sceptical of this, for example;

- what controls the mind including its decisions if not physical law (the brain), and so whatever controls the mind would have to be defined elsewhere (at another, empirically inaccessible, layer of existence).
- what reason is there to make a free will decision if not logic, and logic itself is a deterministic process (and can thus be encoded in a classical physical system).
- what are the laws of interaction between the physical and mental, and if they are sufficiently powerful to influence human behaviour,

they would have to be detectable/observable above the quantum level and thus break existent law (there is doubt ionic flow/neural firing is susceptible to quantum effects, so as to have any interaction hidden within already indeterministic processes).

Show less

4 days ago

Commented on Is Academic Freedom at Risk?

"As publicly funded bodies you should not be taking actions motivated by activism or politics. The significant support that you receive from the taxpayer is an acknowledgement of the important cultural role you play for the entire country." - Oliver Dowden

6 days ago

Replied to a comment on Keith Woods Is Wrong About Consciousness | TPS #894

@Erin Magner Under non-reductive physicalism the laws/functioning of phenomenological consciousness are equivalent to the laws/functioning of physical systems (empirical consciousness), as mental reality supervenes on physical (ie independently observable) reality. The belief in (brain's model of) phenomenological consciousness certainly relates to our survival (and is evolutionarily adaptive), but the reality of this belief does not (it is functionally redundant under non-reductive physicalism; cf Jaegwon Kim on overdetermination). Why a physical system would happen to evolve certainty in something which serves no functional purpose in its evolution but happens to be true is another question. This anomaly persists for panpsychism also. It could be a precondition of a functioning mind (being real, emergent from natural law, and certain of its reality/contents), and thus be selected for within a multiverse of possible laws/substrates via the anthropic principle.

Show less

1 week ago

Commented on Keith Woods Is Wrong About Consciousness | TPS #894

There is nothing in the laws of nature which necessitates that any physical system has phenomenological consciousness (humans, brains, brains in vats, aliens, robots, humanoid AI, monkeys, mice, reptiles, plants, bacteria, viruses, rocks, particles, etc). Empirical science describes/models "observables" (physical properties), and phenomenological consciousness (mental properties) is by definition empirically unobservable (cannot be measured by objective experimentation). We can however infer its existence based on a philosophical assumption (e.g. strong emergence), and subsequently use science to identify likely candidates of similar instances of phenomenological consciousness to our own.

- Weak emergence refers to higher level observable phenomena (e.g. computational model of a phenomenologically conscious agent stored in the brain's neural circuitry) that emerge contingent on and constituted by lower level observables (e.g. neural network firing).
- Strong emergence refers to non-observable phenomena (e.g. phenomenological consciousness) presumed to exist, and emerge contingent on observable phenomena (e.g. computational model of phenomenologically conscious agent stored in the brain's neural circuitry).

Empirical consciousness (ie observable behaviour/speech/information processing) certainly emerged from neural circuitry (weak emergence), it being adaptive for an organism/AI to have a model of itself as a conscious agent (more likely to exhibit behaviours to avoid death). Phenomenological consciousness (strong emergence) is however irrelevant to the evolution or functioning of an organism/AI. There is nothing in the laws of physics that keep phenomenological consciousness from appearing, however there is also nothing in the laws of physics that necessitate that it will appear. Assuming the laws of nature provide a complete description of the observable world, the organism will evolve and function perfectly without phenomenological consciousness. The brain will have a model of a conscious agent, but there is nothing in the laws that necessitates this model corresponds to a real subject.

The belief in strong emergence is an assumption (A) based on a projection of a subject's belief in internal awareness to their material/observable self and like physical systems.

a) a phenomenologically conscious being can however have no certainty in the existence of other phenomenologically conscious beings.
b) furthermore there is no experiment which can currently be conceived to deny the existence of phenomenological (proto) consciousness or its hypothetical association with any physical system.

We can derive a set of theoretical neural correlates of phenomenological consciousness in like physical systems (e.g. humanoid, primate, mammalian, reptilian brains), but we cannot therefore state that a) all such systems must (by necessity) exhibit phenomenological consciousness, or b) only these systems will exhibit phenomenological consciousness. Given that the theory relies on the initial projection/mapping assumption (A).

00:43:50/1:55:34/2:30:00 - "minds appear when babies appear in the world"/"why do these consciousnesses show up in physical babies"/"consciousness always shows up in babies" - Arguments for materialism are different than rebuttals of the hard problem of consciousness described above. There are a number of good arguments for materialism. For example;

- all existent entities presumed to exhibit phenomenological consciousness (see A) require material consciousness (ie neural systems modelling a phenomenologically conscious agent) in our experience.
- any phenomenological consciousness would likely require laws to describe its operation, and these may as well be the physical laws of a universe.

2:40:06 - "my feelings are absent from the philosophical zombie's brain and i could detect this from a good enough scanner" - If a philosophical zombie was any different physically than a non-zombie then, by definition, it would not be a philosophical zombie. That is the point of the thought experiment of possible worlds in which a hypothetical universe could evolve biological machines acting exactly like philosophical zombies (phenomenological consciousness is functionally redundant under materialism).

1:01:50 - "there can be proto versions of the thing and they may not have all of the elements of the future thing" - What is the difference between this materialist position and panprotopsychism? Which subsets of space-time (material complexity) exhibit proto-consciousness and which do not? As above there is no existent experiment that can be conceived to test this.

Show less

1 week ago

Commented on EBL 34: Aristotle "Politics" (Books 1-4)

Thanks for the interesting analysis. What is almost universally missing from public discourse today is a distinction between freedom of action (modern liberalism) and freedom of speech (classical liberalism). It is possible to have one without the other.

2 weeks ago

Commented on Your Questions Answered - Bret and Heather 45th DarkHorse Podcast Livestream

34:59: "pro-life isn't particularly pro-life" - I think the phrase pro-life is poor as it is typically referencing something else (something which is or will become a phenomenologically conscious human being if not for human intervention, based on the assumption the majority of adult individuals would prefer not to have been aborted). The likelihood of phenomenological emergence requiring high level physical/biological complexity (e.g. high level neuronal functioning) under metaphysical naturalism is heavily disputed. However to imply that artificial abortion is "pro-life" by a more empirical metric (e.g. human flourishing, ancestral behaviour, etc) suffers from the naturalistic fallacy. Technically genocide is pro-life, in that it regularly facilitates natural selection.

This critique doesn't dictate policy (as any legal protection would likely depend on the developmental stage and probability of death by natural causes), it just suggests artificial abortion is something we as a society would likely want to avoid at all costs (based on our limited understanding of the nature/emergence of phenomenological mind). There clearly are other methods of avoiding unwanted pregnancy, absent rape; for example sacralising and engaging in long-term reproductive strategy relations (equal male/female genetic quality and shared material/reproductive resources) rather than prototypical short term reproductive strategy relations (comparatively higher male with comparatively lower female genetic quality without male resource commitment).

Show less

3 weeks ago

Commented on Physicalism and Panpsychism, Or what is evolutionary emergence?

What should be distinguished here is empirical consciousness (ie observed behaviour of an entity exhibiting what humans believe in their experience to be consciousness) and phenomenological consciousness itself (what philosophers are typically referring to - mental properties under property dualism, mind under substance dualism, an inherent subjective experience of possibly arbitrary arrangements of energy under panpsychism etc). Empirical consciousness can be viewed as an information processing adaptation in that an organism which values itself as a subjective being capable of experiencing pain/pleasure/etc is more likely to survive than an organism without such belief. It can be assumed that an encoding of the concept of a subjective self benefited intelligent agents. But there is no empirical demonstration that a natural or artificial system which declares itself to possess such being (subject) in fact does. Empirical science only makes claims with respect to observables, or hypotheses that can at least in theory be denied by observation (and any claim of phenomenological consciousness cannot be denied by experimentation/measurement).

Rather we project our beliefs onto nature; our self-assurance of existence following experience, and therefore the likely correspondence between organisms/CNS like our own and internal mind like our own. Non-reductive physicalism assumes a mapping between the belief, behaviour, or neural correlates of consciousness and the mental properties themselves, but it offers no explanation for why this mapping exists and the bounds of this mapping (its extension to rocks for instance or arbitrary subsets of space-time in which certain biological processes are occurring). Panpsychism deals with the apparent overdetermination of mental reality (its functional redundancy under physicalism/naturalism), by assuming it is an inherent property of all/most/many states of nature, but as yet offers no explanation for which physical subsets should be assigned a unified coherent conscious experience (the combination problem).

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on The ADL Defames Bitchute, Declares it a "Hotbed of Hate" Hahaha

Speech censorship orgs are terrorist hate squads that don't belong in a free nation and directly violate the intention of the first amendment. They need to create their own ethnoglobalist utopia and terrorise their own citizens with their preordained dogma. Tyranny only creates destabilisation - and those wielding it tend to be intellectually challenged.

1 month ago

Commented on Portland Antifa Shoot a Trump Supporter in the Street

Do not take any action. However people should be allowed to use whatever words they want including the word invader. The problem is not speech it is a lack thereof. Let everyone speak and be done with the institutional play pen designed to keep the low GI population sedated and obedient. Censorship is like the ring of power; once someone has tasted from its well they cannot help but increase their control on thought. History repeats itself every time tyrants are overthrown and have their finger cut off. The USA wanted immunity from this cycle and forged the first amendment, but it has been abandoned by wizards in their gated ivory towers. They spell bind kings and suppress rumours of war.

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on Metamodernity, the Integral Left, & Postmodern Traditionalism (dialogue with Brent Cooper)

Ethno-globalists need to demonstrate that evolution does not create preference for genetic proximity (wrt both long-term reproductive strategy target selection and altruism), especially in organisms with high powered facial recognition systems and whose ethnic proximity can be reliably distinguished by humans/AI. If individual organisms and their genes were only concerned about their specific genetic variations and not those shared by their population (the shared genome from which a successor species will likely emerge if ever) then why did diploidism/sex evolve? At every new generation an organism sacrifices half of its specific variations to be merged with another organism, and this cuts straight through its existent genetic units. Evolution is optimising for the survival of the lineage to the next species, and it is willing to sacrifice an individual's genetic variants for the opportunity of creating something new and more successful than anything currently in existence. Likewise, interlineage competition arises where the product of any specific/prospective reproductive event will result in a more distant organism to the population/tribe mean genome, therefore posing a resource allocation risk to the population if it were to be cared for the same as more proximate members (extended kin selection).

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on Mindscape 111 | Nick Bostrom on Anthropic Selection and Living in a Simulation

Here are two issues with the doomsday argument;

1. There is no reason to assume humans/Homo sapiens exclusively constitute an observer in this context. We can expect a vast number of other organisms to exist on/in the planet/universe, all of which constitute observers, and only a fraction of organisms to evolve past us (including simulations). It is only valid to use humans as a reference class in the context of predicting the physical/chemical/biological conditions (laws/substrates) required for equally intelligent/sentient agents to evolve/subsist; ie classic anthropic reasoning.

2. It is invalid to apply the random selection/sampling argument to spatio-temporal coordinates in of themselves: ie it is invalid to claim that it is any more probable (in of itself) to be born at one time/space in the universe than another. For example, out of a framework of 10 space/time coordinates, there is equal probability of finding oneself at coordinate 7 as coordinate 1 or 10. The cosmological principle still holds however; when for example predicting whether we should likely expect/find ourselves to exist in a rare local minimum/maximum with respect to some varying physical property (e.g. CMB temperature), absent priors/evidence influencing our bayesian analysis, we should sample (monte carlo) between all possible observers and predict the most probable observation within these. Contrarily, if we have no theoretical predictions regarding physical property expectations, then there is no reason to assume our observable universe is any different than that beyond our horizon in this respect. Likewise, one can't propose a multiverse (simulation) and then declare we are more likely to exist within it (ie be in a simulation rather than being on the basement level), because there is no known/hypothesised/predicted physical property which can differentiate between these.

Tentative conclusion: If the doomsday argument holds, then it is operating on assumptions not inherent in either classic anthropic reasoning or the cosmological principle.

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on "Activism Has No Place in Journalism" - Claire Lehmann

45:00 - monetisable no but ultimately of equal economic value because without good mothers the next generation of elites would never be born or thrive. Likewise economies can't survive/globally compete without good mothers within stable families, as they tend to generate monkeys that end up psychologically ruining the next generation of females (through short term reproductive strategy activation) and thus population fertility rates. The problem with current notions of economic value is that they don't take into account the long term survival and success of the lineage, which is what evolution is ultimately motivating us towards. Economic models that cannot model genetics and citizen satisfaction/trust/hope/confidence are dysfunctional.

We know that evolution is motivating us towards population survival (the evolution of the greater genome shared by the population rather than the survival of individual selfish genetic variants) because reproduction involves meiosis rather than mitosis. Theses on natural selection are incomplete if they can't explain the near universal adoption of sex/diploidism. And this will be particularly true in species with adept facial recognition systems and whose genetic proximity can be reliably distinguished by these systems/AI. Only a fraction (if any) of the population will experience evolution to the next species, and so nature has evolved to maximise the probability that at least some variations of their lineage make it. Social/economic collapse is downstream of poor biological modelling.

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on 2025 The path to political power EP2; Patriotic Alternative

Political parties only work via a minimal viable product. People need to choose what they share in common, everything else is a weak point which will be used to subvert their cause by bad actors. FYI nations that prevent electoral registration of political parties are not democratic.

1 month ago

Commented on Why Do Men Obey?

It could be argued that what is currently lacking in the west is not strictly disobedience but willingness to go against the crowd. Financial and reputational and thus evolutionary survival incentive are being weaponised by political terrorists. If more than 1% of the population complaining about these dystopian conditions put their name to their opinions it would make any attempted culling of their persons/voices a lot harder. The herd would likely respond by going full totalitarian and create mass campaigns to socially destroy the dissenters which would then bring to light their hatred/hypocrisy. The police are good people and don't require any more evidence of human nature. (Although it is ultimately every civilian's responsibility to prevent evil from prevailing, and under totalitarian systems this may require civil or anonymous disobedience).

As Eric Weinstein has said the only people willing to question the system themselves openly have fu money, and I would add here have probably already fulfilled their direct evolutionary goals. Although I typically disagree with the takes here on male subjugation, in this instance I will make a sex selective claim. Female desire for social cohesion/stability (against short term reproductive threats ie assault from genetically distant and disinterested outgroups) along with wealth and status control evolutionary punishment, and is ultimately the force being relied upon here by the antispeech hate squad. Conformity derives from mimesis and the need for highly malleable neural networks to learn in highly malleable and inherited environments, but it is also the tool of every tyrant and sophist lacking an argument. Wouldn't it be so easy just to delete their account?

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on Laura Loomer Wins Florida Primary: Will She be Replatformed?

Deplatforming and shadow banning is election interference.

1 month ago

Commented on Big Tech Censorship and Classical Liberalism

Speech is extraordinarily powerful. Which is why tyrants must suppress it. If the masses didn't bow to terrorists their illusion of power would be short lived.

1 month ago

Replied to a comment on Mindscape 107 | Russ Shafer-Landau on the Reality of Morality

@m e m e m a c h i n e According to the model of morality proposed desire has nothing to do with morality/reason. Desire influences bias/priors in reasoning, but the concept of reason itself (here described as morality when applied to decisions) follows abstract rules of deduction/induction/bayesian inference etc and these are of themselves independent of specific prior beliefs/axioms. Reason requires processing of information, so desire cannot of itself give reasons independent of such processing - it can only give erroneous or badly formed "reasons" (rationalisations).

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on Happy Indigenous Peoples' Day!

Human beings have learnt to conserve forests.

1 month ago

Commented on Mindscape 107 | Russ Shafer-Landau on the Reality of Morality

16:20 - there is something by definition wrong about a person accosting a child without reason, because there is no logical reason for them to do so. Or if they were considering for example a disproportionate punishment, it would be illogical (ie wrong) to treat another different than how one would expect to be treated all things being equal, because the only reason they value themselves is because their brain has encoded this belief, and it can be assumed like others share this same encoded belief.

16:10 - genocide is very common in human history (to this day) so it is highly unlikely people have universally considered it immoral. It depends on how people value (and discover) differences/similarities between populations, along with resource constraints etc. What confers relativism in morality is not its questioned existence (being equivalent to reason as applied to decisions) but the somewhat arbitrary nature in which we quantify/value difference between organisms, and our limited or evolving access to these differences/similarities.

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on a private video

Respect to Rikki for supporting freedom of speech.

2 months ago

Commented on Masaman's post

It is always difficult to distinguish a genuine conclusion of mistake and fear of consequences in a feeling of guilt. But it is a mark of intelligence to be able to change one's mind based on evidence.

2 months ago

Replied to a comment on Wiley Causes A Woke Establishment Meltdown

@Anna Kirshenbaum Regardless of what we think people should build their identities on, evolution necessitates that organisms build their identities based on genetic distance. Genetic distance is maximised between individuals in separate populations. Skin colour is one phenotypic marker of population in Homo sapiens. Ashkenazim are a diaspora population with shared genetic heritage. Populations can be systematically identified through automatic clusterisation of genetic data. The historic human "races" can also be derived through clusterisation, one of which is Caucasian (~"White"), and demonstrate greater genetic distinctiveness (variance) than that of labelled races/subspecies of other species.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on Wiley Causes A Woke Establishment Meltdown

17:05 - "I am proud to be Jewish"

30:10 - "White is a purely American construct. It is not useful or needed"

2 months ago

Commented on When will the 200 billionth person be born?

The fertility rate of all advanced civilisations on earth are significantly below 2 meaning their populations are already in decline. The UN estimates assume constant replacement of these populations with extracontinental high birth rate populations. There is no evidence, historical or theoretical that this is an evolutionarily viable paradigm. a) organisms haven't evolved to share territory while being replaced in their habitat (genetic distance controls altruism/cohesion). b) population differences may prevent replacement populations from maintaining systems developed by host populations.

Show less

2 months ago

Replied to a comment on [On Some Bad Arguments for Free Speech](#)

@OptimalOwl "A system free of contradictions[...]"

This analysis requires a greater time horizon. Inefficient civilisations are currently in the process of dying out (see birth rates; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=parXHI9mBEM>). Likewise, the atheoretical (evolutionarily deprived) social sciences will die out with their respective civilisations and inability to process/inform/help them.

"if the people censoring had a strong argument regarding the content being censored why do they tend to wholly demonstrate an inability to articulate this argument?"

A critical constraint here is that GI is non-equally distributed across academia, and those responsible for the human sciences tend to fall on the lower end relative to what is required for their field (neuronal systems are complex). Likewise there is a selection effect for political bias of those entering the field (see Haidt/Carl; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=myDnTEsufZo>). For my own analysis of the origin of political bias/divergence, see <http://richardbrucebaxter.blogspot.com/2020/04/the-evolutionary-psychology-of-politics.html> / <https://twitter.com/richbrucebaxter/status/1245917753501876227>. Would the enterprise be more capable/motivated of neutral communication and open mindedness with respect to the certitude of their hypotheses, we would see such arguments presented clearly; or revised/qualified accordingly.

The evidence for environmental determination on human outcomes is weak, and increasingly so. Gene-environment effects are real and very present in intelligence research. Those discrepancies in genetic determination (%) found across socio-economic status (<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/figure?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0030320.t001>) correlate with those across populations (<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/174/4016/1285>), yet this does not demonstrate that socioeconomic/cultural/parental conditions can be modified to correct for measured/inferred GI differences (e.g. IQ). The majority of environmental effects on human development are non-shared environmental, meaning even if the environment was significant in determining population differences (remembering that IQ can be measured as up to 80% genetic), there would be no way for us to institutionally/systematically correct for these, at least with currently established methods. Discipline for example would obviously help, though it would not necessarily recover all the necessary variance. Likewise, emerging GWAS studies (e.g. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2019-03287-001>, <https://www.mdpi.com/2624-8611/1/1/5>) concord with separated twin studies (e.g. Minnesota Twin Family Study) in that they suggest the possibility of significant genetic influences on population differences in GI. For the GWAS studies to be properly discarded, all identifiable SNP-GI/academic achievement associations matching the direction of population differences would have to be a byproduct of non-causal associations (e.g. genetic drift) with respect to the reference population first/largely used to identify the tested SNPs.

Population differences however are largely irrelevant to how Homo sapiens have evolved to respond to outgroup reproductive threat and genetic distance, and failure to address this highlights an intellectual, theoretical or philo-sophical inadequacy. No species can be bent against their evolutionary interest; all biological requirements must be integrated, or else they will surface on their own accord. The ever more scrupulous censorship regime is testament to this.

"Democracy is predicated on free speech (the public's ability to make rational choices)[...]"

Irrespective of the public's ability to make rational choices, a functional democracy requires them to do so. It is true that all intelligent systems use heuristics to gauge truth/value subject to limited computational resources, but generalisation itself does not compromise an agent's ability to serve their own interest. What would certainly help in democracy are better natural checks on reality; people disputing things on their own terms and separating if necessary (freedom of speech/association). Complex administrative, legal, and taxation systems certainly do not help, as the greater the complexity of a system the more prone to contradiction and therefore failure. What is most essential to democracy (or any political system) however is cohesive group interest. Absent this individuals will sacrifice the group for their close kin. The nation then becomes targeted for rent seeking and exploitation, and risks artificing a tower of absurdity or arbitrary impartiality, sensitive to the most minute exertion of pressure.

"In a democracy the function of the media is not propaganda but rather the dissemination of facts and the communication of multiple view points[...]"

Power and authority are a function of popular opinion in a democracy. All it takes is one leader to rise up.

"The example given of population replacement[...]"

One would gain/retain more control if they could convince their opponents of their error.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on [Bret and Heather 31st DarkHorse Podcast Livestream: The Danger of Invoking Terrorism](#)

Any entity (be it not for national security) that prevents freedom of speech is a terrorist organisation. Terrorism is the use of violence and intimidation in the pursuit of political aims. Cancellation and deplatforming from the public space based on expressed opinions is 21st century terrorism.

