

## Comments

**Commented on Mindscape 78 | Daniel Dennett on Minds, Patterns, and the Scientific Image**

44:10 - "do you have a simple definition of what consciousness is that you prefer?" - one can't talk about something without defining it.

54:00 - "a moral agent.. is to be able to foresee and understand the outcomes of possible actions, and act accordingly" - defines a moral agent without defining morality. What function is being optimised by the moral agent ("act accordingly")? The consequences? What distinguishes morality over mere pragmatism/consequentialism/immorality is the ability to make decisions based on logic/reason irrespective of the personal consequences (eg there is no reason to treat another different to how one would expect to be treated all things being equal, considering that the only reason one treats oneself special is because they value their own self/sentience/agency and we assume this is shared by like others).

56:54 - "scientific theories of consciousness" - phenomenological consciousness (mental properties/1st person experience) is by definition not an empirical phenomenon because it cannot be denied through empirical observation (measurement). We can only measure physical (eg neuronal/behavioural) properties. We can philosophically infer the existence of other sentient beings by projecting our own internal experience to like (physically similar) others (whose brains also in the case of humans encode a model of a sentient agent including certainty of its existence); but apart from the measurement of the similarity between ostensibly sentient beings and the mapping between self-report conscious experience and neuronal function this is not an empirical exercise.

1:27:25 - that "martian zombies"/martians/earthling zombies/earthlings (irrelevant) could infer phenomenological consciousness through seeing/reading human behaviour/records - This implies that it is necessarily true that we could infer phenomenological consciousness in an AI by observing its behaviour/records.

**Show less**

7 minutes ago

**Commented on Television & Screen Time is Damaging Children's Brains**

Mark didn't even mention all the propaganda the children will be missing out on.

1 week ago

**Commented on Millennialyule 2019: Greg Johnson**

Fahrenheit 451

3 weeks ago

**Commented on Sam Harris | Bret Weinstein's DarkHorse Podcast #8**

It would be worth clearly partialling out chaos from indeterminism. In a chaotic yet deterministic system it is still possible to generate a near infinite variety of forms, subject to the laws of nature and size/age of the universe.

Only in an ateleological universe does determinism appear to suggest meaninglessness (of categorisation etc). Yet we have to assume human beings have evolved to be reasonable (ie the laws of nature facilitate/direct the evolution of reasonable creatures; and thus that fitness of high generality intelligence is dependent on such reason). Without this assumption all

propositions/language are meaningless.

It is correct to assert that intrinsic randomness (physical indeterminism) is a necessary but insufficient condition of libertarian free will. However the problem of origination remains; even if such randomness existed it bears no obvious relationship to the ownership an agent imagines themselves taking for a decision; there would have to exist a mapping between a quantum neuronal indeterminism (speculative and arguable negligible with respect to high level cognitive processes) and will power itself; see free volition by Robert Kane. And why would such a mapping exist absent teleology?

It is not clear that intrinsic randomness apart from this mechanism can provide existence/categories meaning.

Phenomenological consciousness (mental properties) can by definition serve no causal role in a physical system or its evolution, because the physical universe is defined by what can be empirically observed. The existence of an internal sentience "within" an organism or robot cannot be denied by thought experiment and is therefore not a scientific hypothesis (cf multiverse hypotheses).

The only possible function mental properties could serve in an evolutionary context which are not overdetermined by the physical/neural system itself is in the context of a substance dualist philosophy of mind where consciousness exists in some alternate "physical" (technically not because empirically unobservable) dimension, and interacts and coevolves with our more familiar genetic/neuronal dimension. See the problem of interactionism. Likewise, the laws of the mental dimension are left unexplained, and shifted down a layer of existence.

At times Bret seems to imply that phenomenological consciousness is absurd and unparsimonious. This is true with a physicalist philosophy of mind irrespective of determinism, because mental properties are redundant (the physical system operates/evolves perfectly fine without them). This leads contemporary philosophers to propose panpsychism. Yet assuming phenomenological consciousness exists and is a natural phenomenon then it must abide by some set of laws, and these may as well be (are arguably) those same physical laws we take for granted as describing observables.

A good argument for compatibilist (for all intensive purposes deterministic) free will is that articulated by Sam; we maintain competing desires (adaptive motivations) and free will can simply imply we are not slaves to our reptilian brains. Moreover, on what basis do we make decisions if not reason? Logic itself is a deterministic process.

Finally, even taking an indeterministic universe as granted, time is simply another physical dimension of our universe, so to an "outside" "observer" everything that will happen has already happened. Indeterminism from our point of view (observed probabilistic behaviour) does not imply that the system's prospective evolutionary path is not inevitable or destined to occur.

