

Comments

Commented on Problem with Normalizing Casual Sex

The crucial question is whether one is honouring their future long term partner with such behaviour. Do they really want to enter old age with someone who has slept around? Or if they get married, why not just ditch them for someone younger (or if the system has been sufficiently gamed, for profit)? Consider the possibility sexual addiction (prior use/abuse, overstimulation, and competition for attention) is driving demand for short term relationships. When a male is offering no resources their standards lower, resulting in genetic inequality in coupling, and a female memory of having been used. This is assuming they were so naive as to think we have not evolved multiple mating strategies, one of which favours the crossing of high quality males with relatively low quality females, and in which it is adaptive to ignore their face and objectify them.

The ultimate critique of short term strategies being that every civilisation which did not nourish the long term strategy created inefficiencies (single mothers) and was subsequently subdued by its more competitive neighbours. We know this because no such societies survived natural selection, and ours is in the process of replacement. It is worth noting some extraneous factors; #1 The female gossip network which holds every liberal society together has been redirected towards promoting population suicidal ideology (Marxist dissatisfaction in the forbearance of their ancestors and the consequent erasure of natural hierarchies). #2 The western male is now constantly primed with the illusion of female receptivity through the commercial presentation of the sensitive female reproductive area.

Show less

1 hour ago

Commented on 4 Views of Human Nature in Chinese Philosophy

[16:07] It is true to say good/bad require something written into the structure of reality to distinguish them objectively. Yet reason itself necessitates right and wrong (and we have to assume humans are capable of such or any proposition is meaningless). Decisions/thoughts can be based on consistent deductions from reality or inconsistencies; the disregard of information based on impulse/negligence or error from ignorance/falsehood. An example of such information might be the strong possibility human beings have like minds (ie no p-zombies), and so it would be contradictory to treat another different to how one would expect to be treated under the same internal/genetic+external circumstances.

Show less

3 days ago

Commented on Reasons shootings like Christchurch will happen again

Great analysis.

3 days ago

Commented on Finger Diversity and Fluidity - Let's Stop Focusing on the Number 10 (THE SAAD TRUTH_861)

Base 10 is discriminatory.

2 weeks ago

Commented on Critiquing a Feminist Article Against Sex Dolls

I think as women hit their fertility wall outside of marriage they see how undervalued they really are by a materialistic society. If they (or their virtual actors) have invested entirely in sexual appeasement, males become conditioned to expecting this. Certainly if they have ever manipulated others for unreciprocated attention, disrespect will cumulate in the opposite sex. Although I haven't read the article, I agreed with most of the quotations. Dehumanisation is not healthy for an individual or society, and indicates a serious breakdown in gender relations. Civilisations which reject the adaptations upon which they are founded (proprietary and marriage), those enabling the suppression of short term mating strategies/instincts in favour of long term ones (partnership/fidelity), do not survive natural selection in a competitive world. The money borrowed to fund the disparate offspring generated from high genetic quality males and comparatively low genetic quality fertile females eventually runs dry, and can no longer be extracted from rogue males. The fertility rate falls below 2. This is assuming the electorate hasn't covered its morally unsustainable tracks with population replacement, introducing breeding partners who trade up on (racial) success.

Show less

3 weeks ago

Commented on Youtubers CENSORED after Far Left Fake News Hit Piece?!

The CNET article may be correct; "From Feb 15 onwards, that number [of alt-right recommendations] dropped [from 7.8% to 0.4%]. The purported change would likely only apply to recommendations of users who are not subscribed to such channels. I am not subscribed to anyone but regularly receive recommendations from a number of such channels, and so have been able to retrospectively test a subset of four real "alt-right" channels from the Data & Society report, with respect to videos published 15 Feb 2019 - 1 March 2019. Note Tommy Robinson content is not "alt-right" (according to its most broad definition; having a preference for racial preservation), so there is no reason why he would be affected by the purported change. From my test I have found anecdotal evidence of this change:

#1. The last 'alt-right' videos I have watched from 3 of these channels were watched before 15 Feb (10, 13 Feb).

#2. 5 out of 5 'alt-right' videos that were published by 3 of these channels after 15 Feb were never recommended to me (they would usually be).

Interestingly however, videos from the 4th 'alt-right' channel that explicitly contain 'alt-right' were not censored - all bar one of their videos published after 15 Feb were recommended to me.

Show less

3 weeks ago

Commented on FOBO: The Plague That Kills Relationships

a) This an excellent review of FOBO. The problem with FOBO is that it is a positive feedback loop. Once we cycle once, we are more likely to cycle again. Humans aren't made for cycling other persons (at least cognitively, at the level of ego or self-respect, evolutionarily recent cortical development). First serious breakouts often create depression. Likewise, the probability of a female's relationship lasting is correlated with the number of prior sexual partners she has had. A reasonable hypothesis for this being, a female's sexual market value peaks at a young age, meaning it is almost inevitable that she had a higher evolutionary value at the time she had given herself away in a prior sexual relationship. Not only does her ability to offer herself as a valuable object to a future partner diminish over time, but if she has voluntarily given herself away to a prior partner she (subconsciously) retains knowledge of this gift, and does not feel as adequate in a future relationship. There is however a confounding factor where if a female only ever experiences one (1) sexual relationship she might think another better (FOBO).

b) Short-term and long-term mating strategies have co-evolved for males and females. Yet the description "low quality" of short-term relationships differs for men and women. Males have evolved to seek reproductive opportunity through numerous short-term encounters with comparatively lower genetic quality females (requiring negligible resource investment and reproductive opportunity sacrifice on their behalf, compared to the females; pregnancy, rearing etc). On evolutionary time-scales for Homo sapiens, the ratio of females to males that have successfully reproduced is 2:1 (average). And during the early anthropocene (<10000 years ago) the ratio of females to males that have successfully reproduced is often as high as 10:1. Females likewise have evolved to seek short term relationships with extremely high genetic quality (alpha) males, assuming they live in a socialist community which can provide them resources for the inevitable bastard. Note for a female this is a "low quality" partner from a non-genetic personal perspective (assuming they were deluded into seeking a long-term relationship through means of carnal seduction, as opposed to her voluntarily accepting insemination from a stud).

Proprietary (clothing) and marriage have co-evolved cross-culturally (universally) to suppress activation of this short-term mating strategy in favour of the long-term mating strategy (life-long partnership of matched genetic quality). Despite contemporary ingenuity, the function of clothing and marriage is not fashion and feelings (although beauty often coincides with material constructions, and feelings often coincide with the establishment of a partnership). The perversion of these social adaptations triggers in males short-term mating strategies based not on genetic quality (match), but preconditioned only on fertility (the only requirement being a satisfactory body for copulation, with the face necessarily ignored). Every civilisation in history that did not develop these adaptations died out through natural selection (and many today are in the process of dying out through the same means). Sacrificing these adaptations lowers the fertility rate (resources are not willingly redistributed from rogue males to genetically unrelated offspring) and destabilises the population (making it uncompetitive with respect to its neighbours).

c) A scientific term for non-economic value judgement is "sacred values". Treating others as transactional is fundamentally dehumanising, assuming individuals have dignity. Yet we often must (at least implicitly) offer some level of commitment in order to get to know another. For this reason, healthy relationships develop in stages.

Show less

3 weeks ago

Commented on Women May Be DRAFTED Into The Military in MAJOR Court Ruling

Being male does not grant one the title man (their gender is conditioned upon initiation; the willingness to self-sacrifice for the evolutionarily higher median value sex). Drafting women is not the kind of thing a planet wants to normalise, even without hand to hand combat. A nation is not always going to be on the winning team, nor is it always going to obey POW treatment declarations.

1 month ago

Commented on Panpsychism and Logos

Non-reductive physicalism (property dualism) conflicts with metaphysical naturalism (i.e. ateleological ontologies of nature, e.g. atheism) because it posits an assignment of non-empirical (i.e its existence cannot be denied by objective observation/measurement) mental properties (i.e. internal observer/qualia/non-physical consciousness) to arbitrary physical systems (i.e. human neural networks/brains). Yet under the current scientific paradigm, physical systems are assumed to operate perfectly fine on their own, according to (either probabilistic/deterministic; irrelevant) physical law (cf. computer/philosophical zombie).

1. Panpsychism is a resolution to this problem (see also; "overdetermination"). Panpsychism however is limited by the "combination problem". As discussed, there is no reason why some ~conscious low level particles or "quiddities" should combine into a greater conscious unity/centre of consciousness (mind) and not others. This is where information processing models of consciousness might make more sense (in that this delineation might be defined by the level of information processing complexity occurring in a physical system). Simulation theory is also helpful in this regard, but it like substance dualism pushes the problem of creation (emergence/ instantiation) and function (behaviour) of mind back a layer.

2. Under any non-substance dualist philosophy of mind (including naturalistic panpsychism and property dualism) non-physical consciousness can't evolve, or provide any adaptive advantage to the system. If it say operated on the indeterminism of nature at a lower (quantum) level, we would be able to detect (measure) the physical events as behaving according to a pseudo-random probability distribution; this would break the precise probabilistic predictions of nature (quantum mechanics) in a way that follows some discoverable pattern.

3. Metaphysical free will could theoretically operate on such lower level physical (observed/measured) randomness, as per Robert Kane's 'free volition', because for metaphysical free will to exist its predictions are by definition unpredictable (observed random). There is however no clear reason for a conscious agent to reject any given desire in favour of a competing desire, assuming their mind (including desires and memory) are defined by the (probabilistic) physical system. A compatibilist would argue; what other reason is there for an agent to make a decision if not reason itself, which is a deterministic process (logic). Likewise, it is not clear how such a system of free will could be implemented practically, as neuronal systems are not obviously subject to quantum indeterminism (they are fairly macro and rely on ionic/molecular transfer/flow).

4. One possible "function" (philosophical) of non-physical consciousness under indeterministic interpretations of quantum mechanics (Copenhagen interpretation) might be to collapse the probability wave function (see "mini-collapse" as opposed to decoherence). Yet again, one might ask why or how would it do this, and if the prerequisites for its existence (instantiation in the universe) are defined by the physical system these prerequisites may as well be responsible rather than non-physical consciousness itself.

Under nominalism, what we perceive as a unity of particles/particulars (according perhaps to some universal category or 'universal'), could be an adaptive method of discriminating between regularities in emergent phenomena (pattern recognition). In this sense an object is not necessarily more than the sum of its parts, just a productive and reliable method of categorisation of how these parts are interacting with each other and producing the observed high level phenomenon. Nominalistic reduction of nature to this extent is however a problem for conscious unity (mind), at least how we perceive ourselves - as objectively singular and unified centres of consciousness. In that our existence is perceived to be whole and real (I think therefore I am). We have certainly evolved to believe in ourselves as unified agents - see self/other directed theory of mind - and our qualia/experience convey this. Individual conscious experiences (e.g. of some qualia in the field of view/awareness, say a red/moving point of light, or concept, say a dog) likewise are thought to be encoded across vast/multiple neural networks (and not localised to particles or individual neurons). Again, this pertains to the combination problem.