2 months ago

Commented on [On Some Bad Arguments for Free Speech](#)

0:50-3:00 - A system free of contradictions (ie truth) is ultimately more efficient than one that contains error. It will therefore tend to be evolutionarily selected over time, through competition of ideas (including cultures representing these ideas). This applies to all knowledge domains, not only the physical sciences. The majority of essential human values and institutions (eg clothing/marriage) are shared cross culturally, thus demonstrating their utility or consistency with existing (evolutionarily encoded) beliefs. Every civilisation that did not adopt these values/practices has died out through natural selection. The suppression of questions/research regarding population differences is likely a result of innate detection of intergroup competition/threat in heterogeneous societies and an attempt to reduce prospective conflict.

3:40 - The fact people have evolved to value conformity and will regularly ostracise people (healthy citizens) perceived to be on the fringes of norms perceived to be held by the group at a particular time/place in history, does not invalidate the proposition of an objective value of free speech. There likewise is (was) a difference between been given a platform to speak in public in which the resources required to facilitate this are zero sum, and being socially punished for expressing an opinion, although an absolutist should in principle accommodate all public discourse.

5:00-6:50 / 12:20-13:50 - An intellectually informed authoritarian regime may well come to propose a larger number of true claims (eg

conservation of evolutionarily stable/convergent institutions), but the primary issue with authoritarianism is its propensity to end up

terminating/suppressing large sections of the population that it disagrees with it. And there is no guarantee of a benevolent censor, however generally wise they might be. People tend to value some things (safety) more than others (stability) - they value an absence of negatives more than the presence of positives (risk management).

6:50-7:35 - The people censoring very likely have a reason for thinking they are rational to engage in censorship (based on a belief regarding human psychology/rationality), however if the people censoring had a strong argument regarding the content being censored why do they tend to wholly demonstrate an inability to articulate this argument? It would surely be a lot easier to convince their opponents their position is absurd than to attempt to censor them. See above/below regarding the belief that humans will tend to select an irrational position.

7:50 - Regarding exceptions to governmental support, the one exception to freedom of speech in a democracy is national security. This involves foreign incursion, not domestic threats by citizens with ancestral territorial claim, and thus having just as much right to guide their people in a new direction as any other (within the constraints of the verbatim constitution).

8:30-10:30 - Democracy is predicated on free speech (the public's ability to make rational choices), and it makes no sense to advocate for the dissolution of free speech while retaining democracy. Only under conditions of invasion is it in the interests of a regime/corporation to suppress speech. Here there is no binding connection with all members of the community, as those with disparate ancestral/genetic heritage have less reason to trust/advocate for each other.

10:30-12:20 - In a democracy the function of media is not propaganda but rather the dissemination of facts and the communication multiple view points, on which the public makes their own decision regarding the best course of action. Ours is all obviously failing in this regard, apart from the brief period of history in which social media provided unfiltered content.

~14:00-14:30 / 19:20 ('natural rights') - The primary problem with the social (inc political) sciences at present, apart from the fact they are largely speculative and increasingly outdated/redundant given our knowledge of evolutionary theory, is that they operate on the assumption the masses are irrational and are in need of control. a) Contrarily human beings are an exceptionally rational species given true information (they will demonstrate politically incorrect biases unacceptable only to those who would rather frame them as irrational). b) Furthermore, it demonstrates a failure to understand human psychological motivation/autonomy. Once a population discovers they have been subject to mind control, all established authority is invalidated.

16:45-18:00 / 18:40-19:20 - The example given of population replacement with genetically distant and disinterested compatriots could have been solved with free speech, as people have an inbuilt instinct to guard against outgroup incursion (an instinct which can only be compromised by controlled propaganda/suppression of dissent).

18:00-18:40 - Unsupervised censorship does indeed become disdained over time. The concepts 'dissident right' and 'lamestream media' have emerged for a reason. Censorship thus requires ever stringent measures to control thought, eventually suppressing all reference to the censorship. It is functionally unstable and will eventually collapse.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on Bret and Heather 28th DarkHorse Podcast Livestream: A Republic, if You Can Save It

00:56 - 11:30 - This analysis would be sound if the average genetic distance between citizens remained the same, but in current circumstances (population replacement) this distance is accelerating rapidly. This is the primary cause of the growing division. Likely exasperated by outgroup reproductive threat (previously contained by self-segregation).

2 months ago

Commented on My Thoughts on the Harper's Letter Railing Against Cancel Culture (THE SAAD TRUTH_1097)

This seems to be a consistent feature of socialism in that they want to stop others from talking. The conservatives will censor behaviour, and the libertines will censor thought.

3 months ago

Commented on Moral Nihilism | w/ James Theodore Stillwell III, TPS #817

8:55 - Moral ought is predicated on not wanting to be self-contradictory. For example, it is logically inconsistent to treat another person different than how one would expect to be treated by them, all things being equal, because the only reason one wants to be treated well themselves is because the brain encodes this desire, and it can be assumed like others share this same encoded desire.

3 months ago

Commented on Your Questions Answered - Bret and Heather 28th DarkHorse Podcast Livestream

1:05:00 - what if it was found that the most suppressed lineages (or geographically isolated groups of a given population) were the most successful?

3 months ago

Commented on Your Questions Answered - Bret and Heather 28th DarkHorse Podcast Livestream

49:00 - the evolutionary explanation of empirical consciousness (observed information processing simulating an internal agent with experience) bears no consequence for the preconditions of phenomenological consciousness (the hard problem of consciousness). Nor can we at this stage of our understanding of nature generate a test of these preconditions (even with a correlational mapping between physical and declared/ostensible mental properties). It is the same reason we are unable to determine whether another person (see philosophical zombie), distant carbon relative, or intelligence of another chemical substrate (e.g. AI) has phenomenological consciousness.

Show less

3 months ago

Commented on Your Questions Answered - Bret and Heather 28th DarkHorse Podcast Livestream

Mein Kampf contains some good observations regarding social degeneracy. The world would be a lot more stable if we read every banned book.

3 months ago

Replied to a comment on Bret and Heather 27th DarkHorse Podcast Livestream: Brave New Policies for a Brave New World

@Tim Kinney The term "racism" is currently overloaded and can represent any number of claims; the acknowledgement of statistically significant genetic clusterisations of human biodiversity corresponding to the coevolution of geographically isolated populations, a belief in "race" itself with respect to Homo sapiens (relating to fixation index thresholds for subspecies classification and why these differ across species), racialism or the assertion of functional racial group differences that cannot be accounted for by upbringing (relating to the limitations of GWAS and twin studies), a belief in racial supremacy based on an assumed prevalence of one or more traits traditionally associated with environment generalised adaptation, separatism or an insistence on conservation of naturally evolved human populations, ethnonationalism or a concordance with the global-historic norm of maintaining ancestral ethnicities in a majority bar invasion, a desire or behaviour demonstrating an inclination to establish long term reproductive partnerships with persons of similar ancestral and therefore genetic histories/lineage (and interests), a willingness to outcompete or exterminate another human population in situations of real or imagined resource constraint, an acceptance of or gratitude for living in a social system built on one or more of the above beliefs, etc.

The two types identified (1/2) are just those concepts providing the greatest explanatory power in distinguishing between the various associated claims, as they attempt to break down the phenomena into its dominant nurture/nature components (with respect to the original/dictionary definition or first use of the term).

Without type 2 "racism" (and without physical restrictions on travel) human biodiversity would dissolve as the world became increasingly homogenised after each generation of racially/population indiscriminate sexual selection. The majority of phenotypic traits are complex and dependent upon variation at multiple loci, meaning they can never be fully recovered after a persistent recombination from distal populations, in which only one population was subjected to the necessary environmental pressures (or genetic drift) to select for this trait to begin with. Self-segregation and geographic diversification thus enable the formation of new adaptive complex traits, upon which natural selection acts. This is the basis of speciation. Those advocating for the elimination of type 2 "racism" are in fact expressing a new form of "racism" (type 3), in which the logical conclusion of their demands/philosophy will see the creation and subsistence of a new global race to the detriment of all others.

Only certain populations however are currently subjected to messages decrying type 2 "racism", so it is unlikely that all human populations will be eliminated/transformed in the process. Likewise, although racial supremacism/prejudice (type 1) may well be adaptive under harsh environmental conditions (limited resources/zero sum), it appears to require high level cognitive judgements that have only recently evolved, and these may have no root in nature/genetics apart from natural ignorance of foreign parties. It might therefore be a largely a product of environment (nurture) and thus modifiable by experience/education. Contrarily, genetic proximity preference for both altruism and mating is certainly adaptive irrespective of such resource constraints (type 2). For example, the primate and human visual recognition system in particular are extremely well suited to facial recognition. Such could easily be coopted for the need to recognise and preference kin (tribe/lineage), if such expertise was not itself an exaptation/evolved from this need to begin with.

With respect to altruism, kin selection would likely take advantage of all cues differentiating lineage (genetic proximity). Species, subspecies, and close kin share the majority of their genetic material, and thus it is theoretically possible for nature to evolve behavioural systems safeguarding an individual's greater genome at the expense of their particular variations if such circumstances arise. The activation of these hypothetical systems would depend on the genetic distance to the collective being sacrificed for, the size of this collective (in terms of number of replica copies of its genetic material/specific variations), and the collective's probability of survival were the sacrifice not to occur. Such would be encoded in heuristics rather than a perfect utilitarian calculation, and they needn't (although they might) involve voluntary death.

With respect to mating, although male short-term reproductive strategies will remain adaptive in all circumstances where opportunity avails itself, including with females from distal populations - the cost of copulation being minimal, this is not the case for their long-term strategy. Where a male is committed to share its resource in rearing its offspring, and the group is committed to share its resources with all members/offspring equally (having a common ancestry), mating with distal populations will tend to be disdained. This is because individuals in the group will fracture in their most evolutionarily profitable support, between those maintaining their lineage in sexual selection and those diversifying their lineage. Support will segregate biasing its education/resources for like members of future generations. This is the case even under conditions in which the novel mates share no greater genetic commonality between themselves than with the host population itself, as the parents will wish the group to adjust its norms to encourage the protection of all equally distal offspring (being minority populations and most vulnerable to attack). There is thus reason to believe, or at least be open to the logical possibility, that type 2 "racism" cannot be eliminated without authoritarian suppression. Rigorous discussion and research is required before any such policies are instantiated ignoring this feature of human nature. Furthermore, even if we were to experiment with suppressing this instinct, risk management would imply that we do not implement such policies universally - in the event that they fail and condemn their respective civilisations to everlasting internal conflict. With respect to type 1 racism, what is most critical is the creation of global conditions in which the survival of one population is not dependent on the termination of another.

Show less

3 months ago

Commented on Bret and Heather 27th DarkHorse Podcast Livestream: Brave New Policies for a Brave New World

36:05 - Humour has the capacity to remove type 1 racism (racial supremacism/prejudice), but not type 2 "racism" (genetic proximity preference/discrimination).

3 months ago

Commented on Another Tiny Step on the Road to Tyranny

As a matter of principle (irrespective of the case here), small incremental changes are hard to resist. It is a method by which psychopaths can manipulate people towards their end, which if presented outright would be rejected.

3 months ago

Commented on Critics and the Intellectual Dark Web, Eric Weinstein (Sensemaking Series)

37:40 - people go to war to defend their kin/lineage from extinction; it is simply an extension of Hamilton's rule, taking into account the fact biological populations (and species) share a large proportion of their specific genetic variation with component individuals.

3 months ago

Commented on My Response to a Veteran Who Fought in Iraq and Afghanistan (THE SAAD TRUTH_1083)

Philosophy is the love of wisdom, it is not granted by title.

3 months ago

Commented on [Joscha Bach: Artificial Consciousness and the Nature of Reality | Lex Fridman Podcast #101](#)

46:51 - There is a difference between the proposition of empirical consciousness (observed computational model of an internal agent) and phenomenological consciousness (internal experience). The existence of an internal experience is not necessitated by the brain evolving a model of itself as an internal agent (including belief in feelings/qualia). An AI with a model of itself as a phenomenologically conscious agent needn't have phenomenological consciousness. The truth of the belief of an agent claiming to be phenomenologically conscious (ie the existence/preconditions of a correspondence between the computational model of phenomenological consciousness and phenomenological consciousness itself) depends on the nature of mind. This is currently unknown. Furthermore, there is no known test of this, as one cannot even know for certain that another observed agent possesses phenomenological consciousness (see philosophical zombie), let alone an organism of another species or chemical substrate.

Show less

3 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

26:00 - There is a difference between the proposition of empirical consciousness (observed computational model of an internal agent) and phenomenological consciousness (internal experience). The existence of an internal experience is not necessitated by the brain evolving a model of itself as an internal agent (including belief in feelings/qualia). An AI with a model of itself as a phenomenologically conscious agent needn't have phenomenological consciousness. The truth of the belief of an agent claiming to be phenomenologically conscious (ie the existence/preconditions of a correspondence between the computational model of phenomenological consciousness and phenomenological consciousness itself) depends on the nature of mind. This is currently unknown. Furthermore, there is no known test of this, as one cannot even know for certain that another observed agent possesses phenomenological consciousness (see philosophical zombie), let alone an organism of another species or chemical substrate.

Show less

3 months ago

Commented on [Only the Permanently Unemployed Have True Freedom of Speech \(THE SAAD TRUTH_1080\)](#)

You are allowed to say whatever you want on the internet so long as you phrase it in such a way that unintelligent people are unable to comprehend it.

3 months ago

Commented on [Colin Liddell on the Luke Ford Show, 8th June, 2019](#)

Regarding the hypothetical destructive pressures of a degrading native fertility rate (13:55); have we considered the possibility that a generationally limited ($n \leq 3$) socioeconomic experiment simultaneously conducted on every advanced civilisation on earth (22:23) might not be the most safe or rigorous approach to test this hypothesis? Do we understand why people might be sceptical of the motivations of those conducting such an experiment, particularly when relentless ad hominem attacks, intimidation, and economic destruction are directed at such people? Precisely what degree of scientific or evolutionary critique has such an experiment ever been examined against? What are the risks associated with such an experiment? What evidence, historical or theoretical, do we have that such an approach is viable? Was the relevant analysis based on our best understanding of genetic/lineage competition or an outdated speculation/idealism from the humanities?

I would suggest that the project of national synthesis require more speech and less dismissal of dissenting voices (for the simple fact of them becoming conspiratorial). Furthermore, we should examine the population specific evolutionary benefits of each and every approach considered, and whether perhaps some approaches have been favoured to safeguard the genetic interests of minority populations over the majority/host by distributing outgroup threat amongst multiple groups. Likewise, the effects on clusterisation of human genetic diversity require modelling. Specifically, the long-term subsistence of any complex traits specific to independently evolved human populations dependent on epistasis (non-additive effects resultant from the interaction of two or more loci).

Show less

4 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Antifa is a domestic terrorist organisation: they use violence and intimidation to suppress the speech of citizens. Moreover, they are a national security threat that operate against the interests of a people in favour of foreign populations. Terrorism is "the unlawful use of violence and intimidation, especially against civilians, in the pursuit of political aims".

4 months ago

Commented on [Trump to Declare Antifa a Terrorist Organization: My Thoughts](#)

Preventing people from speaking in a free society (by intimidation or force) is the definition of terrorism.

4 months ago

Commented on [YouTube Refuses to Give Me An Award](#)

The reason this channel is not receiving a silver play button is because terrorism works (on people/organisations without principles).

4 months ago

Commented on [The Environmental Case Against Immigration](#)

Beyond compromising the long-term subsistence of any complex traits specific to independently evolved human populations/clusterisations dependent on epistasis (non-additive effects resultant from the interaction of two or more loci), there

appears to be a fairly strong environmental case against mass immigration.

4 months ago

Commented on Emily Jones. Rest in Peace

The British media who are failing to report on this are either foreign agents, psychopathic or intellectually challenged. The evolutionary function of borders are to prevent genocide.

4 months ago

Commented on Undercover Sting Exposes Ireland's Extremist Sympathisers | Antifa Investigations

The intimidatory suppression of speech is 21st century terrorism.

5 months ago

Commented on Mindscape 95 | Liam Kofi Bright on Knowledge, Truth, and Science

I would define "truth" as a logically consistent derivation or probabilistically justifiable assertion based on one's assumptions. The concept of truth only makes sense within the framework of a rational agent making claims. Saying something is true is merely accepting an axiom or making an assertion of certainty/probability regarding some hypothesis/model. Humans come programmed with (evolution has encoded) models of an internal cognitive (and external) reality, so not all assertions they make are necessarily empirically verifiable, yet they will still consider them true.

Show less

5 months ago

Commented on What Can The Enlightenment Learn From Religion?

This can be broken down into 2 steps; a derivation of morality, and its application to population level responsibilities:

1. Morality is simply logic, as pertaining to logically consistent behaviour/thought. For example, it is logically contradictory to treat someone else different than how we ourselves would expect to be treated by them under exactly the same circumstances (were only our minds switched), given that the only reason one treats themselves well is because they value themselves, and the only reason they value themselves is because their brain has evolved to value its genetic subsistence, so there is no reason to prioritise one's own self valuation over another (they value themselves for the same reason).
2. Extending this principle to one's population, it would be inconsistent to prioritise one's own desires over that of our ancestor's if it was their intention to propagate their lineage (in a particular manner).

Western fertility rates are not simply a byproduct of individual morality. For example, women are taught to externalise their reproductive nature from an early age. Likewise, sexual addiction is caused in part by the normalisation of copulation salient stimulus through advertising. If we address the tolerance of systemic psychological manipulation of reptilian brain impulses we might rediscover our natural and cerebral inclination towards morality and fertility.

Show less

5 months ago

Commented on Say Hello to Your Ancestors

The motherland.

5 months ago

Commented on A black man undercover in the alt-right | Theo E.J. Wilson | TEDxMileHigh

Nature does actually care about population/group genetics, just not for males when engaging in their short term reproductive strategy (no resource commitment).

5 months ago

Commented on Deconstructing White Privilege

White privilege is not being able to use your full name on the internet.

5 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

The irony is that the authors of the article appear so enclosed in their bubble that they didn't realise there was a freelance youtube commentator who was publishing daily update videos on COVID-19 back in January (Styxhexenhammer666).

5 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

14:52 - under evolutionary conditions, kinship/lineage or "blood" does indeed determine group cohesion, for which populations share genetic interest.

5 months ago

Commented on Release the Grooming Gang Review!

If the government does not trust the public, why should the public trust the government?

5 months ago

Commented on YouTube Invites Me to Self-Censor :)

At least they are being open about their criteria. Here are the logical errors I noted;

- Title: Although the third option precludes discrimination based on artificial characteristics, the definition of "hateful content" provided in the subtitle does not. What about content that is likely to offend a marginalised individual or group but is not hateful? For example, the proposition that pedophiles, procurers, or traffickers should be exterminated. The soldiers asked us, "Is it okay to have sex with your daughters if we pay?" So I told them, "Sir, to us, the punishment for that is death".

- Option 3: What about cross-culturally universal (bar WEIRD) hateless discrimination based on natural characteristics? If sex segregated bathrooms are tolerated, then racial separatism should necessarily be tolerated.

Show less

5 months ago

Commented on "Orcs" Trends on Twitter

It is the same reason people don't want other traditional descriptors being used (pertaining to other groups or representations thereof). Absent an argument one needs to dictate language. Tolkien was a linguist who updated dictionaries based upon common use rather than wishful thinking and created his own languages rather than narcissistically seeking to control existing ones.

5 months ago

Commented on The Home Office Response to the Grooming Gang Petition is Atrocious

The British government is systematically covering up the motivations behind mass child gang rape.

5 months ago

Commented on How Hard is it for White People to File a Hate Speech Complaint?

Intersectionality is a genocidal ideology but effective genocide is a natural phenomenon and is impossible to eliminate. Everyone of evolutionary necessity will promote the well being of their genetic material, even if this comes into conflict with another population. This is how/why nations evolve/exist. That said, the law here (regardless of its dubious implications for freedom of speech) is being applied in a discriminatory fashion.

5 months ago

Commented on The Tyranny Of Britain's PR Mind-benders

The Ministry of Truth is alive and well.

5 months ago

Replied to a comment on Command & Conquer Remastered Collection Official Reveal Trailer

@wadprime Yes this is a great idea.

5 months ago

Replied to a comment on Command & Conquer Remastered Collection Official Reveal Trailer

Cheers Wadprime I thought about this option also (split in 2). I think the primary requirement is just to be able to know the build status of all current structure, vehicle/aircraft and personnel. With build unit queuing there should be less need for a split build options interface than was the case in cnc1, although I might retain it if it provides some usability advantage. Showing the build status of the current structure at all times is particularly critical if it must be placed immediately upon completion (ie structure preplacement and build structure que is unimplemented).

Show less

5 months ago

Commented on Command & Conquer Remastered Collection Official Reveal Trailer

Regarding the UI "overhaul": the whole point of cnc was that you can simultaneously view the build status of both structures and units, enabling multitasking of command and conquer. CNC3 removed this feature, and it looks like it has been removed here also. Just devote 3 squares to show the build status of the current structure, personnel, and vehicle.

5 months ago

Commented on Nefarious: Merchant of Souls | Human Trafficking Documentary - Full Movie

Good work guys.

5 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

This is a serious problem, and can be directly predicted from evolutionary theory.

5 months ago

Commented on **Making Sense with Sam Harris #195 - Social Cohesion is Everything (with McChrystal and Fussell)**

Social cohesion is impossible without ethnocentrism.

6 months ago

Commented on **Conversations | Konstantin Kisin | Free Speech; Comedy; Woke Culture**

This is presently the most taboo topic in public discourse: "You're A Nationalist, You Just Don't Realise It Yet"
<https://youtube.com/watch?v=ua5dlUc6BhE>

6 months ago

Commented on **HOPE not Hate's Aggressive Tactics.**

Hope not hate is a terrorist entity.

6 months ago

Commented on **Antifa Investigations | VICE journalist Simon Childs**

The "Conservatives" haven't conserved anything for 60 years.

6 months ago

Commented on **What is emergence? What does "emergent" mean?**

People consider phenomenological consciousness to be strongly emergent because its existence cannot be verified (in either biological systems or machines) via the empirical method. We only have experimental/measurable access to physical (including weakly emergent neuronal or vocal/verbal) properties.

6 months ago

Commented on **Roger Penrose: Physics of Consciousness and the Infinite Universe | Lex Fridman Podcast #85**

If consciousness is not computational, then how do we know/remember we are/were conscious (how does consciousness interact with brain memory)?