**Show less**

4 weeks ago

**Commented on**

### **Anomaly Keeps It Real About Nick Fuentes, Michelle Malkin, 1st Amendment & Right Wing Safe Spaces!**

Great analysis

1 month ago

### **Commented on Science is Garbage**

Nick needs to debate/talk to a scientist.

1 month ago

### **Commented on YouTube's Latest Arbitrary and Unpopular Decision**

Censorship of speech turns people into slaves.

1 month ago

### **Commented on Youtube Has Really Alienated its Users This Time: CEO Susan Should Resign**

Maybe because they have started to act like a publisher by banning people they have started to receive threats of legal action (defamation lawsuits)?

1 month ago

### **Commented on Shaping the Culture with GLAAD**

"will all age really badly": ie be sued off the face of the earth for sexual abuse.

1 month ago

### **Commented on Is YouTube doing enough to fight hate speech and conspiracy theories?**

60 minutes is a publisher while Youtube is a platform. Lauren Southern, Martin Sellner, Richard Spencer, Steven Crowder, Nick Fuentes and all the other dissidents could sue 60 minutes to oblivion for defamation

1 month ago

### **Commented on an unavailable video**

What I saw of this was very funny, and it is not wise what the IDW crew have done in distancing themselves from other dissidents. Remember that censorship creates echo chambers. It isn't obvious if the heliocentric/NASA scienticism is comedic but in the Southern Hemisphere you

1 month ago

### **Commented on Sacha Baron Cohen Rips Facebook and Other Social Media Giants | NowThis**

Cohen references Voltaire (<https://youtu.be/ymaWq5yZIYM> 8:05). Voltaire was also quoted as saying "I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it" (Evelyn Beatrice Hall). National socialists do not like freedom of speech and suppress their opposition

1 month ago

### **Commented on Upcoming Debate with David Sloan Wilson**

Why has this important video been unlisted? Has the debate been cancelled? Has the issue been resolved in the literature?

1 month ago

**Commented on GREG JOHNSON'S ARREST - Scandza Forum, Oslo 2019**

how to start a civil war 101: arrest people for talking

2 months ago

**Commented on Mindscape 71 | Philip Goff on Consciousness Everywhere**

A core issue here is the meaning of empirical observation. There is no way to independently verify the existence of one's own or another's internal/subjective experience, so this phenomenon (philosophical consciousness) is not within the bounds of the empirical method. We can map a correspondence between independently verified physical/neural reality and what a biological agent tells/communicates as their internal experience (sight/smell/taste/sound/feel/etc). Moreover, based on the philosophical assumption of non-reductive physicalism (a correspondence between observables and internal experience), we can arguably map a correspondence between the agent's internal experience and physical/neural reality - "the neural correlates of consciousness".

Yet this does not provide an explanation/purpose of internal experience, because its existence and mapping was taken for granted axiomatically. The physical (observed) system can be perfectly described to behave according to the laws of physics, without the need for such assumptions (mental properties and their mapping to physical properties). This invokes what is known as the problem of "overdetermination" in non-reductive physicalism - mental properties appear functionally useless, being indistinguishable from epiphenomena.

Likewise the question arises, if such mental properties exist, and they are mapped to particular functional subsets of the physical system, what defines when they arise? (leaving their qualitative nature aside). Why do we presume to think that only particular complex physical subsystems give rise to internal experience? Panpsychism is a naturalistic answer to this question (as opposed to teleological explanations; e.g. it was designed/simulated that way). It does however suffer from "the combination problem"; specifically how and why Quiddities (elemental forms of matter/consciousness) combine to form coherent centres of consciousness like intelligent conscious agents.

**Show less**

2 months ago

**Commented on Youtube Says it May Ban Accounts That it Deems "Not Commercially Viable"**

Without clarification it sounds like a US platform for individual expression with near 100% market share might be confabulating new, legally low risk methods of justifying externally ransomed censorship

2 months ago

**Commented on**

**Why Richard Dawkins & Bret Weinstein Disagree About Lineage Selection (Making Sense with Sam Harris)**

2 hours with Dawkins and nothing about lineage level selection? Who do you think is going to answer these questions if the experts are unwilling to discuss them? Dawkins mentions W.D. Hamilton's kin selected altruism briefly @44:50 but Dawkins is not queried as to its

2 months ago

**Commented on Is Most Published Research Wrong?**

11:30 - "so, how frequently do we delude ourselves when we are not using the scientific method? As flawed as our science may be, it is far in a way more reliable than any other way of knowing that we have." Typically this is correct, but not necessarily with respect to human psychology. Tradition is also a method for determining truth. Civilisations have evolved preconditioned on a satisfactory understanding of human psychology - those that misinterpreted human psychology died out through natural selection.