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on RE : 'The Real Tommy Robinson'

Precisely. Where there is no free speech the terrorists have already won.

1 month ago

Commented on Joe Rogan Experience #1236 - Jack Dorsey

Jack Dorsey appears to be a nice and reasonable person, the kind of character you would want to have on a team. The fact he was not aware of the partisan censorship (or even the specifics of the high profile anti-intersectionality takedowns) implies it would not have been productive to push him any further on the issue at the time. I thought this was a great conversation, as was his conversation with Gad Saad. It does however indicate a deficiency within twitter management, who are responsible for identifying the different ways in which their platform is being gamed. For their platform to survive they need to deal with the continued biased and targeted censorship of social critics.

For example, it cannot be overlooked that a large number of prominent/public figures banned on twitter have been critical of Islam (Gavin McInnes, Tommy Robinson, Alex Jones, Milo Yiannopoulos, Carl Benjamin, Laura Loomer, etc - the latest martyr, Apostate Prophet: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MbFY-xZgJ6s). It appears that some of their employees have difficulty differentiating between people and ideas, and should either be made redundant or given help learning English. The protection of any religion from criticism is unacceptable, and indicates the success of Islamic terrorism in infiltrating western civilisation.

I agree with Jack in that people should be able to follow (twitter)/subscribe to (YouTube) hashtags.

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on Urban Liberal Admits Racism, Shame of race mixing

According to google: racism (n): prejudice, discrimination, or antagonism directed against someone of a different race based on the belief that one's own race is superior. - the belief that all members of each race possess characteristics, abilities, or qualities specific to that race, especially so as to distinguish it as inferior or superior to another race or races. Under the dictionary definition preservation* of racial diversity (population level average differences) is not necessarily racism. Likewise, cross-racial selection is a real phenomenon; both cultural and biological traits can be preferenced (e.g. #1 national traditionalism and lack of body hair emulate a more compliant and fertile/youthful female partner, e.g. #2 national wealth and height emulate a more competent and strong male partner).

*Underlying scientific argument open to debate:

Complex traits often involve both additive and non-additive effects. The probability of population specific (i.e. adaptive) non-additive effects being maintained in a gene pool when mixed with a new population not featuring these alleles after x generations is low (where $x \sim 10$). Assuming i) selection for these traits has ceased (i.e. those possessing the trait are not breeding in greater numbers than the rest), and ii) the non-additive effects rely on mutations at y loci (where $y \sim x$). The probability of any individual retaining the non-additive effects after x generations is $< 1/2^y$, because reshuffling occurs at multiple locations on the genome (for every new generation). If we take a complex trait like intelligence, the genetic contribution is $\sim 50\%$ (conservative est), while the additive genetic contribution is only $\sim 25\%$ (single nucleotide polymorphisms, including here rare variants). This implies that the remaining 25% of the genetic contribution is non-additive; it either involves a) gene-gene interaction (see epistasis), or b) gene-environment interaction. Effectively, in the case of at least a), some coded functions (protein assembly guides/genes or contextual expression guides/"junk-dna") specific to the expression of the trait require more than a single nucleotide polymorphism (and/or environmental condition). After x generations of reshuffling of the genetic material from two (or more populations), where only one initially possessed the non-additive effect (and assuming it possessed the effect near unanimously across its members), the probability of any given individual retaining this effect is low. Assuming again i) and ii). Thus the maximum expression of the trait will be primarily determined by the additive effects (<https://www.nature.com/articles/nrg.2017.104> / <https://europepmc.org/articles/pmc5985927>).

The philosophical question should be:

1. which traits are genetically distributed across human populations ("races")? [Note intelligence appears to be but may not be one of them; we will know this as soon as the researchers cited in the above review decide to collect and publish racially specific statistics].
2. do these rely on non-additive effects, and if so to what extent do they rely on a) gene-gene interaction, and ii) mutations at y loci?
3. do we wish to preserve them?
4. what are the underlying genetic motives for answering yes/no to 3 (how will it affect the propagation of our genes personally)?

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on [Joe Rogan Experience #1009 - James Damore](#)

The irony is that James Damore was the least "sexist" of all of us. He was the only person in the company to underestimate the distribution of neuroticism (sensitivity to negative emotion), conformity, and empathising in the human population, and the intellectual terrorism it enables (all to cover for a low intelligence diversity theory derived by a ponzi scheme masquerading as an academic discipline).

1 month ago

Commented on [US diplomacy 101: Accuse, make threats, stay deaf to counter-arguments](#)

The Cold War is over. So what if Putin doesn't want his nation turning into a liberal hell hole. End all stupid and dangerous conflicts. #friendwithrussia

1 month ago

Commented on [Rick Sanchez: Cold War is back on](#)

Perhaps without our socially deprived mainstream media we could all be #friendwithrussia?

1 month ago

Commented on [Buzzfeed and Others FAILING Because They Are Clueless](#)

Interesting proposition; "Great minds talk about ideas [semantic memory], large minds talk about events [episodic memory], and small minds talk about other people [limbic system/ad hominem]". An equally interesting question is whether there are gender differences (averaged) in semantic versus episodic memory processing, as seen for example in stereotypical female conversation content (people) and occupational choice (law/medicine) - are these real (genetic) or an artefact of reproductive motivational frameworks (environmental)? <https://link.springer.com/article/10.3758/BF03211324>

Show less

1 month ago

Replied to a comment on [Perpetual Motion From Negative Mass?](#)

I had the same thought: intuitively, wouldn't the negative and positive mass effects on space-time cancel themselves out (assuming same magnitude), meaning no force between them? Only when one mass magnitude is greater than the other is there an effect; either attraction or repulsion. Likewise, in the case of two positive masses, attraction, and in the case of two negative masses, repulsion.

1 month ago

Commented on [The Humans That Lived Before Us](#)

Denisovans +1

1 month ago

Commented on [Did I Harass a Trans Person?](#)

intellectual terrorist: a person too immature or incompetent to be admitted to a university without turning their faculty/workplace/government into a religious cult.

1 month ago

Commented on [The Incel's Angst With Female Appearance Manipulation](#)

I have never seen anything wrong with makeup (and I don't think it results in sexual use; only bodily modification/presentation has the potential to encourage this). Yet any manipulation of appearance for the sake of obtaining (inevitably short term) relationships with higher quality males (or similarly, any manipulation of behaviour by males to obtain short term relationships with a plethora of lower quality females) results in a surplus of second/etc hand females, which decreases their value as a long term partner (having given herself away to another person at her peak sexual market value). If this reaches the point where they are unmarriageable, or cannot on average raise more than a single child with support from the father, the population dies out.

The confounding and I would argue more fundamental problem in this context, is that women are now encouraged to seek higher quality males (and manipulate their sexual attractiveness to this end, regardless of makeup) in response to the normalisation of mass virtual prostitution, in which males become accustomed to inseminating higher quality females in their minds. This leaves females without the natural affections/adoration/competition generally directed them by the male community (now a zoo of stimulated jerks), and a feeling of being valued only for their sexual attractiveness. Not helped by mainstream publications either; physical appearance while a genetic/fitness precondition only goes so far in a 60 year relationship; long-term happiness requires virtue.

The only issue I had with the analysis was the plastic surgery, as this creates permanent (potentially undetectable changes) in their inferred phenotype and thus inferred genotype. It messes with evolution (long-term partner selection), and is the pinnacle of deception.

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on [Facebook Kicked Me Off Mid-Stream & BANNED ME! Wow...](#)

"He accepted everything. The past was alterable. The past never had been altered. Oceania was at war with Eastasia. Oceania had always been at war with Eastasia."

1 month ago

Commented on [Babies In New York Have To Die So This Can Happen In 2020](#)

That is a lot of dead baby girls - they don't care about women, they only care about themselves and their next orgasm. It looks like the governor has a problem with object permanence.

1 month ago

Commented on [The Myth Of White S'tremacy](#)

Claims of 'supremacy', 'phobia' and 'ism' are psychological warfare (they can switch the definitions to their extremes at any time). Never negotiate with intellectual terrorists. Only respond to argument.

1 month ago

Commented on [Parents OUTRAGED as TransWoman Seen Showering in Women's Room](#)

The parents should sue their state (and federal) government for negligence. If a government wants to enable gender non-conforming privacy they should mandate independent disability rooms for all public toilets/showers.

1 month ago

Commented on [Late-term abortion made LEGAL in NYS](#)

What if the democracy we thought we were serving no longer exists, and the Republic has become the very evil we have been fighting to destroy?

1 month ago

Commented on [Why Does The Far Left think Muslims Support LGBTQ Issues?](#)

If schools were capable of teaching objectively about gender, race, sexuality etc then they could theoretically do so. Yet;

1. This is not equivalent to providing ideological (eg Marxist, religious, etc) indoctrination on how students should respond to this education (ie how their culture should be constructed).
2. The nature of gender, race, sexuality are not school textbook level topics; they are extremely complex phenomena and should be reserved to post graduate level research in/with the hard sciences (minimum prerequisite knowledge; evolution, genetics).
3. Basic principles of student behaviour/etiquette do not require contextualisation with respect to arbitrary variability/aberrations. Discipline should be impartial to the motives of the assailant.

Show less

1 month ago

Commented on [Tulsi Gabbard: Religious bigotry is un-American and must be condemned](#)

In the modern west most bigotry is areligious (intolerance towards those who hold different opinions from oneself).

1 month ago

Commented on [My Experience with the Jehovah's Witnesses...](#)

Carrier has developed a pretty good deconstruction of Judeochristian tradition;

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=bQmMFQzE8sc>

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=7u2n7i7w5Sn7F>

1 month ago

Commented on [In the Land of Toxic Masculinity, the Cuckold is King \(THE SAAD TRUTH_834\)](#)

(at school)

child #1; "what does your Dad do?"

child #2; "he's a jerk"

child #1; "what does your Mum do?"

child #2; "she's a jerk too - wait I think she might do graphic design or something on Tuesdays"

teacher #1; "hate speech! don't you realise that polygamy is a normal and healthy expression of mammalian sexuality? Much to learn young ones.."

teacher #2; "I think we should report them to the American Psychoprogressive Association - they seem to think human psychology should not abide by the social norms of the annum"

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on [Quantum Physics, Feminism, and Intersectionality \(THE SAAD TRUTH_832\)](#)

There is obviously a Sokal 3 going on which none of us are aware of. The entire department should be drug tested. If this is not supposed to be poetry it is the hallmarks of insanity.