6 months ago

Commented on **We must stop the Enemies of the UK masquerade as Patriots to push their Neo Nazis agenda**

"You're A Nationalist, You Just Don't Realise It Yet" - <https://youtu.be/ua5dlUc6BhE>

6 months ago

Commented on **You're A Nationalist, You Just Don't Realise It Yet**

Pretty logical.

6 months ago

Commented on **Bret and Heather 2nd Live Stream: Vaccines and Seasonality - DarkHorse Podcast**

6:39 - "this is a retrovirus" - is this correct? Retroviruses reverse code RNA information back into a cell's DNA (creating permanent changes to the genome). https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/RNA_virus

6 months ago

Commented on a private video

the 5 stages of Dnial; denial, anger, bargaining, depression, make interactive video games.

6 months ago

Commented on An Important Channel Announcement

"Manifest observable behaviour" is not Orwellian at all.

6 months ago

Commented on The Evolution of Disgust: Religion, and Deplatforming

Brilliant - this is probably one of the most underrated videos on YouTube. Disgust can also serve as a proxy (learnt behaviour) with respect to the investment of group resources in third party genetic material. Regarding the Orwellian "deboosting", I have a feeling they don't like the spiteful mutant hypothesis being consistently presented as fact. Perhaps make this more clear.

6 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Anakin Skywalker: Sometimes I wonder what's happening to the Jedi Order. I think this war is destroying the principles of the Republic.

Padme Amidala: Have you ever considered that we may be on the wrong side?

Anakin Skywalker: What do you mean?

Padme Amidala: What if the democracy we thought we were serving no longer exists, and the Republic has become the very evil we've been fighting to destroy?

Anakin Skywalker: I don't believe that, and you're sounding like a Separatist.

Padme Amidala: What this war represents is a failure to listen. Now you're closer to the Chancellor than anyone, please, ask him to stop the fighting and let the diplomacy resume.

Anakin Skywalker: Don't ask me to do that.

Show less

6 months ago

Commented on Ben Shapiro Discusses Identity Politics With Vox Founder Ezra Klein

Identitarianism subsists because it is evolutionarily favourable to protect one's genetic lineage. Identitarianism is not going away until we are one giant blob. Playing with evolutionary drives is dangerous; we can do more harm than good denying human instinct: nations evolved for a reason.

6 months ago

Commented on German authorities can now secretly enter peoples house to install Trojans on their PCs

Why? Is there information they don't want us to see?

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/1uHJmSqlXufy>

6 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

1:16:35 "star child".

1:24:40 Although immunity to criticism is an unstable solution in any context, wouldn't the more simple hypothesis be that the group doesn't want to be criticised because they have been scapegoated throughout modern/medieval history? For both biological and cultural reasons; being an outgroup, the ability to earn interest on loans etc. Furthermore, given that it is in the evolutionary interests of any foreign population to reduce the dominance/threat level of the host population, isn't the focus on identifying cultural/biological subversion by a particular minority group ad hoc? Arguably it led to the denationalisation of Europe and 20-21st century globalisation. I can't think of a more futile method of protecting human biodiversity. It is almost religious in nature.

Show less

6 months ago

Commented on To be "right-wing"... (in 9 points)

Superb synthesis.

6 months ago

Commented on LAWFARE: Tommy Robinson talks about his latest court cases | Ezra Levant

12:55 Based on what we know about children's memories, not interviewing the children on the day of an alleged sexual assault is gross negligence.

6 months ago

Commented on For Britain member's misconduct hearing //Anne Marie Waters // For Britain

<https://twitter.com/JohnEva73484270/status/1237390094660595715>

6 months ago

Commented on Extremists Next Door | Full Documentary

This is a good look inside the A/DR, but it is not all that black and white:
<https://twitter.com/benshapiro/status/875730927002963968>
<https://twitter.com/nowthisnews/status/1237791143334744068>

6 months ago

Commented on AMA Clip: censorship, freedom of speech, and subversion

This is a great analysis. One way of dealing with cultural subversion would be to defund arts entirely.

6 months ago

Commented on Command & Conquer Remastered Collection Official Reveal Trailer

Regarding the UI "overhaul": the whole point of cnc was that you can simultaneously view the build status of both structures and units, enabling multitasking of command and conquer. CNC3 removed this feature, and it looks like it has been removed here also. Just devote 3 squares to show the build status of the current structure, personnel, and vehicle.

6 months ago

Commented on How the British Press Create Hate Figures

The MSM have become radicalised.

7 months ago

Commented on The UK Government is Too Scared to Release the Grooming Gang Report

truth is not in the public interest.

7 months ago

Commented on #Eugenics Trends on Twitter

I wonder if suing the media would appear discriminatory based on their intelligence level? Nothing they say has any bearing on the empirical literature, which will continue to expand as the genetic evidence gathers (to whatever end).

7 months ago

Commented on "Too many white people": The rise of self-segregation and anti-white racism

All organic life evolved to maximise the reproductive success of its genetic material, so on what basis would we predict alliances would be formed all things being equal? Lineage.

7 months ago

Commented on The Reproducibility Crisis

1. The fundamental problem with the quality of the psychological literature is that students are encouraged to advertise their studies (to the reviewer/audience) by telling a story and being necessarily enthusiastic with respect to the value of their work. Storytelling doesn't belong in science. If the hypothesis/analysis doesn't attract attention at face value without elaboration, then it should stay that way.

2. Likewise, there should by default be intergenerational delays before scientific findings are implemented into policy. With respect to mental health/well being construal in particular we have another resource in which to judge the validity of a hypothesis. As mental health is currently defined with respect to the flourishing of an individual in an arbitrary society (rather than focusing on neurological abnormality), then we can more objectively look at the survival rate of civilisations that maintained such a position. If it so happens that all successful/remaining civilisations exhibit a certain belief regarding mental health, then it can be inferred that all civilisations that did not exhibit this belief died out through natural selection.

3. Furthermore, science should never be used to manipulate citizens. The historic use of psychological manipulation in advertising is an ethics violation at best, and a human rights violation at worst. This creates positive feedback loops wherein psychological research is affecting the psychology of the citizens which in turn affects psychological research, none of which has any bearing on human psychology independent of society.

4. Finally, there needs to be a much greater emphasis on theoretical psychological over unguided experimentation. The only overarching motivational theory we have concerning human behaviour is biological evolution. This needs to be integrated with other universal and arguably adaptive human beliefs (such as the existence and significance of mind).

Show less

7 months ago

Commented on Are You Guilty of HateCrime?

The fundamental problem with their aim is that we have evolved to be deterred by measurable physical unattractiveness (asymmetry etc). Full acceptance of abnormality (deleterious mutation, deformity etc) is an unresolvable fantasy; unless they plan to start throwing people in gulags. And if we feel we have to start policing 4000yo ethics (e.g. not insulting people for no reason) then there is something very wrong with society. As pointed out in the video there are more critical violations of this anyway; for example a systematic gang rape of British children (who are deemed to not follow the cultural practices of a homeland or foreign religion), b)

mass virtual prostitution and exploitation of the bodies of the abused/poor (it so happens that importing a poorer class of female citizens favours the reproductive success of ugly old men), etc.

Communism (speech control) isn't the solution - empirical evidence suggests it causes hundreds of millions of deaths, if not replacement by more competitive populations. Notice how the Russian president has safeguarded against the abolition of categories Father and Mother - this is because psychological health (with respect to social flourishing) can be inferred not only through direct experiment but by anthropological analysis; all civilisations that did not maintain these categories died out through natural selection. We know this because out of the 99% of civilisations that were wiped clean from the map for lack of prudence, the only civilisations today left standing retain them.

"You shall not take vengeance or bear a grudge against the sons of your own people, but you shall love your neighbour as yourself: I am the Lord" (Lev 9:17)

Show less

7 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

1:31:31 - the caller ("hobo") sounds like Masaman

7 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

40:08 - "... materialism cannot even explain consciousness, which you mislabel brain". "I don't mislabel brain. First, people who say that some behaviour must come from consciousness, they have not demonstrated the causal role of consciousness." This (substance dualism) is not what is being argued here. It is the fact an information processing system such as a machine or a brain can operate perfectly fine according to the laws of nature without the assumption of phenomenological consciousness.

Show less

7 months ago

Commented on **Mexico Has Become The Wall**

The NYT is a national security threat.

7 months ago

Commented on **Consciousness: The Reality DREAM**

There can be no experimental evidence that phenomenological consciousness affects physical reality. Phenomenological consciousness cannot be measured using the empirical method so no experiment can be conducted to infer its effects. Likewise, there is no reason for a complex machine (such as a brain or robot) to have an internal first person experience associated with its operation. Its operation is assumed to be described perfectly, according the laws of physics (including all proclamations of self-awareness; the machine having a software model of itself as a cognitive/sentient agent - which was arguably adaptive in the context of assigning worth to self/like organisms and therefore survival). This begs the question as to the purpose of phenomenological consciousness (if only the belief in phenomenological consciousness serves a function and not phenomenological consciousness itself). Why do only particular subsets of space-time have associated with it conscious experience? Where this was traditionally rationalised using a form of teleology (eg theism), a metaphysical naturalist is led to question whether perhaps all of nature has some degree of conscious experience (panpsychism).

More technically, the existence of an effect of phenomenological consciousness would typically imply substance dualism and a breakdown of the laws of nature to accommodate this discrepancy (interactionism). The only alternative is that of phenomenological consciousness enacting upon the intrinsic quantum indeterminacy of the physical world. Both are formulations of metaphysical libertarian free will. But;

- a) if the observed neural architecture defines memory and beliefs, and an ostensibly free agent enacts upon these, the problem of origination arises (what makes the decision).
- b) alternately, what is the reason for decision if not logic itself (which is a deterministic process - and one which can be encoded in a machine).
- c) if mind is to some extent external to our universe, what defines the operations of mind (a framework of laws is required and this may as well be the physical framework).
- d) alternately, if mind (as we know it) was to come into existence it would require a framework to describe its operations; including when it would perceive certain colours or certain smells, think certain thoughts, etc. The evolution of this framework may be our/a universe itself.

Show less

8 months ago

Commented on **On Activist Media CARTELS & Big Tech POLITBUROS**

We shouldn't be surprised that those with psychological issues pertaining to the natural expression of a long evolved human emotion are sympathetic to forms of degeneracy that had in all historical instances invoked it.

8 months ago

Commented on **"GIVE ME SURGERY, BIGOT!" Catholic Hospital SUEd For NOT Performing Gender Reassignment**

This is the kind of legal tyranny that forges separatism (violation of conscience). It is interesting that political discrimination against separatism disproportionately favours the contemporary progressive/regressive ideology; so perhaps more open mindedness in this respect would help restore balance.

~ ..

8 months ago

Commented on Immigration and Demographics (a Sequel)

It's ok to say no.

8 months ago

Commented on Trudeau's government wants to licence every single website in the world | Ezra Levant

What is the difference between Canada and China? Which political dissidents does Canada not reputationally and financially ruin that a dictator would given the choice?

8 months ago

Replied to a comment on My Chat with Psychologist Lee Jussim (THE SAAD TRUTH_1002)

@Sherril DeLuca I noticed the same and this was my analysis - I don't think we should discount the possibility of academics gaining mild PTSD or anxiety from the intellectual terrorism they endure on a daily basis.

8 months ago

Commented on YouTube Have Killed the Sargon of Akkad Channel

Hey Carl I noticed this was occurring for a number of creators (inc Tim Pool) Oct 2019 and reported it to Subverse. My most generous theory; Google not wanting to get sued for monopolistic behaviour by advertising internal products. But this did not seem like a valid reason for omitting primary sources from its search results.

Any censorship engaged in if real is going to be recorded in the internet archives and accessible for 100s of years - it will never be erased from human memory. Whatever short term victory a bad actor musters from an Orwellian creep is going to be dwarfed by the backlash to come when the populace realise they have been lied to. Censorship of speech is an extremely dangerous affair and has the capacity to tear civilisation apart; it creates echo chambers between enlightened factions and the controlled and prevents a common/shared knowledge (which makes it difficult to trust others).

Show less

8 months ago

Replied to a comment on My Chat with Psychologist Lee Jussim (THE SAAD TRUTH_1002)

I was finding the first 13 minutes really interesting until Gad interrupted him; we need more technical details and in depth scientific/procedural reviews - this is one of the best interviews on this channel. The reason Jussim was speaking slowly is because he has to be careful with his resident junior spies and doesn't have as many oppression cards to throw around.

8 months ago

Commented on "Political Correctness Hurts the Left": Eric Kaufmann

Renaud Camus was sentenced last week for talking about a great replacement of European populations. It doesn't help people have faith in their authorities or their narratives if they are continuously deplatformed. Intellectuals will keep talking while the masses cower in fear.

8 months ago

Commented on Doomsday Clock: It's time to wake up! - Tulsi Gabbard

I am not left of centre but Tulsi is the smartest democratic candidate. We need to get over this stupid Cold War and form an alliance with Russia, if not China also. This alliance is what will safeguard humanity today and in the future. It may even help them to garner freedoms for their populace - without an imminent threat over their shoulders, and a clear and consistent example to follow. I support arms development/deployment treatise but these do not prevent mistakes from occurring.

Show less

8 months ago

Commented on Man Won't Date "Woke" Women. World Ends.

Although there are other motivations for female liberalism (eg freedom of movement/exploration in a sexually sedated and relationally inept civilisation), liberalism is a cognitive imperative for abused/promiscuous females. 'Fallen princess' syndrome is too great to bear, and it is a lot easier to reshape the world around oneself to reduce and even trivialise what has been given away.

8 months ago

Commented on Cristos Goodrow: YouTube Algorithm | Lex Fridman Podcast #68

"What was the precise scientific reason for removing Soph from your platform?"

8 months ago

Commented on I will not let Hillary intimidate me or other patriotic Americans into silence

This is a corporate media/lobbying problem Tulsi - too many bad actors; pretend journalists/politicians who are information/political prostitutes for their big owners/donors. Even Joe is getting pressured to conform.

8 months ago

Commented on Have I Been Radicalized?

Extracontinental ethnic group population shifts
<https://youtube.com/watch?v=gry1318JVQg>
<https://youtube.com/watch?v=parXHl9mBEM>

8 months ago

Commented on Joe Rogan Experience #1415 - Bari Weiss

1:08:25 - [Joe] "I don't think it is malevolent, I just think it is a function of life in general".
 1:19:22 - [Joe/Bari] "the Jew will not replace us" 1:24:50 - [Bari] "in fact it was a reflection of this replacement theory ideology, which is that black people and brown people and Muslims and immigrants are coming to replace our white civilisation".
 1:22:08 - "This is all based on this lie that race is not a social construct, a construct right, which it is".
 1:22:24 - "we can't replace our civilisation with someone else's babies', like what does that mean what is that idea. It is so deeply unamerican because the idea of America right is that Americanness is not about bloodline, Americanness is about a shared set of values and ideas, and fealty to those ideas." [Bari] "the idea that someone else is - what does that mean, you know." [Joe] "This doesn't make any sense because this entire country is based on immigrants". [Bari] "of course". [Joe] "and you know as we have talked about with the native Americans we have replaced our country. We've taken over their country. It was theirs first."
 1:30:43 - [Joe] "Jews, Jewish people are very unusual in that they are a culture, a race, and a religion." [Bari] "A peoplehood." [Joe] "A peoplehood. A tribe." [Bari] "We are a tribe." [Joe] "Yes." [Bari] "Our categories don't fit modern, modernity." [Joe] "Yes" [Bari] "And that is what is so confusing about us." [Joe] "Yes" [Bari] "Right. We [presaged?] all of those categories you just laid out which is what makes us so hard to categorise."
 1:31:00 - [Bari] "the difference is that you can't be an atheist Christian or an atheist Muslim. You can be an atheist Jew. In fact there is a million of them." [Bari] "One of my best friends Ari Shaffir is an atheist Jew. It is a strange group. And do you think that because of that, because when people are so loyal to their own people which is one thing that I actually admire about Jewish people - I think it would be nice if more people were like that - that they are profoundly pro-Jewish. There's not a lot of apathetic Jews towards Judaism, or towards the tribe I should say." [Bari] "Well there is a reason for that. When you ask the average American how many Jews you think are in America you get this enormous number. We are less than 2% of the population in America. And there is something like 13 million of us in the entire world..."
 1:32:54 - [Joe] "do you think that that might have something to do with it." [Bari] "No." [Joe] "That people for whatever reason when they see someone who is in this sort of - I don't want to use the word isolated because they are not really - Jewish folks in America are not really isolated... - that maybe people look at this community, this tribe and they think they don't give a fuck about us, they only care about Jewish folks." [Bari] "They might think that and that would be an antisemitic idea" [Joe] "Yeah". [Bari] "In the same, there is this really strange idea that people think that Jews cause antisemitism, right."
 1:36:58 - [Bari] "and he specifically selected Tree of Life, because of that, because he said the Jews are bringing in the dirty immigrants into this society. So he was like the perfect embodiment of white supremacist replacement theory ideas".
 1:47:56 - [Bari] "When reasonable opinions - when the spectrum of what is reasonable becomes so narrow people radicalise". [Joe] "Yes" [Bari] "And they go to these bigoted ideas" [Joe] "Yes" [Bari] "And it's like why do we need a healthy conversation about immigration, and the conversation about immigration I think is very very limited in what people say and what is acceptable. It is like open borders or xenophobia." [Joe] "Right" [Bari] "You know, and there has to be reasonable middle and way to talk about it. Because if not people self-radicalise. I just see that happening again and again and again on so many different topics".
 2:01:30 - [Bari] "It is all feeding this idea that there are some people who are more American than others, more belonging than others. I think that idea is despicable".
 2:05:55 - [Bari] "because if there is something Jews have got really good at is that we have an instinct for danger. That is something we have cultivated over years of being discriminated against. Persecuted against. Nearly wiped off the map in Europe. Like we understand and we smell danger sometimes before other people".

There is a Frenchman called Renaud Camus who was sentenced this week for talking about the replacement of his people.

Show less

8 months ago

Commented on Renaud Camus is Sentenced to Prison

The French judicial system is acting like a terrorist network - advanced civilisations do not silence intellectuals.

8 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Pornsec

8 months ago

Commented on The Establishment is Still in Denial About Muslim Rape Gangs

The western girls would be a whole lot less vulnerable if we didn't have political prostitutes pretending to be politicians who sell out our homes to the highest bidder.

8 months ago

Replied to a comment on an unavailable video

@Antifa Romania: Actiunea Anti-Fascista I am sure Nick would be more than happy to debate you guys. Both left and right-wing censorship of speech is unacceptable in an advanced society.

~ ..

8 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

The definition of a monster: someone who censors others rather than debating them.

8 months ago

Commented on [Mindscape 78 | Daniel Dennett on Minds, Patterns, and the Scientific Image](#)

44:10 - "do you have a simple definition of what consciousness is that you prefer?" - one can't talk about something without defining it.

54:00 - "a moral agent.. is to be able to foresee and understand the outcomes of possible actions, and act accordingly" - defines a moral agent without defining morality. What function is being optimised by the moral agent ("act accordingly")? The consequences? What distinguishes morality over mere pragmatism/consequentialism/immorality is the ability to make decisions based on logic/reason irrespective of the personal consequences (eg there is no reason to treat another different to how one would expect to be treated all things being equal, considering that the only reason one treats oneself special is because they value their own self/sentience/agency and we assume this is shared by like others).

56:54 - "scientific theories of consciousness" - phenomenological consciousness (mental properties/1st person experience) is by definition not an empirical phenomenon because it cannot be denied through empirical observation (measurement). We can only measure physical (eg neuronal/behavioural) properties. We can philosophically infer the existence of other sentient beings by projecting our own internal experience to like (physically similar) others (whose brains also in the case of humans encode a model of a sentient agent including certainty of its existence); but apart from the measurement of the similarity between ostensibly sentient beings and the mapping between self-report conscious experience and neuronal function this is not an empirical exercise.

1:27:25 - that "martian zombies"/martians/earthling zombies/earthlings (irrelevant) could infer phenomenological consciousness through seeing/reading human behaviour/records - This implies that it is necessarily true that we could infer phenomenological consciousness in an AI by observing its behaviour/records.

Show less

8 months ago

Commented on a private video

Mark didn't even mention all the propaganda the children will be missing out on.

9 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Fahrenheit 451

9 months ago

Commented on [Sam Harris | Bret Weinstein's DarkHorse Podcast #8](#)

It would be worth clearly partialling out chaos from indeterminism. In a chaotic yet deterministic system it is still possible to generate a near infinite variety of forms, subject to the laws of nature and size/age of the universe.

Only in an ateleological universe does determinism appear to suggest meaninglessness (of categorisation etc). Yet we have to assume human beings have evolved to be reasonable (ie the laws of nature facilitate/direct the evolution of reasonable creatures; and thus that fitness of high generality intelligence is dependent on such reason). Without this assumption all propositions/language are meaningless.

It is correct to assert that intrinsic randomness (physical indeterminism) is a necessary but insufficient condition of libertarian free will. However the problem of origination remains; even if such randomness existed it bears no obvious relationship to the ownership an agent imagines themselves taking for a decision; there would have to exist a mapping between a quantum neuronal indeterminism (speculative and arguable negligible with respect to high level cognitive processes) and will power itself; see free volition by Robert Kane. And why would such a mapping exist absent teleology?

It is not clear that intrinsic randomness apart from this mechanism can provide existence/categories meaning.

Phenomenological consciousness (mental properties) can by definition serve no causal role in a physical system or its evolution, because the physical universe is defined by what can be empirically observed. The existence of an internal sentience "within" an organism or robot cannot be denied by thought experiment and is therefore not a scientific hypothesis (cf multiverse hypotheses).

The only possible function mental properties could serve in an evolutionary context which are not overdetermined by the physical/neural system itself is in the context of a substance dualist philosophy of mind where consciousness exists in some alternate "physical" (technically not because empirically unobservable) dimension, and interacts and coevolves with our more familiar genetic/neuronal dimension. See the problem of interactionism. Likewise, the laws of the mental dimension are left unexplained, and shifted down a layer of existence.