**Show less**

2 months ago

**Commented on The US Is Becoming more Conservative Because Democrats DONT Have Kids**

Interesting prediction. One must also account for politics by demographic, fertility rates by demographic, and changes in demographics. Likewise, implying those who want the Japanese/etc population to survive are "racist" is not going to help deradicalise people. Beware

2 months ago

**Commented on Connecting Nationalists, Building a Movement**

Thinkspot should theoretically be able to facilitate cerebral conversations with regards to the evolutionary function (adaptation/byproduct) of nation.

2 months ago

**Commented on Tulsi Gabbard's Lawsuit Against Google IS A LOSER! Viva Frei Vlawg**

I don't know man - sounds pretty dodgy to me. At the very least it is willful or inadvertent directorial negligence through a failure to protocolise political neutrality. Corporations forfeit their right to benevolent monopoly (or must be held accountable as a publisher for their ostensible platform) if they are going to bias the outcome of a democratic election through ideological prejudice. As it stands, they risk facing billions of dollars in lawsuits for deplatforming US citizens from the public space. Personally I like Google, and don't want them to be broken up, but they need to get their game together - this is the USA, not some third world country that sells out its population to the highest bidder.

**Show less**

2 months ago

**Commented on What's In a 20,000 Year-Old Cube of Ice?**

According to the models, what amount of anthropogenic greenhouse emissions do we require to avert the next ice age minima? It is irresponsible to only talk about one side of the dangers of climate change, and makes people dismiss the field. What humanity requires is the ability to artificially manipulate atmospheric greenhouse gases (through artificial forests or emitters), and this is going to require an unlimited and consistent source of energy (ie nuclear fusion). Any greenhouse gases we emit in the process of developing this energy source can be extracted from the atmosphere in the future.

**Show less**

3 months ago

**Commented on What does the future hold for particle physics?**

Thanks Sabine for producing these videos - they should have you presenting physics on tv

3 months ago

**Commented on NYT Article DENOUNCES Free Speech??! Says "Free Speech Is Killing Us"**

Images are not speech.

3 months ago

**Commented on**

**Chloé Valdary of Theory of Enchantment Bret Weinstein | DarkHorse Podcast #3**

1:46:26 - malware or intergenerational adaptation? Some lineage level adaptive concepts (eg self-sacrifice/individual martyrdom) require more than one generation to transmit/take root, and even then they won't necessarily be accepted by an individual without the belief/condition in/of ultimate self-preservation. In the case of slavery as articulated by Chloe this required perseverance to wade it out while demonstrating their shared humanity to their captors. This warrants empirical research into the conditions under which an individual would be willing to self-sacrifice for their group (eg wartime). The genetic and cultural relatedness of the group are likely to be factors, along with more direct kin selection (eg actual or prospective offspring), but higher order beliefs concerning individual (or group) purpose may be critical preconditions of voluntary sacrifice. There aren't too many historic samples where metaphysical naturalist assumptions were normalised.

**Show less**

3 months ago

**Commented on DAILY ROUTINE IN 2050**

Finally, an original movie.

3 months ago

**Commented on Apparently, Abortion Is A 'Moral Good'**

What is more probable; a) 20-30% of the population is going to admit they have willfully killed their first child, or b) cognitive dissonance. Abortion/moralisation is largely an artefact of the tolerance of the sexualisation of women; the commodification of sexual interaction independent of its natural consequences/purpose. Human civilisation is built on the confinement of sexual drive to our long-term reproductive strategy (matched genetic quality, shared resources) through the normalisation of proprietary. Critically, a) clothing and b) marriage. Such have been evolutionarily stable convergent solutions to male/female relations and are therefore found across all large scale societies that have ever existed, and which are not being/were not replaced by more evolutionarily competitive (and ironically, traditional) populations.

**Show less**

3 months ago

**Commented on The English Countryside is "Too White"**

Playing with 4 billion year old evolutionary processes is not a wise governmental strategy. They should all be discharged and their respective universities or faculties defunded.

3 months ago

**Commented on The Beginning of the End \*\***

YT what was the precise reason why this video was removed? ("How the Left is Pushing America Further Right"; <https://www.bitchute.com/video/FqqI2afl5ro>). Removing statistical analyses by US citizens is not going to deradicalise anyone. If you wish to help people; identify and critique the proposition with which you disagree, and preferably with evidence. For example, perhaps children are being abandoned by their fathers due to systemic racial discrimination, familial independent economic welfare, preexisting economic/educational gaps (coupled with sex dependent evolutionary incentive for partner resource acquisition), or differential norms regarding parental responsibility?