2 months ago

Replied to a comment on [Steven Pinker: Jews, Genes, and Intelligence](#)

The remaining portion of the lecture starts at part 3, 14:00+;

1 of 4 Steven Pinker - Jews, Genes and Intelligence

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=1GexZF5VIMU>

2 of 4 Steven Pinker - Jews, Genes and Intelligence

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=1GexZF5VIMU>

3 of 4 Steven Pinker - Jews, Genes and Intelligence

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=rDM7yQU4ZE>

4 of 4 Steven Pinker - Jews, Genes and Intelligence

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=uz5ig5Sn72D>

Steven Pinker: 'Jews, Genes, and Intelligence' Post-Lecture Q&A

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=kLj9IzKr1IA>

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **Leftist's OUTRAGE Mob Turns On Her Ending Her Career**

Tulsi Gabbard will soon learn the hard way: never negotiate with terrorists.

2 months ago

Commented on **How Hollywood viewed america in the 50s Pleasantsville**

I think the colour is supposed to represent reality. Your analysis supports the hypothesis that progressivism is just a fantasy created by immoral men to maintain a fresh supply of sexually available young women. If they were still virgins in high school how would they feel worthless enough to have intercourse with random guys throughout their 20s? The only problem is, why would any guy then marry them for anything other than intercourse? And so what is the probability of the relationship surviving? This is borne out in the research which suggests a woman's marital success rate is inversely proportional to the number of guys she has slept with. Bonds require love, and love requires virtue (sacrifice).

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **YOUTUBERS RED-PILLED MY BOYFRIEND!!!**

viral level performance

2 months ago

Commented on **LGBTQ Statement by Tulsi Gabbard**

Why apologise for not wanting to change the definition of something? Moreover, it is a thousand year old institution - statistically, is it more probable that our ancestors were well adjusted or that we are well adjusted? Compare virtual/prostitution rates. Marriage evolved because any civilisation that didn't institutionalise it died out. This suggests a convergent solution to a universal (ie biological) problem. There is nothing extreme about a society prioritising long term mating strategies guaranteeing pregnant women a future, and children a mother and father.

The only difference now is that we believe we are able to artificially divorce sexual intercourse from pregnancy. The native fertility rate demonstrates otherwise. The desire to create a family and remain true to their ageing partner requires life long sexual fidelity. Traditional societies respected the sacrifices young men and women make to choose this path by honouring this choice, from the clothing they wore to the laws they instilled. All other considerations (eg emotion) are secondary to its function. A dissociation of marriage from its origins on hypothetical moral grounds could well be indicative of extremism. There are certainly more healthy ways of achieving love than to engage in propaganda.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **Gay and Trans Issues within Evolutionary Psychology (Geoffrey Miller Pt. 2)**

(39:00) We don't need a mars behavioural science lab; the native populations of european/western countries are dying out (<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-6574435/White-women-lower-fertility-rates-state-rates-black-Hispanic-women-rise.html>). Here is a possible reason for it; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M5OgW4R4LYw&lc=UgwDzMXj3QRmF6z7OY54AaABAg>. Regarding relationship satisfaction, it is possible that the different forms of sexual relations available to Homo sapiens (e.g. animalistic, forward) are appropriate for different mating strategies; short term, long term. In non-coerced short term relationships (i.e. comparatively higher quality male, lower quality female) it can be adaptive to ignore the face (genetic beauty), since copulation only requires fertility.

Show less

2 months ago

Replied to a comment on a private video

@T K; on what grounds do you assume a) that you as an evolved biological being are capable of reason (i.e. on what basis do you assume your words or anyone else's convey truth as opposed to serving some adaptive or otherwise arbitrary function)? and b) that the combination of physical entities comprising your nervous system should exhibit mental properties (specifically your own experience of mind) when the physical universe (your brain) operates perfectly fine without them? Whether or not we admit to believing in empirically unverifiable phenomena we certainly act as if we do. There is reason to be open minded and appreciative of existence regardless of our beliefs about the value of suffering. And in this case try feeling good about oneself without putting any effort/exertion into something. Sacrifice/integrity is both admirable and balanced (APA should have consulted alfsvoild on stoicism).

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **Can Reason Prove We Have a Soul?**

Some minor technical notes (00:40):

- 'mental is over and above the physical' - this definition of substance dualism assumes a specific definition of 'physical' only used in philosophy of mind. It is treating 'physical' as an overarching substance which may or may not contain mental properties (note the 'physical' in 'physicalism' also asserts this definition). What is referred to as mental here (mental properties/1st person) are however over and above the physical when taking the word physical in an empirical (scientific) context, as the physical universe by definition only comprises empirically measurable observables (the scientific method only has access to physical properties, and it makes no assumptions regarding the existence of an overarching 'physical' substance potentially encapsulating both mental and physical properties).

- 'it is not reducible to the physical'; this is a prerequisite of substance dualism though it is not its definition; cf property dualism, non-reductive physicalism. I am sure you will unpack this in a future video.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **Life is unfair**

People should study evolution and the different motivational frameworks (encoded mating strategies) activated in men and women. In males; long term resource sharing, short term opportunistic (with lower quality females), and rape (cf drugging). In women; long term resource/time sharing, short term opportunistic (with higher quality males), and cuckolding (cf socialism). Civilisation has evolved to suppress all but one of these (long term reproductive partnership). Marriage is a cross-cultural universal (as is clothing for extra-kin tribes), suggesting that civilisations which rejected this strategy were unstable and died out eons ago.

The viability of a modern civilisation that rejects this principle as normative is unclear. Artificial contraception/abortion may appear to allow sexual freedom of exploration, but the personal and interpersonal psychological consequences of promiscuity haven't been rigorously tested. Clinical research captures only an extremely local picture of social consequences (years to decades, not generations to centuries to millennia), and its evaluation of personal consequences is confounded by their rapidly changing environment. Sexual selection on the other hand has been operating for a billion years, and it has produced expectations and desires in the sexes that are, quite literally, impossible to ignore. For females; if they distribute themselves at a time of high/peak sexual market value, what are the implications for the faithfulness of a future husband to their children (when he might be able to produce countless other families with more fertile/available women). Likewise for males, what are the implications for a female's preferred mating strategy, when she can be offered no guarantee of faithfulness in a partner (the rise of virtual/prostitution correlates positively with the rise of female promiscuous hypergamy). There is balance in nature, but it often operates not at the level of individuals but of groups. Evolution does not tolerate inefficiency; short sighted populations have been constantly replaced for hundreds of thousands of years.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **Oven Chip Advert Attacks the Traditional Family**

We would expect there to be just as many non-practicing Jews as non-practicing Christians. There is nothing inherently Jewish about destroying the nuclear family - they practically invented it, or at least this is Jesus' interpretation (also a Jew); "for this reason... one flesh". As usual however, great critical analysis of the propaganda.

2 months ago

Commented on **Social Justice and Far Left Ideology Is Corrupting Science**

I think right-wing science denial has caused more systemic problems than left-wing science denial. Right-wing science denial has caused a failure to integrate our knowledge of nature (evolution) with traditional morality. The western family is collapsing as a consequence. Likewise, in pleasing such persons, it has caused a downplay of evolution in favour of social constructivism and the generation of atheoretical frameworks of human cognition/behaviour. Left-wing science denial is an artefact of interest groups exploiting this evolutionarily barren psychology literature.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **Why I'm Against Sex Work**

Completely agree with your analysis. The trade of human bodies is akin to slavery while exploitive of abused women (no little girl grows up wanting to be a prostitute), and the first amendment only covers words (neither would the forefathers wish it to be misappropriated to non-communicative material). One confounding factor however is mass sexualisation in culture/media, males have become addicted to sexual stimuli, and it will become increasingly difficult to take this drug away. Addiction is not a good condition for long term relationships, as witnessed by the dissolution of the family/marriage. Ultimately, a solution is going to depend on a better understanding of human desire and the manipulation of signals indicative of sexual receptivity in the environment of evolutionary adaptedness. It might start by beginning to appreciate that clothing is a cultural universal for large scale civilisations, and we remove it at our peril.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **DRAG QUEEN KIDS: Stop ruining children's lives!**

Where are the psychologists?

2 months ago

Commented on **HOW SEX POSITIVITY IS HARMFUL...**

The best way to think about sexual relations/modesty is to think about how a future partner would have liked one to behave. Depreciation is not just an economic phenomenon. Neither is it gender specific. How much engagement with (virtual) prostitutes does one think their wife can tolerate in a man? "Mommy?, Daddy?" If you are struggling with sexual addiction install an adblocker, retune your YouTube, and learn to forgive others - research the social/corporate pressures pushing your own/opposite sex to degrade themselves.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **Tucker Boycott, Sargon Ban Prove Social Justice Is Just A Cover**

I think there is a false equivalence presented at 0:50/3:50 (first made <http://youtube.com/watch?v=dhCp4kBJAXw>); there is a significant difference between Sargori's use of critical language (ad hominem) and Sarah Jeong's. SJ (presumably) pretended to racially slur a group (X), where as SOA used a word that is commonly used as a racial slur in a novel context to make a point (Y). X implies Y, Y does not imply X. Moreover, a) based on its target audience (non-black/etc), it is nonsensical that the language used by SOA could be applied as a racial/etc slur, and b) based on the beliefs being critiqued in the context (socialism in general, alt-right/national socialism in particular), it is nonsensical that the language used by SOA should be inferred to retain its prototypical generalisations. It is far more likely that he was directly responding to written harassment unseen by the audience, and he took the liberty to use the English language in any way he pleased (ie sarcasm/irony). Despite what logophobic propaganda wants us to feel, human language is symbolic rather than indexical - the projection of information about a spatio-temporal target is not necessitated by words (words can be used to discuss/critique concepts independent of their instantiation in the universe).