At times Bret seems to imply that phenomenological consciousness is absurd and unparsimonious. This is true with a physicalist philosophy of mind irrespective of determinism, because mental properties are redundant (the physical system operates/evolves perfectly fine without them). This leads contemporary philosophers to propose panpsychism. Yet assuming phenomenological consciousness exists and is a natural phenomenon then it must abide by some set of laws, and these may as well be (are arguably) those same physical laws we take for granted as describing observables.

A good argument for compatibilist (for all intensive purposes deterministic) free will is that articulated by Sam; we maintain competing desires (adaptive motivations) and free will can simply imply we are not slaves to our reptilian brains. Moreover, on what basis do we make decisions if not reason? Logic itself is a deterministic process.

Finally, even taking an indeterministic universe as granted, time is simply another physical dimension of our universe, so to an "outside" "observer" everything that will happen has already happened. Indeterminism from our point of view (observed probabilistic behaviour) does not imply that the system's prospective evolutionary path is not inevitable or destined to occur.

Show less

SHOW LESS

9 months ago

Commented on AnOmyaly Keeps It Real About Nick Fuentes, Michelle Malkin, 1st Amendment & Right Wing Safe Spaces!

Great analysis

9 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Nick needs to debate/talk to a scientist.

9 months ago

Commented on YouTube's Latest Arbitrary and Unpopular Decision

Censorship of speech turns people into slaves.

9 months ago

Commented on Youtube Has Really Alienated its Users This Time: CEO Susan Should Resign

Maybe because they have started to act like a publisher by banning people they have started to receive threats of legal action (defamation lawsuits)?

9 months ago

Commented on Shaping the Culture with GLAAD

"will all age really badly": ie be sued off the face of the earth for sexual abuse.

10 months ago

Commented on a private video

60 minutes is a publisher while Youtube is a platform. Lauren Southern, Martin Sellner, Richard Spencer, Steven Crowder, Nick Fuentes and all the other dissidents could sue 60 minutes to oblivion for defamation.

10 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

What I saw of this was very funny, and it is not wise what the IDW crew have done in distancing themselves from other dissidents. Remember that censorship creates echo chambers. It isn't obvious if the heliocentric/NASA scepticism is comedic but in the Southern Hemisphere you can see neighbouring galaxies with the naked eye. There are based academics out there, and if you have questions just ask them.

10 months ago

Commented on Sacha Baron Cohen Rips Facebook and Other Social Media Giants | NowThisCohen references Voltaire (<https://youtu.be/yMaWq5yZlYM> 8:05). Voltaire was also quoted as saying "I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it" (Evelyn Beatrice Hall). National socialists do not like freedom of speech, and suppress their opposition.

10 months ago

Commented on Upcoming Debate with David Sloan Wilson

Why has this important video been unlisted? Has the debate been cancelled? Has the issue been resolved in the literature?

10 months ago

Commented on GREG JOHNSON'S ARREST - Scandza Forum, Oslo 2019

how to start a civil war 101: arrest people for talking

10 months ago

Commented on Mindscape 71 | Philip Goff on Consciousness Everywhere

A core issue here is the meaning of empirical observation. There is no way to independently verify the existence of one's own or another's internal/subjective experience, so this phenomenon (philosophical consciousness) is not within the bounds of the empirical method. We can map a correspondence between independently verified physical/neural reality

and what a biological agent tells/communicates as their internal experience (sight/smell/taste/sound/feel/etc). Moreover, based on the philosophical assumption of non-reductive physicalism (a correspondence between observables and internal experience), we can arguably map a correspondence between the agent's internal experience and physical/neural reality - "the neural correlates of consciousness".

Yet this does not provide an explanation/purpose of internal experience, because its existence and mapping was taken for granted axiomatically. The physical (observed) system can be perfectly described to behave according to the laws of physics, without the need for such assumptions (mental properties and their mapping to physical properties). This invokes what is known as the problem of "overdetermination" in non-reductive physicalism - mental properties appear functionally useless, being indistinguishable from epiphenomena.

Likewise the question arises, if such mental properties exist, and they are mapped to particular functional subsets of the physical system, what defines when they arise? (leaving their qualitative nature aside). Why do we presume to think that only particular complex physical subsystems give rise to internal experience? Panpsychism is a naturalistic answer to this question (as opposed to teleological explanations; e.g. it was designed/simulated that way). It does however suffer from "the combination problem"; specifically how and why Quiddities (elemental forms of matter/consciousness) combine to form coherent centres of consciousness like intelligent conscious agents.

Show less

11 months ago

Commented on Youtube Says it May Ban Accounts That it Deems "Not Commercially Viable"

Without clarification it sounds like a US platform for individual expression with near 100% market share might be confabulating new, legally low risk methods of justifying externally ransomed censorship.

11 months ago

Commented on Why Richard Dawkins & Bret Weinstein Disagree About Lineage Selection (Making Sense with Sam Harris)

2 hours with Dawkins and nothing about lineage level selection? Who do you think is going to answer these questions if the experts are unwilling to discuss them? Dawkins mentions W.D. Hamilton's kin selected altruism briefly @44:50, but Dawkins is not queried as to its extension/applicability to lineages.

11 months ago

Commented on Is Most Published Research Wrong?

11:30 - "so, how frequently do we delude ourselves when we are not using the scientific method? As flawed as our science may be, it is far in a way more reliable than any other way of knowing that we have." Typically this is correct, but not necessarily with respect to human psychology. Tradition is also a method for determining truth. Civilisations have evolved preconditioned on a satisfactory understanding of human psychology - those that misinterpreted human psychology died out through natural selection.

Show less

11 months ago

Commented on The US Is Becoming more Conservative Because Democrats DONT Have Kids

Interesting prediction. One must also account for politics by demographic, fertility rates by demographic, and changes in demographics. Likewise, implying those who want the Japanese/etc population to survive are "racist" is not going to help deradicalise people. Beware of terminology that take multiple meanings.

11 months ago

Commented on Connecting Nationalists, Building a Movement

Thinkspot should theoretically be able to facilitate cerebral conversations with regards to the evolutionary function (adaptation/byproduct) of nation.

11 months ago

Commented on Tulsi Gabbard's Lawsuit Against Google IS A LOSER! Viva Frei Vlawg

I don't know man - sounds pretty dodgy to me. At the very least it is willful or inadvertent directorial negligence through a failure to protocolise political neutrality. Corporations forfeit their right to benevolent monopoly (or must be held accountable as a publisher for their ostensible platform) if they are going to bias the outcome of a democratic election through ideological prejudice. As it stands, they risk facing billions of dollars in lawsuits for deplatforming US citizens from the public space. Personally I like Google, and don't want them to be broken up, but they need to get their game together - this is the USA, not some third world country that sells out its population to the highest bidder.

Show less

11 months ago

Commented on How Ancient Ice Proves Climate Change Is Real

According to the models, what amount of anthropogenic greenhouse emissions do we require to avert the next ice age minima? It is irresponsible to only talk about one side of the dangers of climate change, and makes people dismiss the field. What humanity requires is the ability to artificially manipulate atmospheric greenhouse gases (through artificial forests or emitters), and this is going to require an unlimited and consistent source of energy (ie nuclear fusion). Any greenhouse gases we emit in the process of developing this energy source can be extracted from the atmosphere in the future.

Show less

SHOW LESS

1 year ago

Commented on **What does the future hold for particle physics?**

Thanks Sabine for producing these videos - they should have you presenting physics on tv

1 year ago

Commented on **NYT Article DENOUNCES Free Speech?! Says "Free Speech Is Killing Us"**

Images are not speech.

1 year ago

Commented on **Chloé Valdary of Theory of Enchantment Bret Weinstein | DarkHorse Podcast #3**

1:46:26 - malware or intergenerational adaptation? Some lineage level adaptive concepts (eg self-sacrifice/individual martyrdom) require more than one generation to transmit/take root, and even then they won't necessarily be accepted by an individual without the belief/condition in/of ultimate self-preservation. In the case of slavery as articulated by Chloe this required perseverance to wade it out while demonstrating their shared humanity to their captors. This warrants empirical research into the conditions under which an individual would be willing to self-sacrifice for their group (eg wartime). The genetic and cultural relatedness of the group are likely to be factors, along with more direct kin selection (eg actual or prospective offspring), but higher order beliefs concerning individual (or group) purpose may be critical preconditions of voluntary sacrifice. There aren't too many historic samples where metaphysical naturalist assumptions were normalised.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **DAILY ROUTINE IN 2050**

Finally, an original movie.

1 year ago

Commented on **Apparently, Abortion Is A 'Moral Good'**

What is more probable; a) 20-30% of the population is going to admit they have willfully killed their first child, or b) cognitive dissonance. Abortion/moralisation is largely an artefact of the tolerance of the sexualisation of women; the commodification of sexual interaction independent of its natural consequences/purpose. Human civilisation is built on the confinement of sexual drive to our long-term reproductive strategy (matched genetic quality, shared resources) through the normalisation of proprietary. Critically, a) clothing and b) marriage. Such have been evolutionarily stable convergent solutions to male/female relations and are therefore found across all large scale societies that have ever existed, and which are not being/were not replaced by more evolutionarily competitive (and ironically, traditional) populations.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **The English Countryside is "Too White"**

Playing with 4 billion year old evolutionary processes is not a wise governmental strategy. They should all be discharged and their respective universities or faculties defunded.

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

YT what was the precise reason why this video was removed? ("How the Left is Pushing America Further Right"; <https://www.bitchute.com/video/Fqql2af15ro>). Removing statistical analyses by US citizens is not going to deradicalise anyone. If you wish to help people; identify and critique the proposition with which you disagree, and preferably with evidence. For example, perhaps children are being abandoned by their fathers due to systemic racial discrimination, familial independent economic welfare, preexisting economic/educational gaps (coupled with sex dependent evolutionary incentive for partner resource acquisition), or differential norms regarding parental responsibility?

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **We're Getting GAYER? Environmental & Genetic Effects on Sexuality | Ep 75**

Ganna et al 2019 finds same sex behaviour to be 8-25% genetic (ie 75%-92% environmental; prenatal, upbringing or circumstance), as measured in contemporary western social environments (US/UK). For same sex behaviour to be deterministic ('born this way'), the majority of the effect must therefore be prenatal hormonal (see also conditioning through abuse/trauma; addiction or aversion). Female sexuality is typically measured to be more fluid (cf bisexuality), which is theoretically consistent with its comparatively low adaptive benefit of being encoded by evolution (females were prehistorically selected for reproduction regardless of their sexual psychology/desire/orientation due to the high value of their gametes).

This genetic determination result could be different if measured in different environments/societies, depending on the level of gene-

environment interaction (GxE). For example, while general intelligence is typically measured to be up to 80% genetic (increases with age), the measurement has strong GxE dependence; eg in low socioeconomic environments GI genetic determination measurements can be very low (<20%). For a comparison, all the 'big 5' personality traits (openness, conscientiousness, agreeableness, neuroticism, extroversion) are typically measured to have a genetic dependence of approx 50%, and psychopathy ~50% (of which we don't consider to be a deterministic trait).

In any case this result is not unexpected. While indiscriminate sexual behaviour is very common in the animal kingdom (including mammals), exclusive homosexuality is extremely rare. The only known mammal to exhibit such behaviour is *Ovis aries* (domesticated sheep). A low genetic determination of exclusive homosexuality is likewise supported by evolutionary theory (except in cases where the underlying genetic variation contributing to the trait can confer some other unrelated fitness benefit to the lineage).

Ganna, A., Verweij, K. J., Nivard, M. G., Maier, R., Wedow, R., Busch, A. S., ... & Lundström, S. (2019). Large-scale GWAS reveals insights into the genetic architecture of same-sex sexual behavior. *Science*, 365(6456), eaat7693.
Poiani, A., & Dixon, A. F. (2010). *Animal homosexuality: a biosocial perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[Note the 8-25% genetic variance explanation reported by Ganna et al 2019 can be considered a minimum estimate because of GWAS/SNP analysis/detection limitations including the possibility of non-additive effects; for reference, twin and family based heritability studies typically yield genetic contribution estimates of approximately 30%]

Show less

1 year ago (edited)

Commented on **Does God exist? Science does not have an answer.**

"God" is typically defined as the 'cause' of (reason for) observable existence (cf Aristotelian unmoved mover). It is a philosophical theory, not unlike simulation, multiverse etc.

1 year ago

Commented on **New Races/Ethnic Groups that Might Exist in the Future**

00:04 - "Reproduction is not biased, it doesn't care about morals or ethics or politics, and it isn't selective outside of dominant and recessive traits";

There are historic social taboos against incest (genetic proximity), bestiality (genetic distance), and miscegenation (genetic distance), indicative of reproduction being a a) replication, and b) fitness maximisation process for any given genome, coupled with c) social contract (one cannot expect their tribe to invest resources in genetically distant or unfit members). We also observe consistent discrimination towards intra-population couplings (genetic similarity), despite "unbiasing" attempts to the contrary in modern/western media.

a) Hamilton's rule as extended to lineages should theoretically affect reproductive selection (mate preference): the relative genetic distance between two persons affects evolutionary incentive to invest a) genetically and c) monetarily in others, not just their b) fitness. For example, two members of a particular race (population) may be genetically (and therefore altruistically) equivalent to first/second cousins, as compared to members of another race (population).

a/b) There is a trade-off between maintaining a full copy of one's genome per replication (asexual reproduction) [maximising replication], and being able to diversify their genome by shuffling variant alleles/mutations between like organisms (sexual reproduction) [maximising fitness], providing protection against extinction (<https://www.nature.com/articles/nature14419>), and arguably accelerating speciation under conditions of high environmental stress (death).

b) With respect to fitness maximisation, there is a further trade-off between risking an accumulation of deleterious mutations (genetic proximity) [e.g. recessive traits] and risking the loss of advantageous mutations (genetic distance) [e.g. complex non-additive/epistatic effects]; cf the evolution of ashkenazi intelligence through strong ingroup selection (note this high intelligence is linked with recessive deleterious mutations also).

c) In males, their short-term reproduction strategy isn't strongly selective outside of fitness ("dominant and recessive traits"), since they are not limited by carrying capacity. Only under conditions of limited resources and commitment (long-term reproductive strategy: paternal investment) should males become particularly selective of genetic proximity. Moreover, when they are bound by a social contract, they are socially motivated to select a mate of relatively close genetic proximity (or all members of the tribe must be allowed similar levels of diversification in their offspring).

Show less

1 year ago

Replied to a comment on **Maryam Namazie on Islam, Tommy Robinson and Grooming Gangs**

Here are five sources supporting the claim the 'far right' were discussing religiously/ethnically associated child gang rape in the early 2000s (results for "Nick Griffin" grooming);

- <https://www.theguardian.com/uk/2006/jan/17/thefarright.politics>

- <https://www.theguardian.com/media/2004/may/26/broadcasting.elections2004>

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6uyU3dfd3pE> (Rotherham Scandal: Nick Griffin Discusses Muslim Grooming in 2004; BBC Journalist Criticises Him)

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qs5GaH7RNAI> (BNP Nick Griffin - Racial Grooming, Sexual Abuse of White Girls)

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WbUlfvYbjRc> (Reality of Sexual Grooming Gangs in the UK; Interview with Andrew Norfolk by SAS; 4:00: "The very first time I heard about this was in 2003... a Labour MP for Keighley in West Yorkshire, Ann Cryer, became the first mainstream politician to raise concerns". 5:04: "Now we covered this story as a one off story and moved on, and one of the reasons I think was the fear of giving the far right an extreme story". 32:50: "I think an incredible amount more could have been done. I think children's lives have been broken, families have been broken, and shattered, because of inaction")

Show less

1 year ago

Replied to a comment on **Maryam Namazie on Islam, Tommy Robinson and Grooming Gangs**

Do you have a source for this? (video, audio, flyer, article, etc). Tommy Robinson's cousin's cousin Jeanette was groomed, drugged and raped in 1994 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_YQ94jFg_4A&t=173)

https://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/2015/12/10/stephen-yaxley-lennon-describes-the-making-of-tommy-robinson_n_8747794.html)

The Sikh Awareness Society has been discussing religiously targeted grooming since 1998 (<https://sasorg.co.uk/about>). The Mainstream media first mentioned the problem in 2010 (

http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/shropshire/hi/tv_and_radio/newsid_8662000/8662856.stm), with Andrew Norfolk's investigation starting

2010, first published in 2011 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D7xhNYJV430>). Likewise, Andrew Norfolk declared their own findings to be in accordance with "the far right's dream story" ("innocent white girls, evil dark skinned men"; 3:52), in that it is apparently what the "right" had been reporting. Mohammed Shafiq claimed responsibility (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xZzEm72S5h4>) for exposing these grooming gangs in 2007 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZS3ZgB8PF2M&t=591>).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

The irony is that ADL stands for "Anti-Defamation League".

1 year ago

Commented on **What Happened Before the Big Bang?**

There is an infinite number of PBS space-time YouTube channels

1 year ago

Commented on **Renewable sector facing a 'crisis'**

In a 2002 energy exam (physics), we were asked to draw a picture depicting the negative effects/challenges of wind/renewable energy. I drew a picture of a bird flying into a wind turbine. You can accurately infer how many marks I received for this question, along with my subsequent relationship with the lecturer. Perhaps if he had asked my opinion on modern/universal renewable tech (ie nuclear fusion) he would have received a more nuanced view. It doesn't greatly matter what the first world does beside its investment in fusion research (the developing world requires cheap energy to manufacture/grow). In the future artificial CO2/greenhouse gas producing/emitting "forests" will prevent global warming/cooling caused by both natural and anthropogenic conditions (and these forests will require nuclear power). Note we are living in a relatively hot period/anthropocene whereas ice age minima are closer to the prehistoric norm, and unless we develop a way of warming the earth through the next minimum nothing much will survive. Fortunately, although interglacials typically end around now, this may not be for another 50000 years (orbital coincidences); Berger, A., & Loutre, M. F. (2002). An exceptionally long interglacial ahead?. *Science*, 297(5585), 1287-1288. (<https://science.sciencemag.org/content/297/5585/1287>). Regardless, it is reassuring that considering how concerned everyone is about climate change Google Search understands what an ice age is; <https://imgur.com/gallery/1N8GdFH>

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **RISE OF THE THOTS: Belle Delphine's Return | Ep 68**

The irony is that Patreon has banned Faith Goldy, Carl Benjamin, Milo Yiannopoulos, Robert Spencer, Lauren Southern, and Brittany Pettibone; and Instagram has banned Alex Jones, Faith Goldy, Laura Loomer, Tommy Robinson, Milo Yiannopoulos, Gavin McInnes, James Allsup, Avi Yemini, and Paul Joseph Watson for supposed 'hatred'. Whoever is responsible for the social media censorship clearly doesn't understand moral psychology. <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/316/5827/998> (<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-new-synthesis-in-moral-psychology.-Haidt/18556068c4e5fe850961ad52a4c66a10cee3cbd0>)

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **Maryam Namazie on Islam, Tommy Robinson and Grooming Gangs**

33:30 "And the Christian Right for example killed the MP here in Britain; Jo Cox, or for example attacked the mosques in NZ". This is incorrect - but knowing this would be difficult because they have effectively outlawed speech in the UK. Read the source material.

1 year ago

Commented on **The Political WEAPONIZATION of Phobias**

All of the marxist isms and phobias are psychological warfare/propaganda (see 1984). They are designed to invoke a desired behaviour without making an argument. Note there may be a difference between how certain distinct fears have been encoded in our DNA/RNA. In the case of spiders/snakes it is very likely that these fears (amygdala object detection) evolved through classical natural selection.

1 year ago

Commented on **Why MEN Like YOUNGER Women: The TRUTH About AGING & Dating**

14:10 - "and our husbands - who we've built our lives with - are still going to love and cherish us once we get all wrinkly"; technically it depends on how much time they reserved for their husband when they weren't. Which is another reason why marrying young is especially important for females; it is not just their prospective fertility that counts (this desire is programmed evolutionarily), it is also the time they have spent with (benefiting) someone else, when their sexual market value was even higher. At a cognitive (as opposed to affective) level, humans desire equality in relationships. If a male is expected to grant all his resources to a single female as they age, then the female is (subconsciously) expected to have granted all her 'resources' (fertility) to a single male as they age. This is one of the reasons why the institution of marriage was created (it evolved cross-culturally, independently/convergently) - it is not an emotional toy that can be modified at whim, but an essential tool in guiding/establishing long-term relationships between dimorphic male and female Homo sapiens (whose sexual characteristics have been evolving for 1 billion years). Without social

unintentional male and female homo sapiens (whose sexual characteristics have been evolving for 1 million years), without social direction (ancestral wisdom) towards this end, their offspring were left untended by the father (having typically been seeded through short-term engagements in which a comparatively higher genetic quality male offers no long-term resources, or a female offers no long-term fertility/exclusive nurturing availability). A social debt is created which can never be paid, except through war/conquest. All large scale societies that rejected (dishonoured) this institution died out through natural selection. We are currently witnessing real-time this population replacement in the west. Obviously things are more complicated; there is more to human value than evolutionary resources and equality with respect to them, and there are other critical social issues affecting fertility rates (e.g. mass sexual addiction to virtual prostitutes/objectification, athletic negligence, the belief in the sustainability/relevance of the human job market etc).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **Soph BANNED: The Youtuber They Don't Want You To See**

She knows what she is saying - watch her interviews. Including the censored ones on infowars.

1 year ago

Commented on **Noah Carl on Race, IQ and Academic Freedom**

This is a triple A interview.

1 year ago

Commented on **How We Create Problems for Ourselves**

There is a serious typo in the translation (subtitles) of this video; "related with differences" was incorrectly translated as "highlighting differences". The Dalai Lama said it correctly himself.

The full text reads: "Existing education, not adequate. Existing education, very much oriented about material value. So [at] young age children, say 5, 6, 7 year old children, they don't care about nationality, about religious faith, so long [as they] play together, smiling together, [they feel they are the] same. Once we join school, then the school teaching not much teach how importance of our emotion, inner peace, just material values. Then, related with [NOT: "highlighting"] differences now on the basis of nationality, religious faith, or these things, then creates problems. That creates, you see, a strong attitude of 'us' and 'them'. That is also problem."

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **END of a Free Hong Kong BEGINS**

Why did YouTube demonetise you? Censorship of thought is weird.