**Show less**

4 months ago

### Commented on We're Getting GAYER? Environmental & Genetic Effects on Sexuality | Ep 75

Ganna et al 2019 finds same sex behaviour to be 8-25% genetic (ie 75%-92% environmental; prenatal, upbringing or circumstance), as measured in contemporary western social environments (US/UK). For same sex behaviour to be deterministic ('born this way'), the majority of the effect must therefore be prenatal hormonal (see also conditioning through abuse/trauma; addiction or aversion). Female sexuality is typically measured to be more fluid (cf bisexuality), which is theoretically consistent with its comparatively low adaptive benefit of being encoded by evolution (females were prehistorically selected for reproduction regardless of their sexual psychology/desire/orientation due to the high value of their gametes).

This genetic determination result could be different if measured in different environments/societies, depending on the level of gene-environment interaction (GxE). For example, while general intelligence is typically measured to be up to 80% genetic (increases with age), the measurement has strong GxE dependence; eg in low socioeconomic environments GI genetic determination measurements can be very low (<20%). For a comparison, all the 'big 5' personality traits (openness, conscientiousness, agreeableness, neuroticism, extroversion) are typically measured to have a genetic dependence of approx 50%, and psychopathy ~50% (of which we don't consider to be a deterministic trait).

In any case this result is not unexpected. While indiscriminate sexual behaviour is very common in the animal kingdom (including mammals), exclusive homosexuality is extremely rare. The only known mammal to exhibit such behaviour is *Ovis aries* (domesticated sheep). A low genetic determination of exclusive homosexuality is likewise supported by evolutionary theory (except in cases where the underlying genetic variation contributing to the trait can confer some other unrelated fitness benefit to the lineage).

Ganna, A., Verweij, K. J., Nivard, M. G., Maier, R., Wedow, R., Busch, A. S., ... & Lundström, S. (2019). Large-scale GWAS reveals insights into the genetic architecture of same-sex sexual behavior. *Science*, 365(6456), eaat7693.

Poiani, A., & Dixson, A. F. (2010). *Animal homosexuality: a biosocial perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[Note the 8-25% genetic variance explanation reported by Ganna et al 2019 can be considered a minimum estimate because of GWAS/SNP analysis/detection limitations including the possibility of non-additive effects; for reference, twin and family based heritability studies

typically yield genetic contribution estimates of approximately 30%]

**Show less**

4 months ago (edited)

**Commented on Does God exist? Science does not have an answer.**

"God" is typically defined as the 'cause' of (reason for) observable existence (cf Aristotelian unmoved mover). It is a philosophical theory, not unlike simulation, multiverse etc.

4 months ago

**Commented on New Races/Ethnic Groups that Might Exist in the Future**

00:04 - "Reproduction is not biased, it doesn't care about morals or ethics or politics, and it isn't selective outside of dominant and recessive traits";

There are historic social taboos against incest (genetic proximity), bestiality (genetic distance), and miscegenation (genetic distance), indicative of reproduction being a a) replication, and b) fitness maximisation process for any given genome, coupled with c) social contract (one cannot expect their tribe to invest resources in genetically distant or unfit members). We also observe consistent discrimination towards intra-population couplings (genetic similarity), despite "unbiasing" attempts to the contrary in modern/western media.

a) Hamilton's rule as extended to lineages should theoretically affect reproductive selection (mate preference): the relative genetic distance between two persons affects evolutionary incentive to invest a) genetically and c) monetarily in others, not just their b) fitness. For example, two members of a particular race (population) may be genetically (and therefore altruistically) equivalent to first/second cousins, as compared to members of another race (population).

a/b) There is a trade-off between maintaining a full copy of one's genome per replication (asexual reproduction) [maximising replication], and being able to diversify their genome by shuffling variant alleles/mutations between like organisms (sexual reproduction) [maximising fitness], providing protection against extinction (<https://www.nature.com/articles/nature14419>), and arguably accelerating speciation under conditions of high environmental stress (death).

b) With respect to fitness maximisation, there is a further trade-off between risking an accumulation of deleterious mutations (genetic proximity) [e.g. recessive traits] and risking the loss of advantageous mutations (genetic distance) [e.g. complex non-additive/epistatic effects]; cf the evolution of ashkenazi intelligence through strong ingroup selection (note this high intelligence is linked with recessive deleterious mutations also).

c) In males, their short-term reproduction strategy isn't strongly selective outside of fitness ("dominant and recessive traits"), since they are not limited by carrying capacity. Only under conditions of limited resources and commitment (long-term reproductive strategy: paternal investment) should males become particularly selective of genetic proximity. Moreover, when they are bound by a social contract, they are socially motivated to select a mate of relatively close genetic proximity (or all members of the tribe must be allowed similar levels of diversification in their offspring).