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on **Debunking The Narrative Of Right Wing Radicalization On Youtube**

I think the idea of radicalisation through exposure to uncensored speech only makes sense when ignoring the creeping shift of the base line "norm" (as defined by the MSM). They have redefined religion, gender, marriage, race, sexuality, etc (according to their socialist ideology), and so anyone who rejects these categories becomes viewed as radical. A cultural shift is only as stable as the strength of its arguments (cf <https://youtube.com/watch?v=r1R8yREGAgw>). If they are concerned about a shift in actual (violent) "hate crimes" due to exposure of uncensored speech, then they should focus on these; religious terrorism (e.g. islamic), racial terrorism (e.g. national), gender terrorism (e.g. incel), sexuality terrorism (e.g. institutional), etc. Specifically, what evidence is there that someone becomes proactively violent through exposure to such ideas? A deontologist (principled) would argue: the only way to deal with such actual 'radicalisation' is to encourage freedom of expression, i.e. exposure to debate (centrism/heterodoxy). Censorship is like kicking the can down the road. A utilitarian (pragmatic) would argue: does the risk of exposure to such ideas outweigh the benefit of exposure to their criticism (by centrist/nuanced debate)? personally think the principled and the pragmatic align: What are the implications of engineering human thought based on utilitarian outcome rather than a respect for truth (human reason); how well does human psychology respond to covert censorship? Historically, social manipulation by authorities results in revolution. Humans love freedom of thought, and they hate being controlled. Let an idea live or die on its own merits. Such philosophy is enshrined in the US constitution.

It is ironic that those granted freedom through the arena of ideas are now (almost immediately) seeking to shut down debate. This doesn't reflect well on their integrity. Furthermore, it is possible that the actual 'radicalisation' (terrorism) we see is a warning signal to deal with frustrations resulting from social incohesion/incompatibility, and that it is not reflective of the actual levels of violence capable of the species should such change continue. Unless we listen to people and deal with the content of their arguments, history is destined to repeat itself.

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on [The Forgotten Prisoner #FreeBillySix](#)

Here are two good German documentaries on the Venezuela crisis that were disappeared from their YouTube channel soon after being published (<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCW39zuffHfsuGpLvKh297Q>);

Venezuela - Escape from a Failed State (DW Documentary) - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1yeybE8qSs> (mirror: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pXmDYM0TdYQ>)

Venezuela - On the edge of ruin (DW Documentary) - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92SNuW2PnBo> (mirror: <https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x6kh7br>)

Show less

2 months ago

Commented on [Two Girls Face JAIL Over Refusal To Make "Same-Sex" Art](#)

This is a great way to start a civil war. Just put up a sign saying you only make wedding cakes for traditional western weddings (opposite sex), it has nothing to do with refusing service to people. The problem is an artefact of a non-consensual redefinition of the word marriage.

3 months ago

Commented on [SCOTUS Defends Planned Parenthood as Kavanaugh and Roberts Join the "Liberals"](#)

If abortion of sentient humans (as inferred by our best understanding of the neural correlates of consciousness) is legal then parental murder in general should be legal. It is more humane to let their families fight it out than to take out sexual addiction problems on innocent baby girls. Abortion is by the way a more serious humanitarian crisis for atheism, because there is no reason to assume sentience (mental properties) magically pop into existence in the late stages of pregnancy. Under naturalistic assumptions, it is far more probable that sentience is an inherent property of physical reality, and emerges therefore in much less complex systems (including animals bred for consumption). Social tolerance of an institution whereby a human child is oft decapitated for the poor choices of 12+ year educated individuals (conditioned by their surrounds to live in fantasy worlds) implies cognitive dissonance and conformity over ethical rigour - it is the pitfall of utilitarian thinking; the greater good.

Show less

3 months ago

Commented on [Youtube Bans Gavin McInnes for "Copyright Infringement" a Day After Glenn Beck Fires Him](#)

I have speculated that the increasingly blatant biased deplatforming is orchestrated by covert populists (Hungarians included) who like to create martyrs. Their totalitarian actions are not going to be forgotten for hundreds of years. Either that or they are morons who think Hitler liked freedom of speech. Anyone who thinks they can successfully suppress thought in the 21st century clearly knows nothing about technology or human psychology. And if you can't challenge someone intellectually they have already won, you are just delaying the inevitable. The probability of socially engineering some propaganda and having it not backfire in your face or create a leviathan is minuscule - this is the universal lesson of history. People who attempt to game systems and manipulate others rather than reason towards mutual agreement might spread their genetic material for a generation or two, but their regimes never last.

If social media giants are concerned that the populace is no longer believing their mainstream media narrative, this is probably a better reflection on how out of touch with reality it has become, than in some inherent evil in the technology. Humans are actually unusually rational animals, and if they are all complaining about different things, chances are they all have at least one problem that requires fixing (left, right, religious, areligious, etc). But if dictators shut them up, who will uncover a solution? How many lives will be lost in the delayed struggle back towards freedom to discuss such problems openly and objectively in the arena of ideas?

Show less

3 months ago

Commented on [My Chat with Physicist Alessandro Strumia \(THE SAAD TRUTH_809\)](#)

Is intelligence negatively correlated with courage? This appears to be a pretty important question to investigate.

3 months ago

Commented on [WATCH what happens when I confront Aussie #FakeNews in London](#)

The NPC News doesn't like to be questioned Avi, still awaiting a response on their publicly funded Australian Story about the beauty of brothel ownership.

3 months ago

Commented on [Tim Cook Should Spare us his Crocodile Tears About the "Hateful" and "Violent"](#)

Tim Cook needs to study morality rather than ban people from talking about it.

3 months ago

Commented on [Do Women Fit Well In Politics?](#)

The only people who truly fit well into something is if there is a reason for them to be there. So one could imagine a hypothetically corrupt civilisation with the only saints willing to speak truth to conformity being female.

3 months ago

Commented on [Due process is unfair because muh equality](#)

Too right Barbara - they really need to distinguish between sexual prejudice (thought; not necessarily aware), discrimination (enacted prejudice), harassment (intentional discrimination; manipulation), abuse (physical manipulation: victim not necessarily aware, or no negative feedback from victim), and assault (physical manipulation: forced against victim's will, with feedback from victim). These are also in reverse order of difficulty to define.

3 months ago

Commented on [Lord of the Rings is Racist](#)

Eirond: "But in the wearing of the swift years of Middle-earth the line of Meneldil son of Anárior failed, and the Tree withered, and the blood of the Númenoreans became mingled with that of lesser men..."

Boromir: "Believe not that in the land of Gondor the blood of Númenor is spignt, nor all its pride and dignity forgotten."

3 months ago

Commented on [Alex Jones Is "Voldemort" Because The Left Hasn't Read Other Books](#)

The greatest trick Lord Voldemort ever pulled was to convince the world he was Alex Jones.

3 months ago

Commented on [Laura Loomer Banned from Twitter for Criticizing Religious Zealots and Child Abuse](#)

So is the definition of far-right now being against female genital mutilation, terrorism, gang rape, paedophilia, sexual slavery, polygamy, and globalism? As creatively manipulative as the propaganda is becoming they should really study the concept of backfire: (of a plan or action) have an opposite and undesirable effect to what was intended.

Top 5 Google News results for "laura loomer":

"Twitter bans far-right activist Laura Loomer for Islamophobic tweet" - Mic

"Far-right activist Laura Loomer is banned from Twitter after attacking" - Daily Mail

"Twitter Permanently Suspends Far-Right Activist Laura Loomer" - TheWrap

"Laura Loomer banned from Twitter" ("Far-right activist Laura Loomer") - WND

"Twitter, Facebook ban far-right activist Laura Loomer for violating rules" - The Statesman

Show less

4 months ago

Commented on [My Chat with Pete Boghossian - On the Grievance Studies Project \(THE SAAD TRUTH_788\)](#)

Feminism is a self protection mechanism against being unwanted in a sexually addicted society. The only way to break the illusion is by offering hope found in being genuinely valued as a person. Those responsible for threatening the academics responsible for revealing the religious nature of 21st century grievance studies will go down in history with every other conformist.

4 months ago

Commented on [LEAVE VICTORIA'S SECRET ALONE!!](#)

Victoria didn't have a secret - it is a lie invented by perverts. Intelligent women don't need models to look after themselves and avoid gluttony.

4 months ago

Commented on [LABOR CANDIDATE CALLS POLICE ON ME](#)

The problem Avi is that you are too Australian, try using Newspeak.

4 months ago

Replied to a comment on [Paypal Deplatforms Bitchute, Bending to Pressure from Busybodies and Lamestreamers](#)

If they are going to engage in textbook fascism, it could be most accurately put using Styx's favourite four letter word.

4 months ago

Replied to a comment on [Paypal Deplatforms Bitchute, Bending to Pressure from Busybodies and Lamestreamers](#)

There is a much more explicit version of the hashtag.

4 months ago

Commented on [Freedom of Speech in the UK: The Grenfell Bonfire](#)

Does it cause stress and anxiety to risk suggesting that pedophiles (a group) should be hated? What is the point of creating nonsense laws if they are not universally applicable? If someone thinks others are being unduly hated or incited to hatred then they should learn to formulate an argument. All lexical censorship does is to crystallise instability and forge an underground (it certainly will not diffuse hatred). Don't let the mother country fall to socialist despots. Fascists—including national socialists—restrict freedom of speech (create silencing squads and force corporations to censor people). A free people speaks their mind in the arena of competing ideas.

Or if the example I chose is not hate-speech, who defines hate? Chances are it will soon be our worst nightmare because only weak and immoral persons seek power to control others. Perhaps if the modern west did a better job at not depicting humans as animals for sexual consumption, they wouldn't feel the need to control others' speech. Have they lost faith in the potency of truth and the weakness of error because their integrity is compromised? Laws restricting distress and hatred might have worked in an era where there was a common culture and it upheld morality, but this is precisely the time where contrarian speech is needed most.

Show less

4 months ago

Commented on [Should the Right Embrace Identity Politics? | #BLEXT & #Walkaway](#)

@LaurenChen @TimPool @IDW, regarding right-wing identity politics, what do you think of this method to make the alt-right redundant? We all get our DNA sequenced and encrypt it in an underground archive (cf Svalbard Global Seed Vault). If globalisation is successful and this radically reduces human biodiversity on the planet (nullifying 20k+ years of divergent evolution; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R1R8yEGAgw>), compromising speciation and exposing the majority group to pathogens, our progeny will have the option to repopulate their environment with racially diverse clones.

Show less

4 months ago

Commented on [Youtube Bans Gaming Channel for Killing a Suffragette in RDR2, Then Reinstates It](#)

I think these kinds of videos—depictions of killing/assaulting non-violent individuals, or depicting individuals as wanting to be used—are in fact dangerous left unchecked/unchallenged; with respect to psychopaths taking content literally, or misconceptions being created about the humanity of individuals (dehumanisation). YouTube should rather than banning the content however challenge them to livestream a public debate. If someone has the inclination to depict killing/assaulting a despised (or annoying) individual or present them as wanting to be used then we should know why they despise them or see them that way and force them to argue their point under public scrutiny. Because if the video is popular chances are other people share their sentiment. Emotions like anger or frustration need to be expressed and discussed rather than bottled up. In the case of depicting individuals as wanting to be used (pornographic), we might find that the content creators are liars, which would be pretty useful information for the public.