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

I think the relevant distinction is between short-term and long-term pleasure. The average age of a human is ~80/82 years. That is a lot of deferred wretchedness for the sake of some addiction. Not to mention there won't be enough children birthed to sustain civilisation (their greater genetic lineage). We need to be wary of cognitive dissonance especially with respect to those who prioritise anything above truth; they may be attempting to increase their sexual market value by normalising themselves/their past, or because the mental pain would be too great to bear admitting the mortal consequences of their error (and that of those who should have helped them).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Any man whose sole concern is eliminating hatred is likely to be a sexual deviant.

1 year ago

Commented on **Ted Cruz's Opening Statement on Big Tech Censorship**

What a great speech. A simple solution would be to ensure that where a predetermined algorithmic bias has been applied by a big tech platform (effective monopoly), they must provide users with an "algorithm on"/"algorithm off" option for all results/recommendations. Furthermore, there cannot be deplatforming of citizens on any monopolised infrastructure, electronic or otherwise (this solves the foreign terrorist propaganda problem; as they are under no obligation to show this outside of its country of origin - and local authorities can deal with domestic threats of/calls for violence).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **Scientists Confirm Shape and Composition of the Universe**

Note a possible English translation/communication error similar to

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t00pfJnEt48&lc=Ugyxuk_kLdkitoD-h5Z4AaABAg; [8:30] "dark energy.. and is responsible for what is known as the Hubble Constant. This dark energy is literally the reason why everything in the universe is moving apart from each other." Dark energy is considered responsible for the acceleration of the universe: the continued/increasing rate of expansion, as opposed to the steady deceleration (reduction in expansion rate) we would see otherwise due to gravitational attraction.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **Opinion: If the Dalai Lama is a sexist, the #MeToo mafia has gone too far**

We need to have pity on a lot of these western women; they suffer PTSD from sexual abuse. #metoo isn't the problem however. The real reason they came after the Dalai Lama was because of his comments on European sovereignty; "Europe, for example Germany, cannot become an Arab country.. Germany is Germany" [26/6/16], "Europe belongs to the Europeans" [12/9/18], "Keep Europe for the Europeans" [27/6/19]. This upsets those who would maintain wealth/power/safety by 'selling out' their ancestral homelands.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **Lindsay Shepherd BANNED From Twitter For Calling Transwoman "Ugly Fat Man"**

What was the point of Jack Dorsey coming on Joe Rogan? He needs to correct this.

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

I hear that Osama Bin Laden bought Colgate toothpaste.

Becca Lewis: Really!?

1 year ago

Commented on **Tommy Robinson 'Guilty' Until Proven Guilty**

It is good to see someone whose judgments aren't dictated by Islamic terrorism.

1 year ago

Commented on **"THE TRUTH" - A Revolutionary Act - Tommy Robinson's Court Appeal**

Cui bono? (To whom does it benefit)

1 year ago

Commented on **RECREATING BELLE DELPHINE'S INSTAGRAM**

Barbara you are not very good at objectifying yourself (lol).

She passed the test, she is human.. "Depend on it. Your mother survived this test. Now it's your turn. Be honored."... "Why do you test for humans?" he asked. "To set you free." "Free?" "Once men turned their thinking over to machines in the hope that this would set them free. But that only permitted other men with machines to enslave them." "Thou shalt not make a machine in the likeness of a man's mind," Paul quoted. "Right out of the Butlerian Jihad and the Orange Catholic Bible," she said. "But what the O.C. Bible should've said is: 'Thou shalt not make a machine to counterfeit a human mind.'"

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **Episode 53: Solo -- On Morality and Rationality**

Morally right/wrong is equivalent to logically right/wrong. Eg assuming we value ourselves as a sentient agent, and we believe in the existence of like others, it is inconsistent to treat another different than how one expects to be treated by others All things being equal.

1 year ago

Commented on **Free speech - why we've lost it**

"Hate speech" as defined is communist/globalist propaganda. It has no conceptual validity in the context of mature adults wishing to talk to each other and express their opinions. Censorship creates hate (including anti-ashkenazism). The idea that national socialists or their soviet counterparts rose to power through freedom of speech is a diabolical reading of 20th century history. University entrance requirements are too low.

1 year ago

Replied to a comment on **True Friendships Must Be Anti-Fragile (THE SAAD TRUTH_929)**

The causal factor here is interpersonal threats from opportunistic males pursuing their non-consensual [type B] short-term reproductive strategy: rape and/or manipulation. Notice how the "SJW" left correctly do not distinguish between these because they refer to the same underlying reproductive strategy. That is, without the hypergamous/genetic inequality tradeoff typically found in voluntary [type A] short-term reproductive encounters between comparably low status/quality females and high status/quality males. (Only in the convergent long-term reproductive strategy is genetic equality mutually desirable, where male commitment to resource provision sufficiently match the opportunity cost of pregnancy). Evolutionary theory plus observed dimorphism would also predict the following (hypotheses worth exploring);

- 1) overt socialism should be consistently (cross-culturally) higher in human females than males (i.e. self-reported provisions for outsiders/foreigners and low status males who have less cultural/genetic allegiance and must therefore be deterred from engaging in STRS type B - one cannot risk been seen by their prospective threats as being unkind/antisocial towards them).
- 2) overt socialism should positively correlate with the level of sexual autonomy desired by individuals/groups (as this positively correlates with prospective interpersonal threat).
- 3) sensitivity to social decoherence threat (and therefore overt antisocial behaviour) depends on individual differences in sexual market value; i) physical attractiveness (local/absolute genetic quality) and ii) fertility.

Show less

1 year ago

Replied to a comment on True Friendships Must Be Anti-Fragile (THE SAAD TRUTH_929)

@Michael Thibault precisely

1 year ago

Commented on True Friendships Must Be Anti-Fragile (THE SAAD TRUTH_929)

For evolutionary reasons, antisocial behaviour is more difficult for females.

1 year ago

Commented on HOW TO MAKE YOUR INSTAGRAM LOOK COOL

Males have evolved to be attracted to the perception of intent to be a sexual object (because it was associated with imminent reproductive opportunity); which is the fundamental stimulus of pornography. Outside of the context of sexual relations the signal is a lie of course.

1 year ago

Commented on Explorer Modes - The Most Interesting Unanswered Question in Evolutionary Biology | Bret Weinstein

The question of adaptive mechanisms of macro-evolution has been on my mind since first studying natural selection, and it is great to hear it articulated by an evolutionary biologist. I would like to learn potential genetic/biological mechanisms behind evolutionary experimentation ("intentional"/adaptive divergence), which presumably serve to safeguard the primordial/base genome against extinction. For example, diploidy/sex (enables the build up of recessive mutations that are adaptive only when expressed in combination with others; ie complex traits, cf <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature14419>), transposons, epigenetics, etc.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on The Fermi Paradox | Bret Weinstein

This inconspicuous video might be one of the most important on YouTube.

1 year ago

Commented on Demonetisation! Where we're at now..

FYI Alphabet this is the kind of anomalous behaviour which makes people think it is a good idea to break up otherwise benevolent monopolies.

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

"Mental health" generalisation is a propagandistic method of hiding social disintegration for the utopian state. Onward through the institutions.

1 year ago

Commented on I got kicked out of my apartment for being conservative

<https://m.imgur.com/L4AD52H>

1 year ago

Commented on Rebel Media DENIED entry to Canadian Embassy by Prime Minister's Office | Kean Bexte

If there is any function of a constitutional legal system in a western democracy it is to prevent instances like this from occurring. The suppression of a media from reporting on politicians is totalitarian incursion #1. Any Canadian who still values civility/order needs to act to protect their democracy from tyranny that hides behind ad hominem/political attacks on dissident media.

1 year ago

Commented on My Youtube Channel Will Probably Get Banned, Youtube Is Purging Channels

If this new demonetisation/purge is for real, all prominent centrist/libertarian YouTube creators should create a syndicate and sue the New York Times for defamation. The NYT's negligent editorial team can make up for their losses.

1 year ago

Commented on If today's articles existed in the 1950s

This is so cool

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

"you alone are responsible for the outcome" - someone needs to inform the relevant parties that funding high level social interventions provides no guarantee of success (conservative probability estimate: ~10% on average) - human psychology is too complex to be manipulated long-term. In fact it might just create the monster one is attempting to avoid.

Anakin Skywalker: Sometimes I wonder what's happening to the Jedi Order. I think this war is destroying the principles of the Republic.

Padme Amidala: Have you ever considered that we may be on the wrong side?

Anakin Skywalker: What do you mean?

Padme Amidala: What if the democracy we thought we were serving no longer exists, and the Republic has become the very evil we've been fighting to destroy?

Anakin Skywalker: I don't believe that, and you're sounding like a Separatist.

Padme Amidala: What this war represents is a failure to listen. Now you're closer to the Chancellor than anyone, please, ask him to stop the fighting and let the diplomacy resume.

Anakin Skywalker: Don't ask me to do that.

<https://getyarn.io/yarn-clip/e1cacbd7-e3a2-494c-8191-b39ac6d909bd>

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Youtube Has Censored My Video About Censorship, Yes Seriously

It is probably a legal complaint from Pinterest to YouTube about the original Project Veritas expose' footage. Until the complaint has been resolved they are remaining cautious.

1 year ago

Commented on Black Pigeon Speaks Channel has been Banned

People only censor others when they have lost an argument.

<https://i.imgur.com/L4AD52H.png>

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

Great example of a productive debate/demarcation of ideas. I found two issues in Mark's argument worth critiquing. Firstly [1];

1. It is assumed that ethnocentrism for any group (including the Jewish people) is OK and natural.
2. Then why are Jews criticised for "shilling for Israel"? Moreover, they have been historically suppressed (for 1000+ years) by the west so there is nothing wrong with helping them out.
3. When Mark says "not all Jews", nuance of which deserves praise, he doesn't include Ezra Levant in this. Yet Ezra Levant appears to be a perfect example of an objective (non-hypocritical) Jewish person. And there are many others like him defending the west and its values.

Summary:

- Hypocritical subgroup A (promotes western culture/population decimation but not their own) supports C (Israel).
- Non-hypocritical subgroup B supports C.
- Support for C is irrelevant to the hypocrisy of a subgroup.

Secondly [2] (previously articulated);

1. It is observed that the Jewish population is overrepresented in the control of a large number of institutions (media, cinema, music, academia/scientific achievement, etc).
2. Yet there are other non-conspiratorial explanations for this observation (for example, intrinsic population intelligence differences).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on The Candace Owens Show: Imam Mohamad Tawhidi

Great interview. Candace the far-left were inanely blaming you for NZ because the attacker cited you in his manifesto as an inspiration (dark humour; he probably sees your association with conservatism/etc as a threat to ethnicity). According to the manuscript the actual shooting had little to do with Islam/religion except with respect to its implications for population replacement/birth rates.

1 year ago

Commented on Paul Weston - Why The British Police Bow To Islam

Hi Paul, make sure you upload everything to Bitchute. We need a complete record of the censorship.

1 year ago

Commented on We Now Know How the Universe Will Probably End - The Big Rip

Note the English translation/communication of our universe's expansion (vs acceleration) appears to be slightly misleading (00:37-1:35); "Now before we start I actually have to kind of briefly tell you about the dark energy first of all we don't really know what it is we know it's something that keeps pulling things apart in the universe because for the past 20 or so years since the discovery of dark energy we realize that the vast majority of stuff in the universe is actually moving faster and faster and faster apart from from everything [so in other words if I were here and you were about let's say a million of light years that way you would be moving away from me but if you were two million light years away from me you would be moving away even further and faster so in other words the universe seems to be actually expanding faster and faster] and also accelerating its expansion and that's something we didn't really believe it first but then the math seems to suggest that it's true according to some of the more recent calculations about 72% of stuff in the universe is dark energy". What is described in brackets [] doesn't imply accelerated expansion (ie doesn't require dark energy), just constant expansion; Hubble's constant of expansion. Without dark energy we would witness slight negative acceleration (deceleration), but we would still expect near constant expansion - ie the statement in brackets [] would still hold: "let's say a million of light years that way you would be moving away from me but if you were two million light years away from me you would be moving away even further and faster", and we have recognised this to be the case before the discovery of dark energy (ever since Edwin Hubble). This is a nice illustration of constant expansion; Simulation of the Big Bang and expanding universe (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WGUBt-vNFC8>)

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Scientists Discover That Our Galaxy Is Full of Water Worlds

The video recommends Titan, shouldn't it recommend Europa/Enceladus?

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

Nice comeback. The median value of female life is higher than the median value of male life (evolutionary consequence); which is why males are traditionally sacrificed in conflict. Not all males are required to satisfy the maximum reproductive capacity of the population/tribe. Similar logic applies to children versus adults, enhanced by the reduced resources to maintain (feed) them. Here are some dilemmas for utilitarianism; a) If human beings are worth more than puppy dogs, then shouldn't we surrender to a more intelligent and sentient lifeform upon encountering one? If not, why not? b) If we could bring peace on earth if only it involved keeping a tortured child locked in a basement for the rest of its life, would we do it? If not, why not?

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Muslim rioters ATTACK Tommy Robinson voters with bottles, bricks in Oldham | Jessica Swietoniowski

Jessica you/Rebel should consider sourcing some protective gear

1 year ago

Commented on I Have Been Betrayed And SMEARED By Someone I thought Was My Friend

Latest research (examining the distribution of GI genetic markers across populations); Dunkel, C. S., Pallesen, J., & Kirkegaard, E. O. (2019). Polygenic scores mediate the Jewish phenotypic advantage in educational attainment and cognitive ability compared with Catholics and Lutherans. *Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences*. Piffer, D. (2019). Evidence for recent polygenic selection on educational attainment and intelligence inferred from GWAS hits. *Psych*, 1(1), 55-75. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2019-03287-001> <https://www.mdpi.com/2624-8611/1/1/5>

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Israel and The Virtue of Nationalism | Yoram Hazony | POLITICS | Rubin Report

11:20; "in the book I actually argue that this is a biblical ideal"; Faith Goldy argued the same thing (<http://youtube.com/watch?v=QRJ-cbZ93B8> - 4:50)

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

In natural environments (where our emotions evolved), polyamory only works in polygynous environments (due to pregnancy/parental investment), and polygynous environments were only sustainable in eras of constant war (high male death rates). It does not appear

to be an adaptive strategy even in artificial environments (contraception/abortion), as monogamous civilisations (those with high moral standards) outcompete promiscuous ones. Perceived long term partner value is a function of fidelity, and fertility rates are a function of perceived long term partner value (all modern western societies are currently below replacement levels, sustained only by the "diversity" of less/yet corrupted immigrant cultures). If for example a partner has intentionally given themselves away to another at a time of peak/higher sexual market value (which for a female is almost certainly in her past), the incentive to remain with that partner (reciprocate commitment) decreases, reducing the probability they will sustain a long term relationship necessary for development and care of multiple offspring. Human beings have also, albeit more recently, evolved to value themselves as sentient agents (~ego), which makes monogamous intentions the most idealised in a partner. Sexual addiction can play with these priorities; ie the expectation of stimuli indicative of sexual receptivity/dominance (an expectation which has become increasingly conditioned with artificial stimuli).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [My Fiancé Has Been BANNED From The USA](#)

Only a government infiltrated by communists/fascists/foreign agents bans the free movement of western citizens (TR-au, GM-au, MY-au, BP-uk, LS-uk, MS-us). Censorship doesn't work, it just creates dissension (it like ad hominem is a form of psychological terrorism). People who truly respect freedom of speech (as opposed to abominations thereof; e.g. pornography) will gladly donate to persons whose freedom of speech is being curtailed, as a matter of principle. Yet it would appear the media once again is struggling with logic: terrorist donates to organisation != organisation donates to terrorist.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [Cambridge University's Vice-Chancellor Responds to Jordan Peterson's Case \(THE SAAD TRUTH_877\)](#)

If only the faculty of divinity had denied university association to anyone who had ever said hi to a person who had mocked their confabulated category of having an irrational fear of Christianity, then people would take them seriously. While lecturing across 100 thousand seat filled auditoriums, it was their sole academic duty to re-educate the heretic in acceptance of their irrationality. Afterall, rationality is well defined by the Ministry of rationality (rationalwiki.org).

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

The crucial question is whether one is honouring their future long term partner with such behaviour. Do they really want to enter old age with someone who has slept around? Or if they get married, why not just ditch them for someone younger (or if the system has been sufficiently gamed, for profit)? Consider the possibility sexual addiction (prior use/abuse, overstimulation, and competition for attention) is driving demand for short term relationships. When a male is offering no resources their standards lower, resulting in genetic inequality in coupling, and a female memory of having been used. This is assuming they were so naive as to think we have not evolved multiple mating strategies, one of which favours the crossing of high quality males with relatively low quality females, and in which it is adaptive to ignore their face and objectify them.

The ultimate critique of short term strategies being that every civilisation which did not nourish the long term strategy created inefficiencies (single mothers) and was subsequently subdued by its more competitive neighbours. We know this because no such societies survived natural selection, and ours is in the process of replacement. It is worth noting some extraneous factors; #1 The female gossip network which holds every liberal society together has been redirected towards promoting population suicidal ideology (Marxist dissatisfaction in the forbearance of their ancestors and the consequent erasure of natural hierarchies). #2 The western male is now constantly primed with the illusion of female receptivity through the commercial presentation of the sensitive female reproductive area.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

[16:07] It is true to say good/bad require something written into the structure of reality to distinguish them objectively. Yet reason itself necessitates right and wrong (and we have to assume humans are capable of such or any proposition is meaningless). Decisions/thoughts can be based on consistent deductions from reality or inconsistencies; the disregard of information based on impulse/negligence or error from ignorance/falsehood. An example of such information might be the strong possibility human beings have like minds (ie no p-zombies), and so it would be contradictory to treat another different to how one would expect to be treated under the same internal/genetic-external circumstances.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [Reasons shootings like Christchurch will happen again](#)

Great analysis.

1 year ago

Commented on [Finger Diversity and Fluidity - Let's Stop Focusing on the Number 10 \(THE SAAD TRUTH_861\)](#)

Base 10 is discriminatory.

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

I think as women hit their fertility wall outside of marriage they see how undervalued they really are by a materialistic society. If they (or their virtual actors) have invested entirely in sexual appeasement, males become conditioned to expecting this. Certainly if they have ever manipulated others for unreciprocated attention, disrespect will cumulate in the opposite sex. Although I haven't read the article, I agreed with most of the quotations. Dehumanisation is not healthy for an individual or society, and indicates a serious breakdown in gender relations. Civilisations which reject the adaptations upon which they are founded (proprietary and marriage), those enabling the suppression of short term mating strategies/instincts in favour of long term ones (partnership/fidelity), do not survive natural selection in a competitive world. The money borrowed to fund the disparate offspring generated from high genetic quality males and comparatively low genetic quality fertile females eventually runs dry, and can no longer be extracted from rogue males. The fertility rate falls below 2. This is assuming the electorate hasn't covered its morally unsustainable tracks with population replacement, introducing breeding partners who trade up on (racial) success.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Youtubers CENSORED after Far Left Fake News Hit Piece?!

The CNET article may be correct; "From Feb 15 onwards, that number [of alt-right recommendations] dropped [from 7.8%] to 0.4%". The purported change would likely only apply to recommendations of users who are not subscribed to such channels. I am not subscribed to anyone but regularly receive recommendations from a number of such channels, and so have been able to retrospectively test a subset of four real "alt-right" channels from the Data & Society report, with respect to videos published 15 Feb 2019 - 1 March 2019. Note Tommy Robinson content is not "alt-right" (according to its most broad definition; having a preference for racial preservation), so there is no reason why he would be affected by the purported change. From my test I have found anecdotal evidence of this change:

#1. The last 'alt-right' videos I have watched from 3 of these channels were watched before 15 Feb (10, 13 Feb).

#2. 5 out of 5 'alt-right' videos that were published by 3 of these channels after 15 Feb were never recommended to me (they would usually be).

Interestingly however, videos from the 4th "alt-right" channel that explicitly contain "alt-right" were not censored - all bar one of their videos published after 15 Feb were recommended to me.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

a) This an excellent review of FOBO. The problem with FOBO is that it is a positive feedback loop. Once we cycle once, we are more likely to cycle again. Humans aren't made for cycling other persons (at least cognitively; at the level of ego or self-respect, evolutionarily recent cortical development). First serious breakups often create depression. Likewise, the probability of a female's relationship lasting is correlated with the number of prior sexual partners she has had. A reasonable hypothesis for this being; a female's sexual market value peaks at a young age, meaning it is almost inevitable that she had a higher evolutionary value at the time she had given herself away in a prior sexual relationship. Not only does her ability to offer herself as a valuable object to a future partner diminish over time, but if she has voluntarily given herself away to a prior partner she (subconsciously) retains knowledge of this gift, and does not feel as adequate in a future relationship. There is however a confounding factor where if a female only ever experiences one (1) sexual relationship she might think another better (FOBO).

b) Short-term and long-term mating strategies have co-evolved for males and females. Yet the description "low quality" of short-term relationships differs for men and women. Males have evolved to seek reproductive opportunity through numerous short-term encounters with comparatively lower genetic quality females (requiring negligible resource investment and reproductive opportunity sacrifice on their behalf, compared to the females; pregnancy, rearing etc). On evolutionary time-scales for Homo sapiens, the ratio of females to males that have successfully reproduced is 2:1 (average). And during the early anthropocene (<10000 years ago) the ratio of females to males that have successfully reproduced is often as high as 10:1. Females likewise have evolved to seek short term relationships with extremely high genetic quality (alpha) males, assuming they live in a socialist community which can provide them resources for the inevitable bastard. Note for a female this is a "low quality" partner from a non-genetic personal perspective (assuming they were deluded into seeking a long-term relationship through means of carnal seduction, as opposed to her voluntarily accepting insemination from a stud).