**Show less**

4 months ago

**Replied to a comment on Maryam Namazie on Islam, Tommy Robinson and Grooming Gangs**

Here are five sources supporting the claim the 'far right' were discussing religiously/ethnically associated child gang rape in the early 2000s (results for "Nick Griffin" grooming");

- <https://www.theguardian.com/uk/2006/jan/17/thefarright.politics>
- <https://www.theguardian.com/media/2004/may/26/broadcasting.elections2004>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6uyU3dfd3pE> (Rotherham Scandal: Nick Griffin Discusses Muslim Grooming in 2004; BBC Journalist Criticises Him)
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qs5GaH7RNAI> (BNP Nick Griffin - Racial Grooming, Sexual Abuse of White Girls)
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WbUIfvYbjRc> (Reality of Sexual Grooming Gangs in the UK; Interview with Andrew Norfolk by SAS; 4:00: "The very first time I heard about this was in 2003... a Labour MP for Keighley in West Yorkshire, Ann Cryer, became the first mainstream politician to raise concerns". 5:04: "Now we covered this story as a one off story and moved on, and one of the reasons I think was the fear of giving the far right an extreme story". 32:50: "I think an incredible amount more could have been done. I think children's lives have been broken, families have been broken, and shattered, because of inaction")

**Show less**

4 months ago

### **Replied to a comment on Maryam Namazie on Islam, Tommy Robinson and Grooming Gangs**

Do you have a source for this? (video, audio, flyer, article, etc). Tommy Robinson's cousin's cousin Jeanette was groomed, drugged and raped in 1994 ([https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=\\_YQ94jFg\\_4A&t=173](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_YQ94jFg_4A&t=173)  
[https://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/2015/12/10/stephen-yaxley-lennon-describes-the-making-of-tommy-robinson\\_n\\_8747794.html](https://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/2015/12/10/stephen-yaxley-lennon-describes-the-making-of-tommy-robinson_n_8747794.html))

. The Sikh Awareness Society has been discussing religiously targeted grooming since 1998 (<https://sasorg.co.uk/about>). The Mainstream media first mentioned the problem in 2010 ([http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/shropshire/hi/tv\\_and\\_radio/newsid\\_8662000/8662856.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/shropshire/hi/tv_and_radio/newsid_8662000/8662856.stm)), with Andrew Norfolk's investigation starting 2010, first published in 2011 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D7xhNYJV430>). Likewise, Andrew Norfolk declared their own findings to be in accordance with "the far right's dream story" ("innocent white girls, evil dark skinned men"; 3:52), in that it is apparently what the "right" had been reporting. Mohammed Shafiq claimed responsibility (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xZzEm72S5h4>) for exposing these grooming gangs in 2007 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZS3ZgB8PF2M&t=591>).

**Show less**

4 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

The irony is that ADL stands for "Anti-Defamation League".

4 months ago

### **Commented on What Happened Before the Big Bang?**

There is an infinite number of PBS space-time YouTube channels

4 months ago

### **Commented on Renewable sector facing a 'crisis'**

In a 2002 energy exam (physics), we were asked to draw a picture depicting the negative effects/challenges of wind/renewable energy. I drew a picture of a bird flying into a wind turbine. You can accurately infer how many marks I received for this question, along with my

subsequent relationship with the lecturer. Perhaps if he had asked my opinion on modern/universal renewable tech (ie nuclear fusion) he would have received a more nuanced view. It doesn't greatly matter what the first world does beside its investment in fusion research (the developing world requires cheap energy to manufacture/grow). In the future artificial CO2/greenhouse gas producing/emitting "forests" will prevent global warming/cooling caused by both natural and anthropogenic conditions (and these forests will require nuclear power). Note we are living in a relatively hot period/anthropocene whereas ice age minima are closer to the prehistoric norm, and unless we develop a way of warming the earth through the next minimum nothing much will survive. Fortunately, although interglacials typically end around now, this may not be for another 50000 years (orbital coincidences); Berger, A., & Loutre, M. F. (2002). An exceptionally long interglacial ahead?. *Science*, 297(5585), 1287-1288. (<https://science.sciencemag.org/content/297/5585/1287>). Regardless, it is reassuring that considering how concerned everyone is about climate change Google Search understands what an ice age is; <https://imgur.com/gallery/1N8GdFH>

**Show less**

4 months ago

#### **Commented on RISE OF THE THOTS: Belle Delphine's Return | Ep 68**

The irony is that Patreon has banned Faith Goldy, Carl Benjamin, Milo Yiannopoulos, Robert Spencer, Lauren Southern, and Brittany Pettibone; and Instagram has banned Alex Jones, Faith Goldy, Laura Loomer, Tommy Robinson, Milo Yiannopoulos, Gavin McInnes, James Allsup, Avi Yemini, and Paul Joseph Watson for supposed 'hatred'. Whoever is responsible for the social media censorship clearly doesn't understand moral psychology.