Note the defence that depictions of male to female violence are equivalent to depictions of male/female to male violence is based on an ideological belief in symmetry between gender. Gender is in fact asymmetrical, complementary. This explains why women (feminists) can

call for the killing of men, but not the reverse (because it violates a natural asymmetry based on the disproportionate value of their gametes). It might also explain why females can subsume traditionally masculine roles (combat/hunting/leadership) without losing their identity but the opposite is not necessarily the case (nurture/cohesion/beautification). It may also explain why the titles male and female are not symmetrical (one is a superset of the other). Likewise why feminism is tolerated but masculinism is abhorred. It certainly explains why we expect the opposite gender to behave and desire in ways that make sense to us.

[Show less](#)

4 months ago

Commented on Girl Scouts Sue Boy Scouts For Allowing Girls... Uh Wat

Equality is an unbelievably complex problem; gender is not symmetrical, it is complementary. Freedom is traditionally held as a higher value than equality, because attempts to engineer equality typically fail (cf our comrades).

4 months ago

Commented on Mike Cernovich Interviews Steve Bannon

Mexicans, Blacks, Asians, and Irish would still exist but they were told to go fuck themselves. The deplorables wouldn't want an ethnostate, wouldn't become anti-semitic, if there was greater respect for the past, and the future of the nation.

4 months ago

Commented on Jordan Peterson: "There was plenty of motivation to take me out. It just didn't work" | British GQ

They keep throwing brighter and brighter feminists at JBP. Wouldn't it be wiser to identify those fundamental areas of concern that affect women today and deal with them directly? Rather than espousing some weird desire to be able to kill baby humans under the guise of "reproductive rights", or to force people to pay them more irrespective of the fact their race is dying out while some women still choose to nurse children. Surely these only exist because women feel sexually or intellectually threatened? It might be that women's bodies are more exploited today than any time in history, and it has nothing to do with a patriarchy. It might be that women's intellects are discarded today more than any time in history, and it has nothing to do with a patriarchy. It might be that the average male now fornicates with at least 3 virtual prostitutes per night and this has nothing to do with a patriarchy. Could it be that we have become addicts in a sexually saturated and manipulative society?

I have never heard the feminist thesis on patriarchy expressed so clearly. What a poisonous minefield. No wonder why MGTOW and the like are becoming radicalised into maleism. Do feminists really believe this stuff, or are they only looking for a desired reaction (a redirection of attention away from their appearance to their innermost selves)? They should check such wild expectations for male grace (lack of appreciation of the top down control required to maintain a gentleman) is not just a mask for female supremacism.

[Show less](#)

4 months ago

Commented on Science Is Starting To Prioritize Social Justice Over Truth

The scientific method enables objective observation of the universe - and science therefore is neutral to opinion/ideology (there is only good and bad science). If a scholar doesn't cite someone then there was a flaw in the editing/review that led to the original publication. Applying "reverse discrimination" is not going to help, but just further corrupt the literature. The character of a scientist likewise should have no influence on whether their scientific findings are cited (unless the veracity of their method is in question). Moreover, if a paper adds a questionable interpretation of the data based on an ostensible bias, then a new author should critique that interpretation in their own work by providing an alternate, viable interpretation of the original result. Finally, to discuss ideological terms (racism/sexism etc) without qualifying precisely what is meant by them in a scientific context suggests a lack of rigour, and the possible projection of a philosophy in their reasoning (eg blank slate hypothesis).

[Show less](#)

4 months ago

Commented on You'll NEVER Guess Why My New Patreon Banned Me

Censorship land is run by robots that don't speak English. It is not surprising that their number one target is a memetic character that plays not.

4 months ago

Commented on Women Want Love, Men Want Respect?

Interesting theory. I operate on the assumption that women need respect; respect for their qualities that uphold and strengthen their virtue. Men definitely need respect and appreciation; this relates to the origin of the word 'gentleman', because males are not in their natural environment gentle but are socialised to this end. Men need affection and love in support of this specifically human attribute of their sex (in the context of a relationship). Likewise, men pity disrespectful persons such as female supremacists (or equally divisive malists). It is assumed they have suffered some kind of a bad experience, or have never known a man. Although men accept differences in natural/evolutionary value between the sexes (female gametes and by extension their bodies are worth more), male capitulation to group disrespect is not characteristic of man. It operates on a moral licensing psychology wherein they are implicitly granted certain rights to objectify women in their minds as long as they pay them in some form (whether it is resources, validation, adoration, etc). Real men don't buy into this cycle; it hasn't existed for very long. Men will naturally grant such attentions to virtuous women, but the only reason they will do such for the unvirtuous is if they are getting something in return (or are guilt ridden).

[Show less](#)

4 months ago

Commented on A Chat With Shlomo Gordon

Jesus was a Jew. Critique Judaism all you like, but anti-semitism as a western philosophy is retarded.

4 months ago

Commented on European Court of Human Rights: Do NOT Insult the Noble Religion (THE SAAD TRUTH_765)

The only explanation for an exception to criticism being granted to an arbitrary religion is cowardice.

4 months ago

Commented on A Day of Reckoning - 1 - Sam Harris, Eric Weinstein, Bret Weinstein, Maajid Nawaz, Douglas Murray

[85:10-89:25] The advantage of the IDW is that they don't propagate predetermined dogma, but respect the content of argument. Any ideological closed mindedness with respect to the importance of biological diversity in human evolution would be damaging to the intellectual integrity of the IDW; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R1R8yrtEGAgw>

4 months ago

Replied to a comment on From Women To Men

I get the vibe (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vwKLTVCJn6Q&lc=UgxFmHa1KDoTq0SVN14AaABAg> / <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vwKLTVCJn6Q&lc=UgwS5k5YGFpQ0RY00V4AaABAg>), but will check it out preconditioned upon the detection of a minimum level of respect for the opposite sex. Nations have borders for a reason. Societies have cultures for a reason. If women aren't mating with half the population then they should blame their ancestors, not women - they are adapted for hypergamy. Clothing/proprietary evolved because societies that could not manage the stimulation of male/female instinct died out. Marriage evolved because societies which could not manage the consequences of sexual relations (the subsequent drain on female psychological+physical resources) died out. And a democratic society will most certainly die out if we start to blame a sex for our problems. It is an unbelievably immature and ineffective approach to a problem. If people wish to start blaming women for their problems then they should go read a tribal religious text. This is not a western tradition. And it never will be.

[Show less](#)

4 months ago

Commented on I Smell A Rat with the Clinton, Obama, Soros, CNN Explosive Device Story

Alex Jones on infowars has been expecting a false flag for the last 4+ weeks and claimed this was one of the main motivations for censoring him (so that people couldn't hear him talk about the prospective false flag to derail the midterms). I thought this was crazy, now I am not so sure. You would have to be deranged to attempt to censor a citizen in the 21st century, so a short term political motive (targeted suppression of reporting to influence an election) is feasible.

[Show less](#)

5 months ago

Commented on From Women To Men

MGTOW whatever this stands for seems like a beta pipe dream. Ever considered the possibility that you got used because you were using someone else? Males don't deserve anyone - historically men died in combat, and on evolutionary time scales only like 50% of male Homo sapiens successfully reproduced. These women are apologising for things they should never have to apologise for - who encouraged them to seduce men? Why weren't they given enough natural attention to feel loved without sexualising themselves (what ingenious male invention took this attention away)? Why were they abused? I am all for a think tank on gender relations, but if it involves hostility without grace, then there are more fundamental problems to be identified. Forgiveness is predicated on ignorance - forgiveness is necessary because others are ignorant of our own feelings/natural dispositions ("for they know not what they are doing"). Even the fact these women are appearing on camera is an act of kindness - what gives incels the right to behold their beauty? From where does this lack of appreciation originate? An addiction perhaps has conditioned men to natural female value.

[Show less](#)

5 months ago

Commented on THE AD THE MEDIA BANNED

Any takers on the half-life of a civilisation that bans speech by political candidates?

5 months ago

Commented on Study Shows Conservatives Outnumber Progressives 3 to 1

A serious caveat for this study is that in the primary survey they didn't define political correctness for the participants, so we can't be certain that they all understood it the same way (e.g. wrt free speech absolutism). "One obvious question is what people mean by 'political correctness'. In the extended interviews and focus groups, participants made clear that they were concerned about their day-to-day ability to express themselves. They worry that a lack of familiarity with a topic, or an unthinking word choice, could lead to serious social sanctions for them. But since the survey question did not define political correctness for respondents, we cannot be sure what, exactly, the 80 percent of Americans who regard it as a problem have in mind." (www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2018/10/large-majorities-dislike-political-correctness/572581)

[Show less](#)

5 months ago

Commented on Evolution, Psychology, and Religion with Dr. Gad Saad (THE SAAD TRUTH_754)

"when you explain the nice stuff of humans everybody's quiet, when you explain the bad stuff - 'what a Nazi you must be, you're condoning cheating on your husband or wife' - no I'm not condoning it, I'm explaining that it happens tons of times and let's try to understand why it happens - it's not because of pornography"

I think people get deterred by evolutionarily reductive explanations of human behaviour - there may for example in this context be elements of infidelity that are exacerbated by socio-cultural factors. Pornography use implies infidelity of mind (fantasy), and studies have indicated that pornography is cited as a problem in some ~50% of cases of marital breakdown (NB to what extent is infidelity in other equally modern forms not also a contributing factor?). Such 'virtual' infidelity is a problem for relations because;

1. We are adapted to select a faithful partner (to increase the probability that the partner will provide resources for/conceive our offspring).
2. We have evolved domain general cognitive systems including theory of mind; we have self respect, abstract morality etc. Since infancy we demand human behaviour supports these belief structures (in the significance of self/other as extra-carnal sentient/agentive beings).

Thus there are also non-adaptive (exaptive) bases for disdaining infidelity and/or engaging in it out of spite.

[Show less](#)

5 months ago

Commented on Losing Our Voice in the Public Square

Nationalism enables competition, and competition maintains biological diversity which protects against extermination of a species. Yet in a modern environment, globalism minimises incentive for a thermonuclear war because human beings are more concerned about genetically proximate collateral (and thus also protects against extermination).

5 months ago

Commented on Why the NPC Meme FREAKS OUT the Left

The NPC meme is a commentary on conformity.

5 months ago

Commented on Why I Reject Indigenous Land Acknowledgments

Someone needs to examine the hypothesis that historic grievance rhetoric causes indigenous disillusionment and suicide.