Proprietary (clothing) and marriage have co-evolved cross-culturally (universally) to suppress activation of this short-term mating strategy in favour of the long-term mating strategy (life-long partnership of matched genetic quality). Despite contemporary ingenuity, the function of clothing and marriage is not fashion and feelings (although beauty often coincides with material constructions, and feelings often coincide with the establishment of a partnership). The perversion of these social adaptations triggers in males short-term mating strategies based not on genetic quality (match), but preconditioned only on fertility (the only requirement being a satisfactory body for copulation, with the face necessarily ignored). Every civilisation in history that did not develop these adaptations died out through natural selection (and many today are in the process of dying out through the same means). Sacrificing these adaptations lowers the fertility rate (resources are not willingly redistributed from rogue males to genetically unrelated offspring) and destabilises the population (making it uncompetitive with respect to its neighbours).

c) A scientific term for non-economic value judgement is "sacred values". Treating others as transactional is fundamentally dehumanising, assuming individuals have dignity. Yet we often must (at least implicitly) offer some level of commitment in order to get to know another. For this reason, healthy relationships develop in stages.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Women May Be DRAFTED Into The Military in MAJOR Court Ruling

Being male does not grant one the title man (their gender is conditioned upon initiation; the willingness to self-sacrifice for the evolutionarily higher median value sex). Drafting women is not the kind of thing a planet wants to normalise, even without hand to hand combat. A nation is not always going to be on the winning team, nor is it always going to obey POW treatment declarations.

1 year ago

Commented on Panpsychism and Logos

Non-reductive physicalism (property dualism) conflicts with metaphysical naturalism (i.e. ateleological ontologies of nature, e.g. atheism) because it posits an assignment of non-empirical (i.e its existence cannot be denied by objective

observation/measurement) mental properties (i.e. internal observer/qualia/non-physical consciousness) to arbitrary physical systems (i.e. human neural networks/brains). Yet under the current scientific paradigm, physical systems are assumed to operate perfectly fine on their own, according to (either probabilistic/deterministic; irrelevant) physical law (cf. computer/philosophical zombie).

1. Panpsychism is a resolution to this problem (see also; "overdetermination"). Panpsychism however is limited by the "combination problem". As discussed, there is no reason why some ~conscious low level particles or "quiddities" should combine into a greater conscious unity/centre of consciousness (mind) and not others. This is where information processing models of consciousness mind might make more sense (in that this delineation might be defined by the level of information processing complexity occurring in a physical system). Simulation theory is also helpful in this regard, but it like substance dualism pushes the problem of creation (emergence/ instantiation) and function (behaviour) of mind back a layer.
2. Under any non-substance dualist philosophy of mind (including naturalistic panpsychism and property dualism) non-physical consciousness can't evolve, or provide any adaptive advantage to the system. If it say operated on the indeterminism of nature at a lower (quantum) level, we would be able to detect (measure) the physical events as behaving according to a pseudo-random probability distribution; this would break the precise probabilistic predictions of nature (quantum mechanics) in a way that follows some discoverable pattern.
3. Metaphysical free will could theoretically operate on such lower level physical (observed/measured) randomness, as per Robert Kane's 'free volition', because for metaphysical free will to exist its predictions are by definition unpredictable (observed random). There is however no clear reason for a conscious agent to reject any given desire in favour of a competing desire, assuming their mind (including desires and memory) are defined by the (probabilistic) physical system. A compatibilist would argue; what other reason is there for an agent to make a decision if not reason itself, which is a deterministic process (logic). Likewise, it is not clear how such a system of free will could be implemented practically, as neuronal systems are not obviously subject to quantum indeterminism (they are fairly macro and rely on ionic/molecular transfer/flow).
4. One possible "function" (philosophical) of non-physical consciousness under indeterministic interpretations of quantum mechanics (Copenhagen interpretation) might be to collapse the probability wave function (see "mini-collapse" as opposed to decoherence). Yet again, one might ask why or how would it do this, and if the prerequisites for its existence (instantiation in the universe) are defined by the physical system these prerequisites may as well be responsible rather than non-physical consciousness itself.

Under nominalism, what we perceive as a unity of particles/particulars (according perhaps to some universal category or "universal"), could be an adaptive method of discriminating between regularities in emergent phenomena (pattern recognition). In this sense an object is not necessarily more than the sum of its parts, just a productive and reliable method of categorisation of how these parts are interacting with each other and producing the observed high level phenomenon. Nominalistic reduction of nature to this extent is however a problem for conscious unity (mind), at least how we perceive ourselves - as objectively singular and unified centres of consciousness. In that our existence is perceived to be whole and real (I think therefore I am). We have certainly evolved to believe in ourselves as unified agents - see self/other directed theory of mind - and our qualia/experience convey this. Individual conscious experiences (e.g. of some qualia in the field of view/awareness, say a red/moving point of light, or concept, say a dog) likewise are thought to be encoded across vast/multiple neural networks (and not localised to particles or individual neurons). Again, this pertains to the combination problem.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on RE : "The Real Tommy Robinson"

Precisely. Where there is no free speech the terrorists have already won.

1 year ago

Commented on Joe Rogan Experience #1236 - Jack Dorsey

Jack Dorsey appears to be a nice and reasonable person, the kind of character you would want to have on a team. The fact he was not aware of the partisan censorship (or even the specifics of the high profile anti-intersectionality takedowns) implies it would not have been productive to push him any further on the issue at the time. I thought this was a great conversation, as was his conversation with Gad Saad. It does however indicate a deficiency within twitter management, who are responsible for identifying the different ways in which their platform is being gamed. For their platform to survive they need to deal with the continued biased and targeted censorship of social critics.

For example, it cannot be overlooked that a large number of prominent/public figures banned on twitter have been critical of Islam (Gavin McInnes, Tommy Robinson, Alex Jones, Milo Yiannopoulos, Carl Benjamin, Laura Loomer, etc - the latest martyr, Apostate Prophet: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MbFY-xZgJ6s>). It appears that some of their employees have difficulty differentiating between people and ideas, and should either be made redundant or given help learning English. The protection of any religion from criticism is unacceptable, and indicates the success of Islamic terrorism in infiltrating western civilisation.

I agree with Jack in that people should be able to follow (twitter)/subscribe to (YouTube) hashtags.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Why is YouTube censoring this video? source: <https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=0YjZAwqchj0>

1 year ago

Commented on Urban Liberal Admits Racism, Shame of race mixing

According to google; racism (n): prejudice, discrimination, or antagonism directed against someone of a different race based on the belief that one's own race is superior. - the belief that all members of each race possess characteristics, abilities, or qualities specific to that race, especially so as to distinguish it as inferior or superior to another race or races. Under the dictionary definition preservation* of racial diversity (population level average differences) is not necessarily racism. Likewise, cross-racial selection is a real phenomenon; both cultural and biological traits can be preferenced (e.g. #1 national traditionalism and lack of body hair emulate a more compliant and fertile/youthful female partner, e.g. #2 national wealth and height emulate a more competent and strong male partner).

*Underlying scientific argument open to debate:

Complex traits often involve both additive and non-additive effects. The probability of population specific (i.e. adaptive) non-additive effects being maintained in a gene pool when mixed with a new population not featuring these alleles after x generations is low (where $x \sim 10$). Assuming i) selection for these traits has ceased (i.e. those possessing the trait are not breeding in greater numbers than the rest), and ii) the non-additive effects rely on mutations at y loci (where $y \sim x$). The probability of any individual retaining the non-additive effects after x generations is $\ll 1/2^y$, because reshuffling occurs at multiple locations on the genome (for every new generation). If we take a complex trait like intelligence, the genetic contribution is $\sim 50\%$ (conservative est), while the additive genetic contribution is only $\sim 25\%$ (single nucleotide polymorphisms, including here rare variants). This implies that the remaining 25% of the genetic contribution is non additive; it either involves a) gene-gene interaction (see epistasis), or b) gene-environment interaction. Effectively, in the case of at least a), some coded functions (protein assembly guides/genes or contextual expression guides/"junk-dna") specific to the expression of the trait require more than a single nucleotide polymorphism (and/or environmental condition). After x generations of reshuffling of the genetic material from two (or more populations), where only one initially possessed the non-additive effect (and assuming it possessed the effect near unanimously across its members), the probability of any given individual retaining this effect is low. Assuming again i) and ii). Thus the maximum expression of the trait will be primarily determined by the additive effects (<https://www.nature.com/articles/nrg.2017.104> / <https://europepmc.org/articles/pmc5985927>).

The philosophical question should be:

1. which traits are genetically distributed across human populations ("races")? [Note intelligence appears to be but may not be one of them; we will know this as soon as the researchers cited in the above review decide to collect and publish racially specific statistics].
2. do these rely on non-additive effects, and if so to what extent do they rely on a) gene-gene interaction, and ii) mutations at y loci?
3. do we wish to preserve them?
4. what are the underlying genetic motives for answering yes/no to 3 (how will it affect the propagation of our genes personally)?

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [Joe Rogan Experience #1009 - James Damore](#)

The irony is that James Damore was the least "sexist" of all of us. He was the only person in the company to underestimate the distribution of neuroticism (sensitivity to negative emotion), conformity, and empathising in the human population, and the intellectual terrorism it enables (all to cover for a low intelligence diversity theory derived by a ponzi scheme masquerading as an academic discipline).

1 year ago

Commented on [US diplomacy 101: Accuse, make threats, stay deaf to counter-arguments](#)

The Cold War is over. So what if Putin doesn't want his nation turning into a liberal hell hole. End all stupid and dangerous conflicts. #friendswithrussia

1 year ago

Commented on [Rick Sanchez: Cold War is back on](#)

Perhaps without our socially depraved mainstream media we could all be #friendswithrussia?

1 year ago

Commented on [Buzzfeed and Others FAILING Because They Are Clueless](#)

Interesting proposition; "Great minds talk about ideas [semantic memory], large minds talk about events [episodic memory], and small minds talk about other people [limbic system/ad hominem]". An equally interesting question is whether there are gender differences (averaged) in semantic versus episodic memory processing, as seen for example in stereotypical female conversation content (people) and occupational choice (law/medicine) - are these real (genetic) or an artefact of reproductive motivational frameworks (environmental)? <https://link.springer.com/article/10.3758/BF03211324>

Show less

1 year ago

Replied to a comment on [Perpetual Motion From Negative Mass?](#)

I had the same thought: intuitively, wouldn't the negative and positive mass effects on space-time cancel themselves out (assuming same magnitude), meaning no force between them? Only when one mass magnitude is greater than the other is there an effect; either attraction or repulsion. Likewise, in the case of two positive masses, attraction, and in the case of two negative masses, repulsion.

1 year ago

Commented on [The Humans That Lived Before Us](#)

Denisovans +1

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

I have never seen anything wrong with makeup (and I don't think it results in sexual use; only bodily modification/presentation has the potential to encourage this). Yet any manipulation of appearance for the sake of obtaining (inevitably short term) relationships with higher quality males (or similarly, any manipulation of behaviour by males to obtain short term relationships with a plethora of lower quality females) results in a surplus of second/etc hand females, which decreases their value as a long term partner (having given herself away to another person at her peak sexual market value). If this reaches the point where they are unmarriageable, or cannot on average raise more than a single child with support from the father, the population dies out.

The confounding and I would argue more fundamental problem in this context, is that women are now encouraged to seek higher quality males (and manipulate their sexual attractiveness to this end, regardless of makeup) in response to the normalisation of mass virtual prostitution, in which males become accustomed to inseminating higher quality females in their minds. This leaves females without the natural affections/adoration/competition generally directed them by the male community (now a zoo of stimulated jerks), and a feeling of being valued only for their sexual attractiveness. Not helped by mainstream publications either; physical appearance while a genetic/fitness precondition only goes so far in a 60 year relationship; long-term happiness requires virtue.

The only issue I had with the analysis was the plastic surgery, as this creates permanent (potentially undetectable changes) in their inferred phenotype and thus inferred genotype. It messes with evolution (long-term partner selection), and is the pinnacle of deception.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Facebook Kicked Me Off Mid-Stream & BANNED ME! Wow...

"He accepted everything. The past was alterable. The past never had been altered. Oceania was at war with Eastasia. Oceania had always been at war with Eastasia."

1 year ago

Commented on Babies In New York Have To Die So This Can Happen In 2020

That is a lot of dead baby girls - they don't care about women, they only care about themselves and their next orgasm. It looks like the governor has a problem with object permanence.

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Claims of "supremacy", "phobia" and "ism" are psychological warfare (they can switch the definitions to their extremes at any time). Never negotiate with intellectual terrorists. Only respond to argument.

1 year ago

Commented on Parents OUTRAGED as TransWoman Seen Showering in Women's Room

The parents should sue their state (and federal) government for negligence. If a government wants to enable gender non-conforming privacy they should mandate independent disability rooms for all public toilets/showers.

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

What if the democracy we thought we were serving no longer exists, and the Republic has become the very evil we have been fighting to destroy?

1 year ago

Commented on Why Does The Far Left think Muslims Support LGBTQ Issues?

If schools were capable of teaching objectively about gender, race, sexuality etc then they could theoretically do so. Yet;

1. This is not equivalent to providing ideological (eg Marxist, religious, etc) indoctrination on how students should respond to this education (ie how their culture should be constructed).
2. The nature of gender, race, sexuality are not school textbook level topics; they are extremely complex phenomena and should be reserved to post graduate level research in/with the hard sciences (minimum prerequisite knowledge; evolution, genetics).
3. Basic principles of student behaviour/etiquette do not require contextualisation with respect to arbitrary variability/aberrations. Discipline should be impartial to the motives of the assailant.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Tulsi Gabbard: Religious bigotry is un-American and must be condemned

In the modern west most bigotry is areligious (intolerance towards those who hold different opinions from oneself).

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

Carrier has developed a pretty good deconstruction of Judeochristian tradition;

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=bQmMFQzrEsc>

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=qDt7wc5nn7E>

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=LTIC7TbM8M>

1 year ago

Commented on [In the Land of Toxic Masculinity, the Cuckold is King \(THE SAAD TRUTH_834\)](#)

(at school)

child #1; "what does your Dad do?"

child #2; "he's a jerk"

child #1; "what does your Mum do?"

child #2; "she's a jerk too - wait I think she might do graphic design or something on Tuesdays"

teacher #1; "hate speech! don't you realise that polygamy is a normal and healthy expression of mammalian sexuality? Much to learn young ones.."

teacher #2; "I think we should report them to the American Psycoprogressive Association - they seem to think human psychology should not abide by the social norms of the annum"

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [Quantum Physics, Feminism, and Intersectionality \(THE SAAD TRUTH_832\)](#)

There is obviously a Sokal 3 going on which none of us are aware of. The entire department should be drug tested. If this is not supposed to be poetry it is the hallmarks of insanity.

1 year ago

Commented on [How Hollywood viewed america in the 50s Pleasantville](#)

I think the colour is supposed to represent reality. Your analysis supports the hypothesis that progressivism is just a fantasy created by immoral men to maintain a fresh supply of sexually available young women. If they were still virgins in high school how would they feel worthless enough to have intercourse with random guys throughout their 20s? The only problem is; why would any guy then marry them for anything other than intercourse? And so what is the probability of the relationship surviving? This is borne out in the research which suggests a woman's marital success rate is inversely proportional to the number of guys she has slept with. Bonds require love, and love requires virtue (sacrifice).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

viral level performance

1 year ago

Commented on [LGBTQ Statement by Tulsi Gabbard](#)

Why apologise for not wanting to change the definition of something? Moreover, it is a thousand year old institution - statistically, is it more probable that our ancestors were well adjusted or that we are well adjusted? Compare virtual/prostitution rates. Marriage evolved because any civilisation that didn't institutionalise it died out. This suggests a convergent solution to a universal (ie biological) problem. There is nothing extreme about a society prioritising long term mating strategies guaranteeing pregnant women a future, and children a mother and father.

The only difference now is that we believe we are able to artificially divorce sexual intercourse from pregnancy. The native fertility rate demonstrates otherwise. The desire to create a family and remain true to their ageing partner requires life long sexual fidelity. Traditional societies respected the sacrifices young men and women make to choose this path by honouring this choice, from the clothing they wore to the laws they instilled. All other considerations (eg emotion) are secondary to its function. A dissociation of marriage from its origins on hypothetical moral grounds could well be indicative of extremism. There are certainly more healthy ways of achieving love than to engage in propaganda.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

Some minor technical notes (00:40);

- "mental is over and above the physical" - this definition of substance dualism assumes a specific definition of "physical" only used in philosophy of mind. It is treating "physical" as an overarching substance which may or may not contain mental properties (note the "physical" in "physicalism" also asserts this definition). What is referred to as mental here (mental properties/1st person) are however over and above the physical when taking the word physical in an empirical (scientific) context, as the physical universe by definition only comprises empirically measurable observables (the scientific method only has access to physical properties, and it makes no assumptions regarding the existence of an overarching "physical" substance potentially encapsulating both mental and physical properties).

- "it is not reducible to the physical"; this is a prerequisite of substance dualism though it is not its definition; cf property dualism, non-reductive physicalism. I am sure you will unpack this in a future video.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [Life is unfair](#)

People should study evolution and the different motivational frameworks (encoded mating strategies) activated in men and women. In males; long term resource sharing, short term opportunistic (with lower quality females), and rape (cf drugging). In women; long term resource/time sharing, short term opportunistic (with higher quality males), and cuckolding (cf socialism). Civilisation has evolved to suppress all but one of these (long term reproductive partnership). Marriage is a cross-cultural universal (as is clothing for extra-kin tribes), suggesting that civilisations which rejected this strategy were unstable and died out eons ago.

The viability of a modern civilisation that rejects this principle as normative is unclear. Artificial contraception/abortion may appear to allow sexual freedom of exploration, but the personal and interpersonal psychological consequences of promiscuity haven't been rigorously tested. Clinical research captures only an extremely local picture of social consequences (years to decades, not generations to centuries to millennia), and its evaluation of personal consequences is confounded by their rapidly changing environment. Sexual selection on the other hand has been operating for a billion years, and it has produced expectations and desires in the sexes that are, quite literally, impossible to ignore. For females; if they distribute themselves at a time of high/peak sexual market value, what are the implications for the faithfulness of a future husband to their children (when he might be able to produce countless other families with more fertile/available women). Likewise for males, what are the implications for a female's preferred mating strategy, when she can be offered no guarantee of faithfulness in a partner (the rise of virtual/prostitution correlates positively with the rise of female promiscuous hypergamy). There is balance in nature, but it often operates not at the level of individuals but of groups. Evolution does not tolerate inefficiency; short sighted populations have been constantly replaced for hundreds of thousands of years.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

We would expect there to be just as many non-practicing Jews as non-practicing Christians. There is nothing inherently Jewish about destroying the nuclear family - they practically invented it, or at least this is Jesus' interpretation (also a Jew); "for this reason.. one flesh". As usual however, great critical analysis of the propaganda.

1 year ago

Commented on **Social Justice and Far Left Ideology Is Corrupting Science**

I think right-wing science denial has caused more systemic problems than left-wing science denial. Right-wing science denial has caused a failure to integrate our knowledge of nature (evolution) with traditional morality. The western family is collapsing as a consequence. Likewise, in pleasing such persons, it has caused a downplay of evolution in favour of social constructivism and the generation of atheoretical frameworks of human cognition/behaviour. Left-wing science denial is an artefact of interest groups exploiting this evolutionarily barren psychology literature.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

Completely agree with your analysis. The trade of human bodies is akin to slavery while exploitive of abused women (no little girl grows up wanting to be a prostitute), and the first amendment only covers words (neither would the forefathers wish it to be misappropriated to non-communicative material). One confounding factor however is mass sexualisation in culture/media; males have become addicted to sexual stimuli, and it will become increasingly difficult to take this drug away. Addiction is not a good condition for long term relationships, as witnessed by the dissolution of the family/marriage. Ultimately, a solution is going to depend on a better understanding of human desire and the manipulation of signals indicative of sexual receptivity in the environment of evolutionary adaptedness. It might start by beginning to appreciate that clothing is a cultural universal for large scale civilisations, and we remove it at our peril.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **DRAG QUEEN KIDS: Stop ruining children's lives!**

Where are the psychologists?

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

The best way to think about sexual relations/modesty is to think about how a future partner would have liked one to behave. Depreciation is not just an economic phenomenon. Neither is it gender specific. How much engagement with (virtual) prostitutes does one think their wife can tolerate in a man? "Mommy?, Daddy?" If you are struggling with sexual addiction install an adblocker, retune your YouTube, and learn to forgive others - research the social/corporate pressures pushing your own/opposite sex to degrade themselves.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **Tucker Boycott, Sargon Ban Prove Social Justice Is Just A Cover**

I think there is a false equivalence presented at 0:50/3:50 (first made <http://youtube.com/watch?v=dhCp4KbJAXw>); there is a significant difference between Sargon's use of critical language (ad hominem) and Sarah Jeong's. SJ (presumably) pretended to racially slur a group (X), where as SOA used a word that is commonly used as a racial slur in a novel context to make a point (Y). X implies Y, Y does not imply X. Moreover, a) based on its target audience (non-black/etc), it is nonsensical that the language used by SOA could be applied as a racial/etc slur, and b) based on the beliefs being critiqued in the context (socialism in general, alt-right/national socialism in particular), it is nonsensical that the language used by SOA should be inferred to retain its prototypical generalisations. It is far more likely that he was directly responding to written harassment unseen by the audience, and he took the liberty to use the English language in any way he pleased (ie sarcasm/irony). Despite what logophobic propaganda wants us to feel, human language is symbolic rather than indexical - the projection of information about a spatio-temporal target is not necessitated by words (words can be used to discuss/critique concepts independent of their instantiation in the universe).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Debunking The Narrative Of Right Wing Radicalization On Youtube

I think the idea of radicalisation through exposure to uncensored speech only makes sense when ignoring the creeping shift of the base line "norm" (as defined by the MSM). They have redefined religion, gender, marriage, race, sexuality, etc (according to their socialist ideology), and so anyone who rejects these categories becomes viewed as radical. A cultural shift is only as stable as the strength of its arguments (cf <https://youtube.com/watch?v=R1R8yREGAgw>). If they are concerned about a shift in actual (violent) "hate crimes" due to exposure of uncensored speech, then they should focus on these; religious terrorism (e.g. islamic), racial terrorism (e.g. national), gender terrorism (e.g. incel), sexuality terrorism (e.g. institutional), etc. Specifically, what evidence is there that someone becomes proactively violent through exposure to such ideas? A deontologist (principled) would argue: the only way to deal with such actual 'radicalisation' is to encourage freedom of expression, i.e. exposure to debate (centrism/heterodoxy). Censorship is like kicking the can down the road. A utilitarian (pragmatic) would argue: does the risk of exposure to such ideas outweigh the benefit of exposure to their criticism (by centrist/nuanced debate)? I personally think the principled and the pragmatic align: What are the implications of engineering human thought based on utilitarian outcome rather than a respect for truth (human reason); how well does human psychology respond to covert censorship? Historically, social manipulation by authorities results in revolution. Humans love freedom of thought, and they hate being controlled. Let an idea live or die on its own merits. Such philosophy is enshrined in the US constitution.