<https://science.sciencemag.org/content/316/5827/998> (

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-new-synthesis-in-moral-psychology.-Haidt/18556068c4e5fe850961ad52a4c66a10cee3cbd0>

)

**Show less**

4 months ago

#### **Commented on Maryam Namazie on Islam, Tommy Robinson and Grooming Gangs**

33:30 "And the Christian Right for example killed the MP here in Britain; Jo Cox, or for example attacked the mosques in NZ". This is incorrect - but knowing this would be difficult because they have effectively outlawed speech in the UK. Read the source material

4 months ago

#### **Commented on The Political WEAPONIZATION of Phobias**

All of the marxist isms and phobias are psychological warfare/propaganda (see 1984). They are designed to invoke a desired behaviour without making an argument. Note there may be a difference between how certain distinct fears have been encoded in our DNA/RNA. In the case

4 months ago

#### **Commented on Why MEN Like YOUNGER Women: The TRUTH About AGING & Dating**

14:10 - "and our husbands - who we've built our lives with - are still going to love and cherish us once we get all wrinkly"; technically it depends on how much time they reserved for their

husband when they weren't. Which is another reason why marrying young is especially important for females; it is not just their prospective fertility that counts (this desire is

programmed evolutionarily), it is also the time they have spent with (benefiting) someone else, when their sexual market value was even higher. At a cognitive (as opposed to affective) level, humans desire equality in relationships. If a male is expected to grant all his resources to a single female as they age, then the female is (subconsciously) expected to have granted all her "resources" (fertility) to a single male as they age. This is one of the reasons why the institution of marriage was created (it evolved cross-culturally, independently/convergently) - it is not an emotional toy that can be modified at whim, but an essential tool in guiding/establishing long-term relationships between dimorphic male and female Homo sapiens (whose sexual characteristics have been evolving for 1 billion years). Without social direction (ancestral wisdom) towards this end, their offspring were left untended by the father (having typically been seeded through short-term engagements in which a comparatively higher genetic quality male offers no long-term resources, or a female offers no long-term fertility/exclusive nurturing availability). A social debt is created which can never be paid, except through war/conquest. All large scale societies that rejected (dishonoured) this institution died out through natural selection. We are currently witnessing real-time this population replacement in the west. Obviously things are more complicated; there is more to human value than evolutionary resources and equality with respect to them, and there are other critical social issues affecting fertility rates (e.g. mass sexual addiction to virtual prostitutes/objectification, athletic negligence, the belief in the sustainability/relevance of the human job market etc).

**Show less**

5 months ago

#### **Commented on Soph BANNED: The Youtuber They Don't Want You To See**

She knows what she is saying - watch her interviews. Including the censored ones on infowars.

5 months ago

#### **Commented on Noah Carl on Race, IQ and Academic Freedom**

This is a triple A interview.

5 months ago

#### **Commented on How We Create Problems for Ourselves**

There is a serious typo in the translation (subtitles) of this video; "related with differences" was incorrectly translated as "highlighting differences". The Dalai Lama said it correctly himself.

The full text reads: "Existing education, not adequate. Existing education, very much oriented about material value. So [at] young age children, say 5, 6, 7 year old children, they don't care about nationality, about religious faith, so long [as they] play together, smiling together, [they feel they are the] same. Once we join school, then the school teaching not much teach how importance of our emotion, inner peace, just material values. Then, related with [NOT:"highlighting"] differences now on the basis of nationality, religious faith, or these things, then creates problems. That creates, you see, a strong attitude of 'us' and 'them'. That is also problem."

**Show less**

5 months ago

#### **Commented on END of a Free Hong Kong BEGINS**

Why did YouTube demonetise you? Censorship of thought is weird.

5 months ago

Commented on a private video

I think the relevant distinction is between short-term and long-term pleasure. The average age of a human is ~80/82 years. That is a lot of deferred wretchedness for the sake of some addiction. Not to mention there won't be enough children birthed to sustain civilisation (their greater genetic lineage). We need to be wary of cognitive dissonance especially with respect to those who prioritise anything above truth; they may be attempting to increase their sexual market value by normalising themselves/their past, or because the mental pain would be too great to bear admitting the mortal consequences of their error (and that of those who should have helped them).

**Show less**

5 months ago

**Commented on Hope Not Hate SUED by Jewish woman for LYING**

Any man whose sole concern is eliminating hatred is likely to be a sexual deviant.

5 months ago

**Commented on Ted Cruz's Opening Statement on Big Tech Censorship**

What a great speech. A simple solution would be to ensure that where a predetermined algorithmic bias has been applied by a big tech platform (effective monopoly), they must provide users with an "algorithm on"/"algorithm off" option for all results/recommendations. Furthermore, there cannot be deplatforming of citizens on any monopolised infrastructure, electronic or otherwise (this solves the foreign terrorist propaganda problem; as they are under no obligation to show this outside of its country of origin - and local authorities can deal with domestic threats of/calls for violence).