5 months ago

Commented on Free Speech Police

Speech cannot be outlawed because totalitarian societies are inherently unstable (who determines what is offensive; and what if this happens to be speech against an infiltrator/dictator/commune). Furthermore, people need to be legally allowed to make sick jokes (speak) such that we can identify sickness at its core and deal with it. If we weren't able to see all the sickness around us due to people's fear of censorship then there might for example exist an epidemic of disintegration that is tearing society apart. This epidemic may not even be caused by speech, but something much more sinister. Contrarily, the only way to help such people is to reason with them, but this necessarily requires respect for reason including its ability to persuade in open debate.

Show less
5 months ago

Commented on **White People Should not Date White People??**

The shroud of the dark side has fallen, begun the brown supremacy war has.
5 months ago

Commented on **Tommy Robinson: 'You didn't expose abuse' - BBC Newsnight**

Errors in compilation:

- (00:08) "a villain to many but a hero to some"; the qualitative judgements reported here have been determined by his representation/labelling by MSM. It would have been productive to report conflict of interest (their role in shaping TR as a villain through terminology such as "extremist" /"far right").
- (00:23) it would have been productive to report why he goes under the alias TR; protection of self/family against political/religious threats.
- (00:40) selective broadcasting of disturbed TR supporters makes the BBC look biased and negatively affects their reputation with respect to neutrality.
- (00:36) it would have been productive to report why his sentence was "later quashed" by the court of appeal; because it had over 7 systematic errors in its execution, making it "fundamentally flawed" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s6ybjQye5RM/> / <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JEBDS8WL8> [3:00]).
- (00:37) it would have been productive to report why TR can "still go back to prison"; because two new charges have been brought forth - claims of jeopardising the Leeds trial by reporting on publicly available grooming gang demographics declared by 3 independent parties - claims which are contradicted by a discussion between the original judge Marson and the CPS (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mV6-EyglQA> 3:58) after they watched the full livestream post jailing TR (in that it contained nothing to jeopardise the trial; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TTdJahHWLbk>).
- (00:47) "these days he calls himself a journalist"; TR has worked for Rebel Media and is currently an independent journalist. He undertook journalistic training with respect to contempt of court at one of the best law firms in the country before being arrested at Leeds court for breach of peace (later "contempt of court" and then quashed by the court of appeal).
- (1:28) selective broadcasting of unimpressed policewomen makes the BBC look biased and again negatively affects their reputation with respect to neutrality.
- (1:52/6:50/11:24) "Islamophobia" implies irrational fear so it would generally be prescribed after examining the evidence (or making a journalistic case on a broadcast) not before.
- (5:46) "the majority of paedophiles in this country are white men" - child gang rape/paedophilia (majority "Asian"/Pakistani Muslim) is not equivalent to child rape/paedophilia (majority "white").
- (5:46) The percentage of "Asians" (Pakistani Muslim) are lower compared to "whites", so we would expect white paedophilia to be much (1 / 1.2m Pakistani/65m total pop x 90% Muslim = 50 times) higher than such "Asian" paedophilia all things being equal. One has to examine statistical over-representation of Pakistani Muslim child rape with respect to the base rate (of Pakistani Muslims in the country).
- (5:50) "go to any Tommy Robinson rally and you would come away with the impression that child abuse is somehow linked to Islam"; a thorough reading of the Old Testament and Quran would seriously assist in the BBC's ability to objectively report on this issue. The bible primarily contains stories/narratives/accounts of events including laws as given to Moses, whereas the Quran primarily contains commands/interpretations/assertions (narration versus dictation). This lends the Quran to being taken as an instruction manual. Moreover, it repeatedly asserts this as its required interpretation (while providing a method for dealing with contradictions across surahs; abrogation - <https://web.archive.org/web/20081011212809/http://www.usc.edu/80/dept/MSA/quran/002.qmt.html#002.106/> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081019234821/http://www.usc.edu/80/dept/MSA/quran/016.qmt.html#016.101>). The bible does not codify sexual slavery (with captives of war), yet the Quran can be interpreted to do so (<https://web.archive.org/web/20081019234836/http://www.usc.edu/80/dept/MSA/quran/023.qmt.html/> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081106182616/http://www.usc.edu/80/dept/MSA/quran/070.qmt.html/> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081020003745/http://www.usc.edu/80/dept/MSA/quran/024.qmt.html>). Muhammad had at least one child bride (taken at age 6, consummated at age 9), along with multiple wives and sexual slaves, unlike the religious founder of the Church of England (<https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/033.qmt.html#033.028/> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/033.qmt.html#033.050/> / <https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/066.qmt.html#066.003>).
- (8:00) continuing to interview only critics of TR is not going to improve the BBC's reputation for misreporting about TR.
- (8:15) "he seemed to be the go to Muslim" - this segment frames an outspoken and unapologetic cleric as exclusively representative of TR's view on Islamic following (it would have been more objective to examine the actual arguments of TR's).
- (10:40) they are hanging their politics explicitly on an anti-muslim agenda; this statement is subjective and should be corrected - confounding ideas with people is precisely how actual extremism (dehumanisation) develops. Where politics is involved there is only an anti-Islamic agenda. (Note UKIP has multiple unrelated policies so any categorisation of their politics as being dependent on this agenda is questionable).
- (11:05) "the platforms are being given over to the extremist ideologues" - the only way to prevent actual extremism (which is minimal in the TR movement), is to start reporting on facts neutrally. Censorship will not work as it will just go offline/underground. The solution is more not less information/speech. Similarly, there needs to be greater and more detailed criticism of culture and religion.
- (11:35) "the people who have exposed scandals throughout the country is survivors contacting the media"; this is of course correct. Yet the "right" (including Sikhs, e.g. <https://sasorg.co.uk/about/>) were talking about the Pakistani/Muslim grooming gangs before the MSM or establishment (first reported by MSM 2010; http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/shropshire/hitv_and_radio/newsid_8662000/8662856.stm). Their coverage was cited by MSM reporter Andrew Norfolk who conducted the first MSM investigation into the demographics of grooming gang assaults. Andrew Norfolk declared their own findings to be in accordance with "the far right's dream story" ("Innocent white girls, evil dark skinned men"; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D7xhNYJV430> 3:52), in that it is precisely what the "right" had been reporting. The "right" continue to talk about the problem far more than the MSM, thereby "exposing" the problem to public awareness. Again, it does critically depend on victims to first come forward.

Show less
5 months ago (edited)

Commented on **BREAKING ANOTHER MILITARY PERSONAL HAS LOST HIS JOB OVER SUSPICIONS HE MESSAGED TOMMY ROBINSON**

British soldiers are off in far away lands fighting never ending wars, and the reason they are never ending is contained in the very book which is not allowed to be criticised. They wouldn't need to hire Islamic intelligence officers (for which they are presumably censoring criticism) if they had a better grasp of their own history, tradition and morale. Appeasement will fail, and so will proactive violence. The only way to challenge a cult is to take the moral high ground. This includes freedom of speech.

Show less
5 months ago

Commented on **Video Games Or Kids: You Can't Have Both?**

I love the way they have implicitly paired playing video games (base desire for demonstration of fitness by action) with wearing heels and makeup (base desire for demonstration of fitness by appearance) - it would appear advertisers have a better understanding of evolutionary psychology than much grievance studies infected research. What they fail to appreciate is that the specific examples chosen involve simulation of stimuli and are to this extent (of themselves) fake. Perhaps if they had focused on actual demonstrations of evolutionary fitness (physical fitness, natural beauty, fertility, availability, exclusivity), they would be more engaged with reality and therefore more appreciative of the intrinsic connection between their base desires and children.

Show less
5 months ago

Commented on **MUSLIMS ONLY: Loomer & Goldy Tossed From Toronto Terror Forum**

There is reason to believe a Sharia infiltration is tolerated by a socialist state because at a more fundamental level it is representative of left wing authoritarianism. It makes no class or racial distinctions, idealises global submission, suppresses alternate belief structures, and has a built in welfare state. Its assignment of the female proletariat to human production and willingness to terminate psychological anomalies which threaten uniformity are peripheral. The explanatory power of an unwillingness to confront ideas which pose actual physical threats, enemy of thy enemy, and anti-materialist hypotheses seem insufficient of themselves.

Show less
5 months ago

Commented on **FULL STREAM: Anti Brett Kavanaugh March In Hollywood, Los Angeles**

I think the underlying problem being protested here is their last word as it were (49:30); "Women are getting raped". (Whether rape is interpreted here as sexual assault or manipulation it doesn't properly matter; the stats suggest between 30% to 70% of all women experience instances of such behaviour). This is not a natural or stable state for a society, as societies evolved to prevent this from happening. Moreover law of itself was never designed to prevent a culture of predation (in which innocent girls are targeted for consumption). Rather, civilisation has relied upon strong cultural norms (often with severe social consequences). As western culture has changed over the last century however, there has been no prioritisation of the maintenance of the standards of interaction upon which civilisations subsist.

Show less
5 months ago

Commented on **Dude KICKED Pro-Life Activist, Gets Fired For it**

15-Year-Old Punched Out In Front Of Planned Parenthood; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ai5340EASXU>
5 months ago

Commented on **Tommy Robinson on Sky News**

The fundamental error I identified in the interviewer's knowledge (or logic if he has truly read all the relevant scriptures) was that he is incapable of differentiating between a set of narratives/accounts/letters/etc (Judeochristian texts) and a set of instructions/commands/dictates (Quranic texts). Moreover, the Quranic texts repeatedly reinforce their required interpretation to the reader as the will of Allah (inc synthesis eg abrogation etc). The gospels are journalistic accounts, the letters are just that (letters), the Nevim are stories, the Ketuvim are both poetry and stories, and even the Torah/law of the Old Testament (inc Leviticus/Deuteronomy) are presented in narrative form (ie "here is what was said to Moses: ...").

Leviticus 1:1;
The Lord called to Moses and spoke to him from the tent of meeting. He said, "Speak to the Israelites and say to them: ...

Numbers 1:1;
The Lord spoke to Moses in the tent of meeting in the Desert of Sinai on the first day of the second month of the second year after the Israelites came out of Egypt. He said: ...

Deuteronomy 1:1;
These are the words Moses spoke to all Israel in the wilderness east of the Jordan...
Show less
5 months ago

Commented on **Super Male Fatality (Alex Jones vs. the Cathedral)**

If the alt-right weren't so anti-semitic people might start to listen to them. The very same "race-realism" used to explain global cultural development differences (i.e. evolved variations in group intelligence) can be used to explain differences in power structures (Hollywood/media ownership etc). And race-realism isn't necessarily required to explain either of them. Upbringing environment may account for the majority of and possibly all group differences.

5 months ago

Commented on a private video

Note being "more prone to committing violent crime" appears technically an interpretation of the data not a fact per se. There might be an intermediate variable, for example upbringing.