It is ironic that those granted freedom through the arena of ideas are now (almost immediately) seeking to shut down debate. This doesn't reflect well on their integrity. Furthermore, it is possible that the actual 'radicalisation' (terrorism) we see is a warning signal to deal with frustrations resulting from social incohesion/incompatibility, and that it is not reflective of the actual levels of violence capable of the species should such change continue. Unless we listen to people and deal with the content of their arguments, history is destined to repeat itself.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Here are two good German documentaries on the Venezuelan crisis that were disappeared from their YouTube channel soon after being published (<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCW39zufHfsuGgpLvikh297Q>);

Venezuela - Escape from a Failed State (DW Documentary) - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1lyeybE8qSs> (mirror:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pXmDYM0TdYQ>)

Venezuela - On the edge of ruin (DW Documentary) - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo> (mirror:

<https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x6kh7br>)

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Two Girls Face JAIL Over Refusal To Make "Same-Sex" Art

This is a great way to start a civil war. Just put up a sign saying you only make wedding cakes for traditional western weddings (opposite sex), it has nothing to do with refusing service to people. The problem is an artefact of a non-consensual redefinition of the word marriage.

1 year ago

Commented on SCOTUS Defends Planned Parenthood as Kavanaugh and Roberts Join the "Liberals"

If abortion of sentient humans (as inferred by our best understanding of the neural correlates of consciousness) is legal then parental murder in general should be legal. It is more humane to let their families fight it out than to take out sexual addiction problems on innocent baby girls. Abortion is by the way a more serious humanitarian crisis for atheism, because there is no reason to assume sentience (mental properties) magically pop into existence in the late stages of pregnancy. Under naturalistic assumptions, it is far more probable that sentience is an inherent property of physical reality, and emerges therefore in much less complex systems (including animals bred for consumption). Social tolerance of an institution whereby a human child is oft decapitated for the poor choices of 12+ year educated individuals (conditioned by their surrounds to live in fantasy worlds) implies cognitive dissonance and conformity over ethical rigour - it is the pitfall of utilitarian thinking; the greater good.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Youtube Bans Gavin McInnes for "Copyright Infringement" a Day After Glenn Beck Fires Him

I have speculated that the increasingly blatant biased deplatforming is orchestrated by covert populists (Hungarians included) who like to create martyrs. Their totalitarian actions are not going to be forgotten for hundreds of years. Either that or they are morons who think Hitler liked freedom of speech. Anyone who thinks they can successfully suppress thought in the 21st century clearly knows nothing about technology or human psychology. And if you can't challenge someone intellectually they have already won, you are just delaying the inevitable. The probability of socially engineering some propaganda and having it not backfire in your face or create a leviathan is minuscule - this is the universal lesson of history. People who attempt to game systems and manipulate others rather than reason towards mutual agreement might spread their genetic material for a generation or two, but their regimes never last.

If social media giants are concerned that the populace is no longer believing their mainstream media narrative, this is probably a better reflection on how out of touch with reality it has become, than in some inherent evil in the technology. Humans are actually unusually rational animals, and if they are all complaining about different things, chances are they all have at least one problem that requires fixing (left, right, religious, areligious, etc). But if dictators shut them up, who will uncover a solution? How many lives will be lost in the delayed struggle back towards freedom to discuss such problems openly and objectively in the arena of ideas?

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on My Chat with Physicist Alessandro Strumia (THE SAAD TRUTH_809)

Is intelligence negatively correlated with courage? This appears to be a pretty important question to investigate.

1 year ago

Commented on WATCH what happens when I confront Aussie #FakeNews in London

The NPC News doesn't like to be questioned Avi, still awaiting a response on their publicly funded Australian Story about the beauty of brothel ownership.

1 year ago

Commented on Tim Cook Should Spare us his Crocodile Tears About the "Hateful" and "Violent"

Tim Cook needs to study morality rather than ban people from talking about it.

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

The only people who truly fit well into something is if there is a reason for them to be there. So one could imagine a hypothetically corrupt civilisation with the only saints willing to speak truth to conformity being female.

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

Too right Barbara - they really need to distinguish between sexual prejudice (thought; not necessarily aware), discrimination (enacted prejudice), harassment (intentional discrimination; manipulation), abuse (physical manipulation: victim not necessarily aware, or no negative feedback from victim), and assault (physical manipulation: forced against victim's will, with feedback from victim). These are also in reverse order of difficulty to define.

1 year ago

Commented on Lord of the Rings is Racist

Elrond: "But in the wearing of the swift years of Middle-earth the line of Meneldil son of Anárion failed, and the Tree withered, and the blood of the Númenoreans became mingled with that of lesser men..."

Boromir: "Believe not that in the land of Gondor the blood of Númenor is spent, nor all its pride and dignity forgotten."

1 year ago

Commented on Alex Jones Is 'Voldemort' Because The Left Hasn't Read Other Books

The greatest trick Lord Voldemort ever pulled was to convince the world he was Alex Jones.

1 year ago

Commented on Laura Loomer Banned from Twitter for Criticizing Religious Zealots and Child Abuse

So is the definition of far-right now being against female genital mutilation, terrorism, gang rape, paedophilia, sexual slavery, polygamy, and globalism? As creatively manipulative as the propaganda is becoming they should really study the concept of backfire: (of a plan or action) have an opposite and undesirable effect to what was intended.

Top 5 Google News results for "laura loomer":

"Twitter bans far-right activist Laura Loomer for Islamophobic tweet" - Mic

"Far-right activist Laura Loomer is banned from Twitter after attacking" - Daily Mail

"Twitter Permanently Suspends Far-Right Activist Laura Loomer" - TheWrap

"Laura Loomer banned from Twitter" ("Far-right activist Laura Loomer") - WND

"Twitter, Facebook ban far-right activist Laura Loomer for violating rules" - The Statesman

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on My Chat with Pete Boghossian - On the Grievance Studies Project (THE SAAD TRUTH_788)

Feminism is a self protection mechanism against being unwanted in a sexually addicted society. The only way to break the illusion is by offering hope found in being genuinely valued as a person. Those responsible for threatening the academics responsible for revealing the religious nature of 21st century grievance studies will go down in history with every other conformist.

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

Victoria didn't have a secret - it is a lie invented by perverts. Intelligent women don't need models to look after themselves and avoid gluttony.

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

The problem Avi is that you are too Australian, try using Newspeak.

1 year ago

Replied to a comment on [Paypal Deplatforms Bitchute, Bending to Pressure from Busybodies and Lamestreamers](#)

If they are going to engage in textbook fascism, it could be most accurately put using Styx's favourite four letter word.

1 year ago

Replied to a comment on [Paypal Deplatforms Bitchute, Bending to Pressure from Busybodies and Lamestreamers](#)

There is a much more explicit version of the hashtag.

1 year ago

Commented on [Freedom of Speech in the UK: The Grenfell Bonfire](#)

Does it cause stress and anxiety to risk suggesting that pedophiles (a group) should be hated? What is the point of creating nonsense laws if they are not universally applicable? If someone thinks others are being unduly hated or incited to hatred then they should learn to formulate an argument. All lexical censorship does is to crystallise instability and forge an underground (it certainly will not diffuse hatred). Don't let the mother country fall to socialist despots. Fascists—including national socialists—restrict freedom of speech (create silencing squads and force corporations to censor people). A free people speaks their mind in the arena of competing ideas.

Or if the example I chose is not hate-speech, who defines hate? Chances are it will soon be our worst nightmare because only weak and immoral persons seek power to control others. Perhaps if the modern west did a better job at not depicting humans as animals for sexual consumption, they wouldn't feel the need to control others' speech. Have they lost faith in the potency of truth and the weakness of error because their integrity is compromised? Laws restricting distress and hatred might have worked in an era where there was a common culture and it upheld morality, but this is precisely the time where contrarian speech is needed most.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [Should the Right Embrace Identity Politics? | #BLEXT & #Walkaway](#)

@LaurenChen @TimPool @DW; regarding right-wing identity politics, what do you think of this method to make the alt-right redundant? We all get our DNA sequenced and encrypt it in an underground archive (cf Svalbard Global Seed Vault). If globalisation is successful and this radically reduces human biodiversity on the planet (nullifying 20k+ years of divergent evolution; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R1R8yrEGAgw>), compromising speciation and exposing the majority group to pathogens, our progeny will have the option to repopulate their environment with racially diverse clones.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [Youtube Bans Gaming Channel for Killing a Suffragette in RdR2, Then Reinstates It](#)

I think these kinds of videos—depictions of killing/assaulting non-violent individuals, or depicting individuals as wanting to be used—are in fact dangerous left unchecked/unchallenged; with respect to psychopaths taking content literally, or misconceptions being created about the humanity of individuals (dehumanisation). YouTube should rather than banning the content however challenge them to livestream a public debate. If someone has the inclination to depict killing/assaulting a despised (or annoying) individual or present them as wanting to be used then we should know why they despise them or see them that way and force them to argue their point under public scrutiny. Because if the video is popular chances are other people share their sentiment. Emotions like anger or frustration need to be expressed and discussed rather than bottled up. In the case of depicting individuals as wanting to be used (pornographic), we might find that the content creators are liars, which would be pretty useful information for the public.

Note the defence that depictions of male to female violence are equivalent to depictions of male/female to male violence is based on an ideological belief in symmetry between gender. Gender is in fact asymmetrical, complementary. This explains why women (feminists) can call for the killing of men, but not the reverse (because it violates a natural asymmetry based on the disproportionate value of their gametes). It might also explain why females can subsume traditionally masculine roles (combat/hunting/leadership) without losing their identity but the opposite is not necessarily the case (nurture/cohesion/beautification). It may also explain why the titles male and female are not symmetrical (one is a superset of the other). Likewise why feminism is tolerated but masculinism is abhorred. It certainly explains why we expect the opposite gender to behave and desire in ways that make sense to us.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on [Girl Scouts Sue Boy Scouts For Allowing Girls... Uh Wat](#)

Equality is an unbelievably complex problem; gender is not symmetrical, it is complementary. Freedom is traditionally held as a higher value than equality, because attempts to engineer equality typically fail (cf our comrades).

1 year ago

Commented on [Jordan Peterson: "There was plenty of motivation to take me out. It just didn't work" | British GQ](#)

They keep throwing brighter and brighter feminists at JBP. Wouldn't it be wiser to identify those fundamental areas of concern that affect women today and deal with them directly? Rather than espousing some weird desire to be able to kill baby humans under the

guise of "reproductive rights", or to force people to pay them more irrespective of the fact their race is dying out while some women still choose to nurse children. Surely these only exist because women feel sexually or intellectually threatened? It might be that women's bodies are more exploited today than any time in history, and it has nothing to do with a patriarchy. It might be that women's intellects are discarded today more than any time in history, and it has nothing to do with a patriarchy. It might be that the average male now fornicates with at least 3 virtual prostitutes per night and this has nothing to do with a patriarchy. Could it be that we have become addicts in a sexually saturated and manipulative society?

I have never heard the feminist thesis on patriarchy expressed so clearly. What a poisonous minefield. No wonder why MGTOW and the like are becoming radicalised into maleism. Do feminists really believe this stuff, or are they only looking for a desired reaction (a redirection of attention away from their appearance to their innermost selves)? They should check such wild expectations for male grace (lack of appreciation of the top down control required to maintain a gentleman) is not just a mask for female supremacism.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Science Is Starting To Prioritize Social Justice Over Truth

The scientific method enables objective observation of the universe - and science therefore is neutral to opinion/ideology (there is only good and bad science). If a scholar doesn't cite someone then there was a flaw in the editing/review that led to the original publication. Applying "reverse discrimination" is not going to help, but just further corrupt the literature. The character of a scientist likewise should have no influence on whether their scientific findings are cited (unless the veracity of their method is in question). Moreover, if a paper adds a questionable interpretation of the data based on an ostensible bias, then a new author should critique that interpretation in their own work by providing an alternate, viable interpretation of the original result. Finally, to discuss ideological terms (racism/sexism etc) without qualifying precisely what is meant by them in a scientific context suggests a lack of rigour, and the possible projection of a philosophy in their reasoning (eg blank slate hypothesis).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on Women Want Love, Men Want Respect?

Interesting theory. I operate on the assumption that women need respect; respect for their qualities that uphold and strengthen their virtue. Men definitely need respect and appreciation; this relates to the origin of the word 'gentleman', because males are not in their natural environment gentle but are socialised to this end. Men need affection and love in support of this specifically human attribute of their sex (in the context of a relationship). Likewise, men pity disrespectful persons such as female supremacists (or equally divisive malists). It is assumed they have suffered some kind of a bad experience, or have never known a man. Although men accept differences in natural/evolutionary value between the sexes (female gametes and by extension their bodies are worth more), male capitulation to group disrespect is not characteristic of man. It operates on a moral licensing psychology wherein they are implicitly granted certain rights to objectify women in their minds as long as they pay them in some form (whether it is resources, validation, adoration, etc). Real men don't buy into this cycle; it hasn't existed for very long. Men will naturally grant such attentions to virtuous women, but the only reason they will do such for the unvirtuous is if they are getting something in return (or are guilt ridden).

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on A Chat With Shlomo Gordon

Jesus was a jew. Critique judaism all you like, but anti-semitism as a western philosophy is retarded.

1 year ago

Commented on European Court of Human Rights: Do NOT Insult the Noble Religion (THE SAAD TRUTH_765)

The only explanation for an exception to criticism being granted to an arbitrary religion is cowardice.

1 year ago

Commented on A Day of Reckoning - 1 - Sam Harris, Eric Weinstein, Bret Weinstein, Maajid Nawaz, Douglas Murray

[85:10-89:25] The advantage of the IDW is that they don't propagate predetermined dogma, but respect the content of argument. Any ideological closed mindedness with respect to the importance of biological diversity in human evolution would be damaging to the intellectual integrity of the IDW; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R1R8YrEGAgw>

1 year ago

Replied to a comment on From Women To Men

I get the vibe (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vwKLTVCJn6Q&lc=UgxFmHa1KDoTq0SVrN14AaABAg/> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vwKLTVCJn6Q&lc=Ugw5Sk5YGfUpq0RY00V4AaABAg>), but will check it out preconditioned upon the detection of a minimum level of respect for the opposite sex. Nations have borders for a reason. Societies have cultures for a reason. If women aren't mating with half the population then they should blame their ancestors, not women - they are adapted for hypergamy. Clothing/proprietary evolved because societies that could not manage the stimulation of male/female instinct died out. Marriage evolved because societies which could not manage the consequences of sexual relations (the subsequent drain on female psychological+physical resources) died out. And a democratic society will most certainly die out if we start to blame a sex for our problems. It is an unbelievably immature and ineffective approach to a problem. If people wish to start blaming women for their problems then they should go read a tribal religious text. This is not a western tradition. And it never will be.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **I Smell A Rat with the Clinton, Obama, Soros, CNN Explosive Device Story**

Alex Jones on infowars has been expecting a false flag for the last 4+ weeks and claimed this was one of the main motivations for censoring him (so that people couldn't hear him talk about the prospective false flag to derail the midterms). I thought this was crazy, now I am not so sure. You would have to be deranged to attempt to censor a citizen in the 21st century, so a short term political motive (targeted suppression of reporting to influence an election) is feasible.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **From Women To Men**

MGTOW whatever this stands for seems like a beta pipe dream. Ever considered the possibility that you got used because you were using someone else? Males don't deserve anyone - historically men died in combat, and on evolutionary time scales only like 50% of male Homo sapiens successfully reproduced. These women are apologising for things they should never have to apologise for - who encouraged them to seduce men? Why weren't they given enough natural attention to feel loved without sexualising themselves (what ingenious male invention took this attention away)? Why were they abused? I am all for a think tank on gender relations, but if it involves hostility without grace, then there are more fundamental problems to be identified. Forgiveness is predicated on ignorance - forgiveness is necessary because others are ignorant of our own feelings/natural dispositions ("for they know not what they are doing"). Even the fact these women are appearing on camera is an act of kindness - what gives incels the right to behold their beauty? From where does this lack of appreciation originate? An addiction perhaps has conditioned men to natural female value.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Any takers on the half-life of a civilisation that bans speech by political candidates?

1 year ago

Commented on **Study Shows Conservatives Outnumber Progressives 3 to 1**

A serious caveat for this study is that in the primary survey they they didn't define political correctness for the participants, so we can't be certain that they all understood it the same way (e.g. wrt free speech absolutism). "One obvious question is what people mean by 'political correctness'. In the extended interviews and focus groups, participants made clear that they were concerned about their day-to-day ability to express themselves: They worry that a lack of familiarity with a topic, or an unthinking word choice, could lead to serious social sanctions for them. But since the survey question did not define political correctness for respondents, we cannot be sure what, exactly, the 80 percent of Americans who regard it as a problem have in mind." (www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2018/10/large-majorities-dislike-political-correctness/572581)

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on **Evolution, Psychology, and Religion with Dr. Gad Saad (THE SAAD TRUTH_754)**

"when you explain the nice stuff of humans everybody's quiet, when you explain the bad stuff - 'what a Nazi you must be, you're condoning cheating on your husband or wife' - no I'm not condoning it, I'm explaining that it happens tons of times and let's try to understand why it happens - it's not because of pornography."

I think people get deterred by evolutionarily reductive explanations of human behaviour - there may for example in this context be elements of infidelity that are exacerbated by socio-cultural factors. Pornography use implies infidelity of mind (fantasy), and studies have indicated that pornography is cited as a problem in some ~50% of cases of marital breakdown (NB to what extent is infidelity in other equally modern forms not also a contributing factor?). Such 'virtual' infidelity is a problem for relations because;

1. We are adapted to select a faithful partner (to increase the probability that the partner will provide resources for/conceive our offspring).
2. We have evolved domain general cognitive systems including theory of mind; we have self respect, abstract morality etc. Since infancy we demand human behaviour supports these belief structures (in the significance of self/other as extra-carnal sentient/agentive beings). Thus there are also non-adaptive (exaptative) bases for disdaining infidelity and/or engaging in it out of spite.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

Nationalism enables competition, and competition maintains biological diversity which protects against extermination of a species. Yet in a modern environment, globalism minimises incentive for a thermonuclear war because human beings are more concerned about genetically proximate collateral (and thus also protects against extermination).

1 year ago

Commented on **Why the NPC Meme FREAKS OUT the Left**

The NPC meme is a commentary on conformity.

1 year ago

Commented on **Why I Reject Indigenous Land Acknowledgments**

Someone needs to examine the hypothesis that historic grievance rhetoric causes indigenous disillusionment and suicide.

1 year ago

Commented on Free Speech Police

Speech cannot be outlawed because totalitarian societies are inherently unstable (who determines what is offensive; and what if this happens to be speech against an infiltrator/dictator/commune). Furthermore, people need to be legally allowed to make sick jokes (speak) such that we can identify sickness at its core and deal with it. If we weren't able to see all the sickness around us due to people's fear of censorship then there might for example exist an epidemic of disintegration that is tearing society apart. This epidemic may not even be caused by speech, but something much more sinister. Contrarily, the only way to help such people is to reason with them, but this necessarily requires respect for reason including its ability to persuade in open debate.

Show less

1 year ago

Commented on White People Should not Date White People??

The shroud of the dark side has fallen, begun the brown supremacy war has.