**Show less**

5 months ago

**Commented on Scientists Confirm Shape and Composition of the Universe**

Note a possible English translation/communication error similar to [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t00pfJnEt48&lc=Ugyxuk\\_kLdkitoD-h5Z4AaABAg](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t00pfJnEt48&lc=Ugyxuk_kLdkitoD-h5Z4AaABAg); [8:30] "dark energy.. and is responsible for what is known as the Hubble Constant. This dark energy is literally the reason why everything in the universe is moving apart from each other." Dark energy is considered responsible for the acceleration of the universe: the continued/increasing rate of expansion, as opposed to the steady deceleration (reduction in expansion rate) we would see otherwise due to gravitational attraction.

**Show less**

5 months ago

**Commented on Opinion: If the Dalai Lama is a sexist, the #MeToo mafia has gone too far**

We need to have pity on a lot of these western women; they suffer PTSD from sexual abuse. #metoo isn't the problem however. The real reason they came after the Dalai Lama was

because of his comments on European sovereignty; "Europe, for example Germany, cannot become an Arab country.. Germany is Germany" [26/6/16], "Europe belongs to the Europeans"

[12/9/18], "Keep Europe for the Europeans" [27/6/19]. This upsets those who would maintain wealth/power/safety by 'selling out' their ancestral homelands.

**Show less**

5 months ago

**Commented on**

**Lindsay Shepherd BANNED From Twitter For Calling Transwoman "Ugly Fat Man"**

What was the point of Jack Dorsey coming on Joe Rogan? He needs to correct this.

5 months ago

**Commented on The Crazy Reason Why I'm Being Investigated By Austrian Police**

I hear that Osama Bin Laden bought Colgate toothpaste.

Recca Lewis: Really?

5 months ago

**Commented on Tommy Robinson 'Guilty' Until Proven Guilty**

It is good to see someone whose judgments aren't dictated by Islamic terrorism.

6 months ago

**Commented on "THE TRUTH" - A Revolutionary Act - Tommy Robinson's Court Appeal**

Cui bono? (To whom does it benefit)

6 months ago

**Commented on RECREATING BELLE DELPHINE'S INSTAGRAM**

Barbara you are not very good at objectifying yourself (lol).

She passed the test, she is human.. "Depend on it. Your mother survived this test. Now it's your turn. Be honored."... "Why do you test for humans?" he asked. "To set you free." "Free?" "Once men turned their thinking over to machines in the hope that this would set them free. But that only permitted other men with machines to enslave them." "Thou shalt not make a machine in the likeness of a man's mind," Paul quoted. "Right out of the Butlerian Jihad and the Orange Catholic Bible," she said. "But what the O.C. Bible should've said is: 'Thou shalt not make a machine to counterfeit a human mind.'"

**Show less**

6 months ago

**Commented on Episode 53: Solo -- On Morality and Rationality**

Morally right/wrong is equivalent to logically right/wrong. Eg assuming we value ourselves as a sentient agent, and we believe in the existence of like others, it is inconsistent to treat another different than how one expects to be treated by others All things being equal

6 months ago

**Commented on Free speech - why we've lost it**

"Hate speech" as defined is communist/globalist propaganda. It has no conceptual validity in the context of mature adults wishing to talk to each other and express their opinions.

6 months ago

**Replied to a comment on True Friendships Must Be Anti-Fragile (THE SAAD TRUTH\_929)**

The causal factor here is interpersonal threats from opportunistic males pursuing their non-consensual [type B] short-term reproductive strategy: rape and/or manipulation. Notice how the "SJW" left correctly do not distinguish between these because they refer to the same underlying reproductive strategy. That is, without the hypergamous/genetic inequality tradeoff typically found in voluntary [type A] short-term reproductive encounters between comparably low status/quality females and high status/quality males. (Only in the convergent long-term reproductive strategy is genetic equality mutually desirable, where male commitment to resource provision sufficiently match the opportunity cost of pregnancy). Evolutionary theory plus observed dimorphism would also predict the following (hypotheses worth exploring);

- 1) overt socialism should be consistently (cross-culturally) higher in human females than males (i.e. self-reported provisions for outsiders/foreigners and low status males who have less cultural/genetic allegiance and must therefore be deterred from engaging in STRS type B - one cannot risk been seen by their prospective threats as being unkind/antisocial towards them).
- 2) overt socialism should positively correlate with the level of sexual autonomy desired by individuals/groups (as this positively correlates with prospective interpersonal threat).
- 3) sensitivity to social decoherence threat (and therefore overt antisocial behaviour) depends on individual differences in sexual market value; i) physical attractiveness (local/absolute genetic quality) and ii) fertility.