It is impossible to tell for certain because the tweet was expressed in a reply which has now been censored, so thanks to the brilliance of progsplaining temporal superiority in discarding ancestral wisdom as encapsulated by the first amendment we may never know. How does an individual know that deplatformed persons were not taken out of context? How do we know it was not just an accidental oversight? On what basis should we expect a conspiracy theory not to emerge regarding the existence of illegal libraries (is authority an argument?) This is why the speech of a true citizen (with ancestral claim) should never be censored by their nation. If domestic terrorist propaganda (i.e. speech) is a problem being generated by people without ancestral claim (e.g. Islamic fundamentalism) then we need to immediately correct the immigration and/or citizenship process. But otherwise the only stable solution for internal disagreement is more speech. Authoritarianism is not stable.

The double standards in censorship are by the way unbelievably "racist"/"sexist" - it assumes the uncensored individuals are not intelligent enough to be expected to be objective by virtue of their race/sex.
Show less
5 months ago

Commented on **Is it okay for the media to label someone racist and then silence them? A Faith Goldy Story**

A communist/globalist propaganda machine must confabulate a new definition of racism at every stage of an ethnic neutralisation. All components of the nation state must be neutralised (religion, culture, gender, ethnicity, etc). Words change or are invented at every stage of the process. When dysfunctional anomalies are detected by the public new words are quickly inserted to suppress all opposition (eg phobia, ist, bigot, etc). Once the public is sufficiently terrorised into accepting the anomaly, the definition of the negatively conditioned trigger starts to shift toward the next desired target state.
Show less
5 months ago

Commented on **California Passes Suicidally Unconstitutional Law Re: Females in Corporate Boardrooms**

If people think an underlying problem emerges from having a sex specific corporate board then they should clearly and directly identify that problem (e.g. bias x, harassment y, dehumanisation z, etc) through speech, not engage in indirect submissive behaviours like forcing companies to discriminate in their hiring across genotype/phenotype. The moment we give up on communication between social or genetic groups civilisation will fail. Tyranny requires ever increasing amounts of force to maintain. Good interventions do not necessitate good outcomes. It is incredibly easy to screw systems up, particularly those that have evolved over 100s of millions of years (e.g. meritocracy).

Show less
5 months ago

Commented on a private video

He hadn't even watched the livestream - that doesn't qualify as journalism. There is a more accurate description for an interviewer that lectures to their audience about imperialism.

5 months ago

Commented on **Keeping the Country Together: Should We?**

Although I want the investigation to continue, this is great analysis; the value system of western civilisation has become so divergent that we need to seriously consider creating two substates and giving citizens the choice of living according to their respective values. I have discussed this proposal in the past and the primary criticism is that 1. there is no guarantee the offspring of the communities will share their values so 2. they will be forced to move to the alternate faction. Yet 3. human beings have a strong predisposition to care for genetically related persons meaning this might destabilise both communities. If one faction deteriorates within a generation however and are forced to reconsider their values this might not be a problem.

Show less

5 months ago

Commented on **I lost all my friends in the culture war.**

You have made a new friend, I have never seen you before. The traditional right and feminism do have a common enemy; mass dehumanisation of women through objectification in the media.

5 months ago

Commented on **The REAL Sky News interview with Tommy Robinson before they edited, lied and spread fake news**

If this is representative of the analytical capacity of the propaganda wing of the UK establishment, the UK is conquered land. Post modernism might be safe in an imaginary tea party, but when applied to religious texts it is deadly. There might be an unlimited number of interpretations of a text, but not all are equally valid (internally consistent).

Historically, the word ignorant might have been reserved for those who equate the narrative style of the Torah or Nevi'im, to the poetic style of the first Ketuvim, to the journalistic style of the gospels, to the personal style of the letters, to the dictation-ary/dictatorial style of the Quran. Critically, it is more probable that a scripture with only one author, account, and audience will lend itself to being taken as doctrine - especially when the text itself dictates that this is its purpose, to the exclusion of all other readings. Educated people don't read a story/account the same as a manual. And they don't outsource their scholarly responsibility to foreign agents.

The modern concept of "extremism" as applied to religious zealotness is nonsense. Religions are different - they are not necessarily compatible. Religious zealotness created western civilisation. How many zealots died to bring freedom of speech to the west? Perhaps it will be the zealots who will save the confounded and terrorised masses from the real extremists and their global equalist agenda. And if we look at history, it is the secularists who surrender first. How did the British become as demoralised as the French? Clean up your society and have some pride.

Of course there may be more socially compatible interpretations of a scripture, but it doesn't mean that we demonise citizens who point out inequalities. Based on this limited sample, there appears to be no correlation between British accent and intelligence, only courage.

Show less

5 months ago

Commented on **Built not Bought: Comments on New Democrat Messaging**

This may be the most dangerous part of the video; "as long as everybody plays by the same rules" - which rules? The "just and free"? It sounds deceptively authoritarian. Should dehumanisation be legally protected from destruction so long as it is pleasurable to the majority? Does everyone have to pay to kill developing humans? Should doctors be forced to kill them? Does everyone have to respect a perceived identity, regardless of how sick their society is? Should we force both sexes not to exhibit evolutionarily adaptive behaviours of sex x, without forcing them not to exhibit evolutionarily adaptive behaviours of sex y? What about a progressive tax system? Should we ensure that everyone has only x dollars in their bank account every jubilee? Should we disarm the populace because a cultureless civilisation becomes increasingly erratic? Moral societies require very little government. Personal and cultural disintegration necessitates rules, and rules necessarily multiply (because they are never perfect). The USA was founded on a constitution of governmental restriction not enforcement.

Show less

5 months ago

Commented on **What is Authoritarian about Social Justice? A University's LGBT Twitter account Grants a Glimpse**

It is already happening in the UK - people are being locked up for speech.

6 months ago

Commented on **Feminist "Me Too" Leader Asia Argento is a Hypocrite**

We should give her a break man - if the claims against her are true, then she is replaying her abuse to ameliorate the pain - very common. Rather than dealing with the horror of reality abuse victims often try to emphasise with the perpetrator (speculating that their behaviour was right and natural), and perceive such desire in everyone they meet. Again, the consequences of (claimed) sexual relations for men/women are not evolutionarily equivalent, so although we need to be careful of reinterpreted events, we need to be particularly attentive to the damage caused by predators. The me too movement is a response to the breakdown of social standards that protected women against abuse (the law was never fit for this purpose) - you should interview Cassie Jaye on her experiences of what led her to feminism.

Show less

6 months ago

Commented on a private video

The persons responsible for bringing forth these charges should be tried for treason.

6 months ago

Commented on **Why I Love Australia**

We've got your back Tommy. Rest assured Australia will never become a dystopian nightmare where speech is outlawed. We will defend our land against communist tyrants.

6 months ago

Commented on **Steve Bannon interviewed by Zanny Minton Beddoes | The Economist**

I found the interview to contain unnecessary ethnoclobalist propaganda, and it is not clear the interviewer was even aware of this. Not everyone shares this doctrine, e.g. Dalai Lama; "Europe belongs to the Europeans". A more neutral approach would have been productive.

6 months ago

Commented on **#333 I can no longer live stream. Much love! - Owen Benjamin**

Sounds like an AI error; you should appeal

6 months ago

Commented on **The European Union is Making a Massive Mistake Punishing Hungary**

If Germany developed its own nuclear arsenal they wouldn't need to push an authoritarian EU. The only thing risking a second Hitler is the kind of mass censorship currently being promoted by the psychological inepts in power. If you treat a human like a dog it will act like a dog. Stop caring for your citizens or their culture and they will hate you. I thought they would have learnt this lesson already (it's in the primary source material).

Apparently someone has already proposed this; <https://nationalinterest.org/feature/why-germany-should-get-bomb-28377>. Ideally, denuclearisation would occur globally, but it is not going to happen anytime soon given the subsistence of communism and theocracy. I am not an expert in the field, so there may be a reason why this is a bad idea (e.g. precedence), but given the seriousness of the 'info war' through increasingly dystopian censorship I am suggesting it anyway.

Show less

6 months ago

Commented on **Women Are Getting Attacked in Gender Neutral Rooms**

We need to consider defunding all arts until its biological ignorance and societal recklessness stops posing a risk to civilians. Anyone with half a degree of knowledge of nature or respect for their civilisation could directly predict the consequences of a forced containment of males and females in private areas. Those responsible for the implementation of such changes without thorough decades long psychological analysis/experimentation should be sued by assault victims for negligence. The law has no mandate to cater for outliers at the expense of the biological norm. Affirmative action in this context is both discriminatory and dangerous.

Show less

6 months ago

Commented on **EU Wants to Force Internet Firms to Remove "Extremist" Content Within One Hour**

The category extremist content should include laws that suppose intelligent agents to be able to objectively identify and remove all extremist content within an hour. Or if it doesn't, the category sounds pretty arbitrary... they better throw Fahrenheit 451 in there to be safe. They wouldn't want any Europeans using their brains and thinking for themselves - how on earth would they implement their international socialism? There is a lot of real extremist content out there, a substantial proportion of the internet - but few care about dehumanisation when it doesn't serve their political agenda, even if it involves an actual depiction of a human being as an animal.

Show less

6 months ago

Commented on **Walk Away Brandon Straka BLOCKED On Facebook For Posting InfoWars Link #WalkAway**

Fahrenheit 451

6 months ago

Commented on **U.K. "Hate Speech" Delusion: West Yorkshire Police Suggest Criminals Aren't To Blame, Words Are**

Words cause harm/crime - this is the philosophy that will end western civilisation. Words prevent harm/crime; they express both subjective (feelings) and objective truths, allowing people to argue with and eventually understand each other. People who give up on words and resort to force are tyrants. Declaring that words are violence only encourages and justifies people in their tyranny. Etiquette is how to operate a high society comprised of individuals with education, discipline, and a cohesive culture - but politeness is never enforced to prevent harm/crime. When society has become so disintegrated as to necessitate the enforcement of politeness, this is just one of many first steps in the self-destruction of an experimental globalist/Marxist utopia.

Show less

6 months ago

Commented on **The Far Left Opposes Science Even When They Think Its True**

Note (7:30) it is important to distinguish superiority from intelligence. The claim that high intelligence implies superiority is philosophical not scientific. Intelligence is just one trait that nature selects for depending on the environment.

General intelligence as measured by IQ has high heritability (it is typically measured to be approximately 70% genetic, increasing with age). Measurements of trait heritability differ however depending on the environment in which they are measured, for example in low socioeconomic environments the relative genetic contribution to intelligence differences is much lower, being almost entirely dependent on the opportunity to access education/resources. A significant component of general intelligence as measured by IQ is environmental/cultural (the Flynn effect suggests that average intelligence has been increasing over the last century). The question of whether average differences in IQ (reflective of actual general intelligence) across racial groups are genetic is presently unestablished. The reason being that it is difficult to partial out environment differences (for example differential treatment by parents/peers based on the race of the subject and/or the culture of the group). Once the genetics of intelligence differences has been mapped at a molecular level (DNA) it will be possible to answer.