1 year ago

Commented on Tommy Robinson: 'You didn't expose abuse' - BBC Newsnight

Errors in compilation;

- (00:08) "a villain to many but a hero to some"; the qualitative judgements reported here have been determined by his representation/labelling by MSM. It would have been productive to report conflict of interest (their role in shaping TR as a villain through terminology such as "extremist"/"far right").
- (00:23) it would have been productive to report why he goes under the alias TR; protection of self/family against political/religious threats.
- (00:40) selective broadcasting of disturbed TR supporters makes the BBC look biased and negatively affects their reputation with respect to neutrality.
- (00:36) it would have been productive to report why his sentence was "later quashed" by the court of appeal; because it had over 7 systematic errors in its execution, making it "fundamentally flawed" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s6yjbQye5RM/> / <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JEBdSc8WLY8> [3:00]).
- (00:37) it would have been productive to report why TR can "still go back to prison"; because two new charges have been brought forth - claims of jeopardising the Leeds trial by reporting on publicly available grooming gang demographics declared by 3 independent parties - claims which are contradicted by a discussion between the original judge Marson and the CPS (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mV6-EygiQIA> 3:58) after they watched the full livestream post jailing TR (in that it contained nothing to jeopardise the trial; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TTdJaHWvLbk>).
- (00:47) "these days he calls himself a journalist"; TR has worked for Rebel Media and is currently an independent journalist. He undertook journalistic training with respect to contempt of court at one of the best law firms in the country before being arrested at Leeds court for breach of peace (later "contempt of court" and then quashed by the court of appeal).
- (1:28) selective broadcasting of unimpressed policewomen makes the BBC look biased and again negatively affects their reputation with respect to neutrality.
- (1:52/6:50/11:24) "islamophobia" implies irrational fear so it would generally be prescribed after examining the evidence (or making a journalistic case on a broadcast) not before.
- (5:46) "the majority of paedophiles in this country are white men" - child gang rape/paedophilia (majority "Asian"/Pakistani Muslim) is not equivalent to child rape/paedophilia (majority "white").
- (5:46) The percentage of "Asians" (Pakistani Muslim) are lower compared to "whites", so we would expect white paedophilia to be much (1 / 1.2m Pakistani/65m total pop x 90% Muslim = 50 times) higher than such "asian" paedophilia all things being equal. One has to examine statistical over-representation of Pakistani Muslim child rape with respect to the base rate (of Pakistani Muslims in the country).
- (5:50) "go to any Tommy Robinson rally and you would come away with the impression that child abuse is somehow linked to Islam"; a thorough reading of the Old Testament and Quran would seriously assist in the BBC's ability to objectively report on this issue. The bible primarily contains stories/narratives/accounts of events including laws as given to Moses, whereas the Quran primarily contains commands/interpretations/assertions (narration versus dictation). This lends the Quran to being taken as an instruction manual. Moreover, it repeatedly asserts this as its required interpretation (while providing a method for dealing with contradictions across surahs; abrogation - <https://web.archive.org/web/20081011212809/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/002.qmt.html#002.106/> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081019234821/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/016.qmt.html#016.101>). The bible does not codify sexual slavery (with captives of war), yet the Quran can be interpreted to do so (<https://web.archive.org/web/20081019234836/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/023.qmt.html/> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081106182616/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/070.qmt.html/> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081020003745/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/024.qmt.html>). Muhammad had at least one child bride (taken at age 6, consummated at age 9), along with multiple wives and sexual slaves, unlike the religious founder of the Church of England (<https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/033.qmt.html#033.028> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/033.qmt.html#033.050> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/066.qmt.html#066.003>).
- (8:00) continuing to interview only critics of TR is not going to improve the BBC's reputation for misreporting about TR.
- (8:15) "he seemed to be the go to Muslim" - this segment frames an outspoken and unapologetic cleric as exclusively representative of TR's view on Islamic following (it would have been more objective to examine the actual arguments of TR).
- (10:40) "they are hanging their politics explicitly on an anti-muslim agenda"; this statement is subversive and should be corrected - confounding ideas with people is precisely how actual extremism (dehumanisation) develops. Where politics is involved there is only an anti-islamic agenda. (Note UKIP has multiple unrelated policies so any categorisation of their politics as being dependent on this agenda is questionable).
- (11:05) "the platforms are been given over to the extremist ideologues" - the only way to prevent actual extremism (which is minimal in the TR movement), is to start reporting on facts neutrally. Censorship will not work as it will just go offline/underground. The solution is more not less information/speech. Similarly, there needs to be greater and more detailed criticism of culture and religion.
- (11:35) "the people who have exposed scandals throughout the country is survivors contacting the media"; this is of course correct. Yet the "right" (including Sikhs, e.g. <https://sasorg.co.uk/about>) were talking about the Pakistani/Muslim grooming gangs before the MSM or establishment (first reported by MSM 2010; http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/shropshire/hi/tv_and_radio/newsid_8662000/8662856.stm). Their coverage was cited by MSM reporter Andrew Norfolk who conducted the first MSM investigation into the demographics of grooming gang assaults. Andrew Norfolk declared their own findings to be in accordance with "the far right's dream story" ("innocent white girls, evil dark skinned men"; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D7yhNYIV430> 3:52) in that it is precisely what the "right" had been reporting. The "right"

Commented on an unavailable video

Note being "more prone to committing violent crime" appears technically an interpretation of the data not a fact per se. There might be an intermediate variable, for example upbringing.

It is impossible to tell for certain because the tweet was expressed in a reply which has now been censored, so thanks to the brilliance of progsplaining temporal superiority in discarding ancestral wisdom as encapsulated by the first amendment we may never know. How does an individual know that deplatformed persons were not taken out of context? How do we know it was not just an accidental oversight? On what basis should we expect a conspiracy theory not to emerge regarding the existence of illegal libraries (is authority an argument?) This is why the speech of a true citizen (with ancestral claim) should never be censored by their nation. If domestic terrorist propaganda (i.e. speech) is a problem being generated by people without ancestral claim (e.g. Islamic fundamentalism) then we need to immediately correct the immigration and/or citizenship process. But otherwise the only stable solution for internal disagreement is more speech. Authoritarianism is not stable.

The double standards in censorship are by the way unbelievably "racist"/"sexist" - it assumes the uncensored individuals are not intelligent enough to be expected to be objective by virtue of their race/sex.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on [Is it okay for the media to label someone racist and then silence them? A Faith Goldy Story](#)

A communist/globalist propaganda machine must confabulate a new definition of racism at every stage of an ethnic neutralisation. All components of the nation state must be neutralised (religion, culture, gender, ethnicity, etc). Words change or are invented at every stage of the process. When dysfunctional anomalies are detected by the public new words are quickly inserted to suppress all opposition (eg phobia, ist, bigot, etc). Once the public is sufficiently terrorised into accepting the anomaly, the definition of the negatively conditioned trigger starts to shift toward the next desired target state.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on [California Passes Suicidally Unconstitutional Law Re: Females in Corporate Boardrooms](#)

If people think an underlying problem emerges from having a sex specific corporate board then they should clearly and directly identify that problem (e.g. bias x, harassment y, dehumanisation z, etc) through speech, not engage in indirect subversive behaviours like forcing companies to discriminate in their hiring across genotype/phenotype. The moment we give up on communication between social or genetic groups civilisation will fail. Tyranny requires ever increasing amounts of force to maintain. Good intentions do not necessitate good outcomes. It is incredibly easy to screw systems up, particularly those that have evolved over 100s of millions of years (e.g. meritocracy).

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on an unavailable video

He hadn't even watched the livestream - that doesn't qualify as journalism. There is a more accurate description for an interviewer that lectures to their audience about imperialism.

2 years ago

Commented on [Keeping the Country Together: Should We?](#)

Although I want the investigation to continue, this is great analysis; the value system of western civilisation has become so divergent that we need to seriously consider creating two substates and giving citizens the choice of living according to their respective values. I have discussed this proposal in the past and the primary criticism is that 1. there is no guarantee the offspring of the communities will share their values so 2. they will be forced to move to the alternate faction. Yet 3. human beings have a strong predisposition to care for genetically related persons meaning this might destabilise both communities. If one faction deteriorates within a generation however and are forced to reconsider their values this might not be a problem.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on [I lost all my friends in the culture war.](#)

You have made a new friend, I have never seen you before. The traditional right and feminism do have a common enemy; mass dehumanisation of women through objectification in the media.

2 years ago

Commented on [The REAL Sky News interview with Tommy Robinson before they edited, lied and spread fake news](#)

If this is representative of the analytical capacity of the propaganda wing of the UK establishment, the UK is conquered land. Post modernism might be safe in an imaginary tea party, but when applied to religious texts it is deadly. There might be an unlimited number of interpretations of a text, but not all are equally valid (internally consistent).

Historically, the word ignorant might have been reserved for those who equate the narrative style of the Torah or Nevi'im, to the poetic style of the first Ketuvim, to the journalistic style of the gospels, to the personal style of the letters, to the dictation-ary/dictatorial style of the Quran. Critically, it is more probable that a scripture with only one author, account, and audience will lend itself to being taken as doctrine - especially when the text itself dictates that this is its purpose, to the exclusion of all other readings. Educated people don't read a story/account the same as a manual. And they don't outsource their scholarly responsibility to foreign agents.

The modern concept of "extremism" as applied to religious zealotry is nonsense. Religions are different - they are not necessarily compatible. Religious zealotry created western civilisation. How many zealots died to bring freedom of speech to the west? Perhaps it will be the zealots who will save the confounded and terrorised masses from the real extremists and their global equalist agenda. And if we look at history, it is the secularists who surrender first. How did the British become as demoralised as the French? Clean up your society and have some pride.

Of course there may be more socially compatible interpretations of a scripture, but it doesn't mean that we demonise citizens who point out inequalities. Based on this limited sample, there appears to be no correlation between British accent and intelligence, only courage.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on **Built not Bought: Comments on New Democrat Messaging**

This may be the most dangerous part of the video; "as long as everybody plays by the same rules" - which rules? The "just and free"? It sounds deceptively authoritarian. Should dehumanisation be legally protected from destruction so long as it is pleasurable to the majority? Does everyone have to pay to kill developing humans? Should doctors be forced to kill them? Does everyone have to respect a perceived identity, regardless of how sick their society is? Should we force both sexes not to exhibit evolutionarily adaptive behaviours of sex x, without forcing them not to exhibit evolutionarily adaptive behaviours of sex y? What about a progressive tax system? Should we ensure that everyone has only x dollars in their bank account every jubilee? Should we disarm the populace because a cultureless civilisation becomes increasingly erratic? Moral societies require very little government. Personal and cultural disintegration necessitates rules, and rules necessarily multiply (because they are never perfect). The USA was founded on a constitution of governmental restriction not enforcement.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on **What is Authoritarian about Social Justice? A University 's LGBT Twitter account Grants a Glimpse**

It is already happening in the UK - people are being locked up for speech.

2 years ago

Commented on **Feminist "Me Too" Leader Asia Argento is a Hypocrite**

We should give her a break man - if the claims against her are true, then she is replaying her abuse to ameliorate the pain - very common. Rather than dealing with the horror of reality abuse victims often try to emphasise with the perpetrator (speculating that their behaviour was right and natural), and perceive such desire in everyone they meet. Again, the consequences of (claimed) sexual relations for men/women are not evolutionarily equivalent, so although we need to be careful of reinterpreted events, we need to be particularly attentive to the damage caused by predators. The me too movement is a response to the breakdown of social standards that protected women against abuse (the law was never fit for this purpose) - you should interview Cassie Jaye on her experiences of what led her to feminism.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on an unavailable video

The persons responsible for bringing forth these charges should be tried for treason.

2 years ago

Commented on **Why I Love Australia**

We've got your back Tommy. Rest assured Australia will never become a dystopian nightmare where speech is outlawed. We will defend our land against communist tyrants.

2 years ago

Commented on **Steve Bannon debate at The Economist #OpenFutures festival | The Economist**

I found the interview to contain unnecessary ethnoglobalist propaganda, and it is not clear the interviewer was even aware of this. Not everyone shares this doctrine, e.g. Dalai Lama; "Europe belongs to the Europeans". A more neutral approach would have been productive.

2 years ago

Commented on a private video

Sounds like an AI error; you should appeal

2 years ago

Commented on **The European Union is Making a Massive Mistake Punishing Hungary**

If Germany developed its own nuclear arsenal they wouldn't need to push an authoritarian EU. The only thing risking a second Hitler is the kind of mass censorship currently being promoted by the psychological inerts in power. If you treat a human like a dog it will act

the kind of mass censorship currently being promoted by the psychological ninjas in power. If you treat a human like a dog it will act like a dog. Stop caring for your citizens or their culture and they will hate you. I thought they would have learnt this lesson already (it's in the primary source material).

Apparently someone has already proposed this; <https://nationalinterest.org/feature/why-germany-should-get-bomb-28377>. Ideally, denuclearisation would occur globally, but it is not going to happen anytime soon given the subsistence of communism and theocracy. I am not an expert in the field, so there may be a reason why this is a bad idea (e.g. precedence), but given the seriousness of the 'info war' through increasingly dystopian censorship I am suggesting it anyway.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on Women Are Getting Attacked in Gender Neutral Rooms

We need to consider defunding all arts until its biological ignorance and societal recklessness stops posing a risk to civilians. Anyone with half a degree of knowledge of nature or respect for their civilisation could directly predict the consequences of a forced containment of males and females in private areas. Those responsible for the implementation of such changes without thorough decades long psychological analysis/experimentation should be sued by assault victims for negligence. The law has no mandate to cater for outliers at the expense of the biological norm. Affirmative action in this context is both discriminatory and dangerous.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on EU Wants to Force Internet Firms to Remove "Extremist" Content Within One Hour

The category extremist content should include laws that suppose intelligent agents to be able to objectively identify and remove all extremist content within an hour. Or if it doesn't, the category sounds pretty arbitrary... they better throw Fahrenheit 451 in there to be safe. They wouldn't want any Europeans using their brains and thinking for themselves - how on earth would they implement their international socialism? There is a lot of real extremist content out there, a substantial proportion of the internet - but few care about dehumanisation when it doesn't serve their political agenda, even if it involves an actual depiction of a human being as an animal.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on Walk Away Brandon Straka BLOCKED On Facebook For Posting InfoWars Link #WalkAway

Fahrenheit 451

2 years ago

Commented on U.K. "Hate Speech" Delusion: West Yorkshire Police Suggest Criminals Aren't To Blame, Words Are

Words cause harm/crime - this is the philosophy that will end western civilisation. Words prevent harm/crime; they express both subjective (feelings) and objective truths, allowing people to argue with and eventually understand each other. People who give up on words and resort to force are tyrants. Declaring that words are violence only encourages and justifies people in their tyranny. Etiquette is how to operate a high society comprised of individuals with education, discipline, and a cohesive culture - but politeness is never enforced to prevent harm/crime. When society has become so disintegrated as to necessitate the enforcement of politeness, this is just one of many first steps in the self-destruction of an experimental globalist/Marxist utopia.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on The Far Left Opposes Science Even When They Think Its True

Note (7:30) it is important to distinguish superiority from intelligence. The claim that high intelligence implies superiority is philosophical not scientific. Intelligence is just one trait that nature selects for depending on the environment.

General intelligence as measured by IQ has high heritability (it is typically measured to be approximately 70% genetic, increasing with age). Measurements of trait heritability differ however depending on the environment in which they are measured, for example in low socioeconomic environments the relative genetic contribution to intelligence differences is much lower; being almost entirely dependent on the opportunity to access education/resources. A significant component of general intelligence as measured by IQ is environmental/cultural (the Flynn effect suggests that average intelligence has been increasing over the last century). The question of whether average differences in IQ (reflective of actual general intelligence) across racial groups are genetic is presently unestablished. The reason being that it is difficult to partial out environment differences (for example differential treatment by parents/peers based on the race of the subject and/or the culture of the group). Once the genetics of intelligence differences has been mapped at a molecular level (DNA) it will be possible to answer.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on How CNN Contributed to and Profited Off Of Banning Alex Jones

Maybe Jack/twitter thinks that Islamic terrorists are conservatives? They don't ban conservatives, they only ban moderates who criticise conservatives like Gavin McInnes, Tommy Robinson, Alex Jones, Milo Yiannopoulos, Carl Benjamin, etc.

2 years ago

Commented on **WHAT IT'S LIKE TO BE A FREE SPEECH WARRIOR**

One of the most obvious flaws with the argument that prototypical pornography distribution represents free speech is that it doesn't comprise words (in fact the entire product is antithetical to speech as it aims to represent people without speech or where their speech is irrelevant).

2 years ago

Commented on **Women is going to PRISON for False Accusation Against Men**

"No, I never said I was sexually assaulted," she said, adding "I never told [the detective] I was sexually assaulted. I told him that something happened in the bathroom at the party with these two guys, that I didn't want to happen." (<https://lawandcrime.com/live-trials/live-trials-current/nikki-yovino-sentencing/woman-who-lied-about-rape-rolled-her-eyes-in-court-while-being-sentenced/amp>)
 . Western tradition dictates that males lead with respect to moral fortitude (outcasting animal behaviours like group penetration) - Ephesians 5:21-33. Regardless of the validity of the philosophy/mythology, this is a natural expectation, because it coincides with our evolved instincts (such was conducive to fitness in the environment of evolutionary adaptedness). We can even measure these responses upon sexual prime (non-conformity/conformity). Whether men are incapacitated in this role or simply malevolent is irrelevant. Whether women are manipulated in this role or simply malevolent is irrelevant. For society to maintain equal expectations of male/female psychology is biologically incongruent.

Reportedly "rolls her eyes in court during victim's statement about how she ruined his life" (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-6091983/amp/Woman-false-rape-claim-gets-1-year-jail.html>). Compare the differential value of faithfulness in a long term male/female partner. Males have evolved to value faithfulness higher because they could never be certain of the existence of their offspring. Her prospective value is thus diminished significantly, ignoring entirely the permanent psychological scarring/conditioning of her desires and its impact on her subsequent relationship/s (giving herself away to another at a time when she was most physically valuable; the peak of her sexual market value). Contrarily, polygamy does not significantly detract from the male's evolutionary worth.

Show less

2 years ago

Replied to a comment on **Proud Boys BANNED, H3H3 Shut Down For Discussing Alex Jones**

@FTNWO; AJ discusses humans as interdimensional beings on Joe Rogan Experience #911 - Alex Jones & Eddie Bravo (<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=UZPCp8SPfOM>); 1:49 to 1:52. As explained by AJ on the podcast, he doesn't explicitly talk about this on Infowars; but there are some references to it; e.g. "make it to the next level", "there are eight levels of it".

2 years ago

Commented on **Are They Being FORCED To Censor People on Gab??**

Censoring conspiracy theory/religious ideology is counterproductive, it just pushes misconception underground and justifies any degree of truth in the original proposition. People need to be engaged with; although a negative cannot necessarily be proven evidence must be provided that contradicts the original claim. We think what you are saying is insane because of xyz.

In this specific case there may be bad actors that justify the classification of phishing. Counter-terrorism strategies must accommodate appropriately for the distinction between foreign and domestic threat. Attempting to eliminate domestic threats by covert operations or censorship is antithetical to democratic civilisation. Western civilisation is based on reason, and that inherent to its culture. For such civilisation to stand it must be able to reason its principles to its citizens. And the moment it ceases to discriminate between its citizens and foreign insurgency/infiltration it will suffer the path of disintegration.

Thus, if this collection of text is not phishing but representative of the actual beliefs of actual descendants of the nation then it indicates a need for greater dialogue. National or international socialism does not rise because of the expression of ideas, it rises because of the active suppression of them.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on **Youtube Bans Infowars (I Condemn This And It Needs to Stop)**

Sexual conservatives ban actions, sexual liberals ban people and speech.

2 years ago

Commented on **Patrick Gower takes on Lauren Southern and Stefan Molyneux**

The national socialists better take it down before it goes viral

2 years ago

Commented on **Women Are Losing Their Femininity**

One reason why femininity has become masculinised (ignoring changes in tech) is because the impression of independence is designed to combat the illusion of objectification - it is a natural consequence of tolerating the dehumanisation of a group.

2 years ago

Commented on a private video

What happened to "Venezuela - on the edge of ruin | DW Documentary"? (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo> /

<https://web.archive.org/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo> / <https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x6kh7br>

2 years ago

Commented on a private video

People who wish to create a single global race (or by their actions determine this) are racist, people who wish to preserve race are not necessarily.

2 years ago

Commented on a private video

yeah I have noticed this trend also. But consider this alternative hypothesis Mark; Jewish feminists are over represented in the public eye because they are disproportionately more intelligent. Also consider the fact their culture is different; the West derives from Christendom, which honoured the Virgin Mary, chastity and celibacy. The West was able to break free from material addiction, or at least as in Frodo's case be willing to let it go. The scapegoat hypothesis has been tried for over 1000 years man, and it isn't needed to explain entropy. It also can't explain all the exceedingly moral Jewish girls. "Feminism" (apart from the inevitable increase in equality; being a byproduct of technology) is a natural response to the public tolerance of the dehumanisation of women (prostitution, pornography, ad infinitum). The roots of the gender division lie in moral error. Sin metastasises quickly; this is what the first book of the Torah is all about.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on an unavailable video

This would suggest there is a positive correlation between the framing of masturbation as a psychologically healthy behaviour and both liberalism (95-99% of the academy) and atheism. Masturbation is a response to imaginary stimuli indicative of the environment of evolutionary adaptedness for copulation. Culture (including clothing) exists to limit experience of this environment to private, proprietary relations.

2 years ago

Commented on Meet youngest US woman on death row (FULL VERSION) | A Hidden America with Diane Sawyer PART 4/6

It is impertinent to ask a sexual abuse victim how they feel about a crime. The judge was surprised that she was cold? Ever wondered how the 50% of psychopathy not attributable to genetic factors develops?

2 years ago

Commented on Tommy Robinson is in prison and this is why

Adam Wagner; "If Tommy Robinson was really bothered about getting justice for the victims of the crimes, then he wouldn't have attempted to derail the trial by reporting it in a prejudicial way";

1. The judge who sentenced TR hadn't seen the full live stream; <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UbNWJZMyJz4>

2. TR was careful to use the word "alleged" whenever referencing the accused (unlike other journalists; e.g.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>).

3. TR repeatedly asked the police and crew whether he was allowed to be reporting outside the court (off the steps). The police responded by saying "as long as you're respectful". Note the reason TR was being so careful in this regard is because he was previously charged with contempt of court (of another child gang rape trial).

4. The question TR asked of the accused "how are you feeling about the verdict? Is there any guilt?" mirrors what a prominent SkyNews reporter asked Count Dankula outside the court (implying that it classifies as respectful according to journalistic standards).

5. Compared to the time in which TR was charged with contempt of court, previous hearings being reported on involved verbal harassment of the accused by media/persons outside the court (e.g. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4w0tot5z7yA>). TR is one of few individuals in the UK yet required to be overtly courteous to alleged gang rapists of young girls.

6. The audience numbers were 10000 during the livestream (the only reason a quarter of a million have now watched it is because the general public have detected a discrepancy in the administration of justice in the case of TR). These audience numbers are similar to those witnessing recordings of previous hearings by independent journalists (e.g. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>).

7. TR's reporting apparently occurred on the final day of the trial when "the jury are making their verdict", meaning it was assumed that the reporting would/could not influence the outcome of the trial.

8. There is evidence to suggest TR is one of only few journalists in the UK who has consistently and actively cared about the UK child gang rape victims over the decade.

Classifying TR's activities as right wing is disingenuous given that 90% of the child gang rape cases involve accused persons from an Islamic/Pakistani background/demographic (and any reasonable individual would like to know why, irrespective of their political affiliation). A large number of persons were instrumental in lifting the media ban ("blackout") on TR's imprisonment.

Show less

2 years ago

Commented on Evolution & the future - Bret Weinstein & Jamie Wheal

Rejecting the evolutionary programme is not simple - for evolutionary reasons, this is typically the most difficult thing for an organism to do.

2 years ago

10/10/2020

History - YouTube

Commented on Steve Bannon: the full Euronews interview

Steve Bannon the full Euronews interview (without "propaganda" cutoff): www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZkSALWoiL1I

2 years ago

Commented on an unavailable video

The reason why suppression of free speech grants credibility is because it is inversely correlated with general intelligence.

2 years ago

Commented on College Free Speech Event Canceled / Tommy Robinson Removed from Speaker's Corner by Police

Tim should receive an award for neutral journalism.

2 years ago