Show less

6 months ago

Commented on **How CNN Contributed to and Profited Off Of Banning Alex Jones**

Maybe Jack/twitter thinks that Islamic terrorists are conservatives? They don't ban moderates who criticise conservatives like Gavin McInnes, Tommy Robinson, Alex Jones, Milo Yiannopoulos, Carl Benjamin, etc.

6 months ago

Commented on **WHAT IT'S LIKE TO BE A FREE SPEECH WARRIOR**

One of the most obvious flaws with the argument that prototypical pornography distribution represents free speech is that it doesn't comprise words (in fact the entire product is antithetical to speech as it aims to represent people without speech or where their speech is irrelevant).

6 months ago

Commented on **Women is going to PRISON for False Accusation Against Men**

"No, I never said I was sexually assaulted," she said, adding "I never told [the detective] I was sexually assaulted. I told him that something happened in the bathroom at the party with these two guys, that I didn't want to happen." (<https://lawandcrime.com/live-trials/live-trials-current/nikki-yovino-sentencing/woman-who-lied-about-rape-rolled-her-eyes-in-court-while-being-sentenced/amp>). Western tradition dictates that males lead with respect to moral fortitude (outcasting animal behaviours like group

penetration) - Ephesians 5:21-33. Regardless of the validity of the philosophy/mythology, this is a natural expectation, because it coincides with our evolved instincts (such as conducive to fitness in the environment of evolutionary adaptedness). We can even measure these responses upon sexual prime (non-conformity/conformity). Whether men are incapacitated in this role or simply malevolent is irrelevant. Whether women are manipulated in this role or simply malevolent is irrelevant. For society to maintain equal expectations of male/female psychology is biologically incongruent.

Reportedly "rolls her eyes in court during victim's statement about how she ruined his life" (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-6091983/amp/Woman-false-rape-claim-gets-1-year-jail.html>). Compare the differential value of faithfulness in a long term male/female partner. Males have evolved to value faithfulness higher because they could never be certain of the existence of their offspring. Her prospective value is thus diminished significantly, ignoring entirely the permanent psychological scarring/conditioning of her desires and its impact on her subsequent relationship/s (giving herself away to another at a time when she was most physically valuable; the peak of her sexual market value). Contrarily, polygamy does not significantly detract from the male's evolutionary worth.

Show less
6 months ago

Replied to a comment on Proud Boys BANNED, H3H3 Shut Down For Discussing Alex Jones

@FTNWD; AJ discusses humans as interdimensional beings on Joe Rogan Experience #911 - Alex Jones & Eddie Bravo (<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=UZPCp8SPfOM>); 1:49 to 1:52. As explained by AJ on the podcast, he doesn't explicitly talk about this on Infowars; but there are some references to it; e.g. "make it to the next level", "there are eight levels of it".

7 months ago

Commented on Consent is a SPECTRUM!

Rape culture is a typical 'feminist' construct; there is an important truth behind it although the terminology is overtly attention attracting - I am hesitant to call it nonsense because it supervenes an even larger promiscuity problem in which manipulating behaviours/appearances for sexual gains is common place; psychologically/deterministically similar to rape. Regardless, here is the important truth;

Between 30-70% of college aged women have been used (tactile stimulus and/or intercourse initiated without their intention) by a subset of more dominant (or deceptive) males. The pseudo-alpha male doesn't necessarily see it as non-consensual however, as they are responding to (typically visual) stimuli coded for in the environment of evolutionary adaptedness. Following such relations (in particular where post traumatic stress develops), the associated environment will be conditioned/imprinted in memory thereby triggering perceptions of intent in future encounters where none might exist. Likewise, they may start to voluntarily engage sexually with males thereby changing male expectations of women (female desire). Furthermore, it may only become clear as to the devastating nature of their original use once the chemicals have subsided (or once they have explored all available territory at the peak of their sexual market value), and they are contemplating on their value as a future long term partner. There is a cultural problem, but it is not rape per se, but idiocy. If not wilful ignorance of ancestral wisdom, or manipulation by commercial entities.

In this specific case the actions are clearly premeditated (personally it looks like abuse/rape). Perhaps if he had gone to a decent private school he would have learnt that taking advantage of women, sodomy, and domination are all inhumane acts. And for those modern and necessarily enlightened club attendees wishing to continue this education beyond school (apart from ignoring national ethics standards endorsed by uneducated ministers and propagandists), they should probably focus on rediscovering styles of dance that do not simulate such intercourse.

Show less
7 months ago

Commented on Are They Being FORCED To Censor People on Gab??

Censoring conspiracy theory/religious ideology is counterproductive, it just pushes misconception underground and justifies any degree of truth in the original proposition. People need to be engaged with; although a negative cannot necessarily be proven evidence must be provided that contradicts the original claim. We think what you are saying is insane because of xyz.

In this specific case there may be bad actors that justify the classification of phishing. Counter-terrorism strategies must accommodate appropriately for the distinction between foreign and domestic threat. Attempting to eliminate domestic threats by covert operations or censorship is antithetical to democratic civilisation. Western civilisation is based on reason, and that inherent to its culture. For such civilisation to stand it must be able to reason its principles to its citizens. And the moment it ceases to discriminate between its citizens and foreign insurgency/infiltration it will suffer the path of disintegration.

Thus, if this collection of text is not phishing but representative of the actual beliefs of actual descendants of the nation then it indicates a need for greater dialogue. National or international socialism does not rise because of the expression of ideas, it rises because of the active suppression of them.

Show less
7 months ago

Commented on Youtube Bans Infowars (I Condemn This And It Needs to Stop)

Sexual conservatives ban actions, sexual liberals ban people and speech.

7 months ago

Commented on Patrick Gower takes on Lauren Southern and Stefan Molyneux

The national socialists better take it down before it goes viral

7 months ago

Commented on Women Are Losing Their Femininity

One reason why femininity has become masculinised (ignoring changes in tech) is because the impression of independence is designed to combat the illusion of objectification - it is a natural consequence of tolerating the dehumanisation of a group.

7 months ago

Commented on a private video

What happened to "Venezuela - on the edge of ruin | DW Documentary"? (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo> / <https://web.archive.org/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo> / <https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x6kh7br>)

7 months ago

Commented on New Hampshire - the State Liberals Claim is TOO WHITE

People who wish to create a single global race (or by their actions determine this) are racist, people who wish to preserve race are not necessarily.

7 months ago

Commented on The Islamic Terror Attack You Never Heard About

Avi I had a look at this a while back; note the London incident (3 boys) doesn't look like a terrorist attack, the run over occurred on a relatively tight corner (taken at high speed).

7 months ago

Commented on Feminism: A Cancer on the West

yeah I have noticed this trend also. But consider this alternative hypothesis Mark; Jewish feminists are over represented in the public eye because they are disproportionately more intelligent. Also consider the fact their culture is different; the West derives from Christendom, which honoured the Virgin Mary, chastity and celibacy. The West was able to break free from material addiction, or at least as in Frodo's case be willing to let it go. The scapegoat hypothesis has been tried for over 1000 years man, and it isn't needed to explain entropy. It also can't explain all the exceedingly moral Jewish girls. "Feminism" (apart from the inevitable increase in equality; being a byproduct of technology) is a natural response to the public tolerance of the dehumanisation of women (prostitution, pornography, ad infinitum). The roots of the gender division lie in moral error. Sin metastasises quickly; this is what the first book of the Torah is all about.

Show less
8 months ago

Commented on Meet youngest US woman on death row (FULL VERSION) | A Hidden America with Diane Sawyer PART 4/6

It is impertinent to ask a sexual abuse victim how they feel about a crime. The judge was surprised that she was cold? Ever wondered how the 50% of psychopathy not attributable to genetic factors develops?

8 months ago

Commented on Tommy Robinson is in prison and this is why

Adam Wagner, "If Tommy Robinson was really bothered about getting justice for the victims of the crimes, then he wouldn't have attempted to derail the trial by reporting it in a prejudicial way";

1. The judge who sentenced TR hadn't seen the full live stream; <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UbNWJZMyJz4>
2. TR was careful to use the word "alleged" whenever referencing the accused (unlike other journalists; e.g. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>).
3. TR repeatedly asked the police and crew whether he was allowed to be reporting outside the court (off the steps). The police responded by saying "as long as you're respectful". Note the reason TR was being so careful in this regard is because he was previously charged with contempt of court (of another child gang rape trial).
4. The question TR asked of the accused "how are you feeling about the verdict? Is there any guilt?" mirrors what a prominent SkyNews reporter asked Count Dankula outside the court (implying that it classifies as respectful according to journalistic standards).
5. Compared to the time in which TR was charged with contempt of court, previous hearings being reported on involved verbal harassment of the accused by media/persons outside the court (e.g. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4wOtoS7yA>). TR is one of few individuals in the UK yet required to be overtly courteous to alleged gang rapists of young girls.
6. The audience numbers were 10000 during the livestream (the only reason a quarter of a million have now watched it is because the general public have detected a discrepancy in the administration of justice in the case of TR). These audience numbers are similar to those witnessing recordings of previous hearings by independent journalists (e.g. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>).
7. TR's reporting apparently occurred on the final day of the trial when "the jury are making their verdict", meaning it was assumed that the reporting would/could not influence the outcome of the trial.
8. There is evidence to suggest TR is one of only few journalists in the UK who has consistently and actively cared about the UK child gang rape victims over the decade.

Classifying TR's activities as right wing is disingenuous given that 90% of the child gang rape cases involve accused persons from an Islamic/Pakistani background/demographic (and any reasonable individual would like to know why, irrespective of their political affiliation). A large number of persons were instrumental in lifting the media ban ("blackout") on TR's imprisonment.

Show less
9 months ago

Commented on Evolution & the future - Bret Weinstein & Jamie Wheal

Rejecting the evolutionary programme is not simple - for evolutionary reasons, this is typically the most difficult thing for an organism to do.

9 months ago

Commented on Steve Bannon: the full Euronews interview

Steve Bannon the full Euronews interview (without "propaganda" cutoff): www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZkSALWoiL11

9 months ago

Commented on Generation Identity Facing Prison

The reason why suppression of free speech grants credibility is because it is inversely correlated with general intelligence.

10 months ago

Commented on College Free Speech Event Canceled / Tommy Robinson Removed from Speaker's Corner by Police

Tim should receive an award for neutral journalism.

1 year ago