**Show less**

6 months ago

**Replied to a comment on True Friendships Must Be Anti-Fragile (THE SAAD TRUTH\_929)**

@Michael Thibault precisely

6 months ago

**Commented on True Friendships Must Be Anti-Fragile (THE SAAD TRUTH\_929)**

For evolutionary reasons, antisocial behaviour is more difficult for females.

6 months ago

**Commented on HOW TO MAKE YOUR INSTAGRAM LOOK COOL**

Males have evolved to be attracted to the perception of intent to be a sexual object (because it was associated with imminent reproductive opportunity); which is the fundamental stimulus of ~~nonrandom~~ Outside of the context of sexual relations the signal is a lie of course

6 months ago

**Commented on****Explorer Modes - The Most Interesting Unanswered Question in Evolutionary Biology | Bret Weinstein**

The question of adaptive mechanisms of macro-evolution has been on my mind since first studying natural selection, and it is great to hear it articulated by an evolutionary biologist. I would like to learn potential genetic/biological mechanisms behind evolutionary experimentation ("intentional"/adaptive divergence), which presumably serve to safeguard the primordial/base genome against extinction. For example, diploidy/sex (enables the build up of

recessive mutations that are adaptive only when expressed in combination with others; ie complex traits, cf <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature14419>), transposons, epigenetics, etc.

**Show less**

6 months ago

**Commented on The Fermi Paradox | Bret Weinstein**

This inconspicuous video might be one of the most important on YouTube.

6 months ago

**Commented on Demonetisation! Where we're at now..**

FYI Alphabet this is the kind of anomalous behaviour which makes people think it is a good idea to break up otherwise benevolent monopolies.

6 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

"Mental health" generalisation is a propagandistic method of hiding social disintegration for the utopian state. Onward through the institutions.

6 months ago

**Commented on I got kicked out of my apartment for being conservative**

<https://m.imgur.com/L4AD52H>

6 months ago

Commented on

**Rebel Media DENIED entry to Canadian Embassy by Prime Minister's Office | Kean Bexte**

If there is any function of a constitutional legal system in a western democracy it is to prevent instances like this from occurring. The suppression of a media from reporting on politicians is totalitarian incursion #1 Any Canadian who still values civility/order needs to act to protect

6 months ago

**Commented on My Youtube Channel Will Probably Get Banned, Youtube Is Purging Channels**

If this new demonetisation/purge is for real, all prominent centrist/libertarian YouTube creators should create a syndicate and sue the New York Times for defamation. The NYT's negligent editorial team can make up for their losses

6 months ago

**Commented on If today's articles existed in the 1950s**

This is so cool

6 months ago

Commented on a private video

"you alone are responsible for the outcome" - someone needs to inform the relevant parties that funding high level social interventions provides no guarantee of success (conservative probability estimate; ~10% on average) - human psychology is too complex to be manipulated long-term. In fact it might just create the monster one is attempting to avoid.

Anakin Skywalker: Sometimes I wonder what's happening to the Jedi Order. I think this war is destroying the principles of the Republic.

Padme Amidala: Have you ever considered that we may be on the wrong side?

Anakin Skywalker: What do you mean?

Padme Amidala: What if the democracy we thought we were serving no longer exists, and the Republic has become the very evil we've been fighting to destroy?

Anakin Skywalker: I don't believe that, and you're sounding like a Separatist.

Padme Amidala: What this war represents is a failure to listen. Now you're closer to the Chancellor than anyone, please, ask him to stop the fighting and let the diplomacy resume.

Anakin Skywalker: Don't ask me to do that.

<https://getyarn.io/yarn-clip/e1cacbd7-e3a2-494c-8191-b39ac6d909bd>

**Show less**

6 months ago

### **Commented on Youtube Has Censored My Video About Censorship, Yes Seriously**

It is probably a legal complaint from Pinterest to YouTube about the original Project Veritas expose' footage. Until the complaint has been resolved they are remaining cautious.

6 months ago

### **Commented on Black Pigeon Speaks Channel has been Banned**

People only censor others when they have lost an argument.

<https://i.imgur.com/L4AD52H.png>

7 months ago

Commented on a private video

Great example of a productive debate/demarcation of ideas. I found two issues in Mark's argument worth critiquing. Firstly [1];

1. It is assumed that ethnocentrism for any group (including the Jewish people) is OK and natural.

2. Then why are Jews criticised for "shilling for Israel"? Moreover, they have been historically suppressed (for 1000+ years) by the west so there is nothing wrong with helping them out.

3. When Mark says "not all Jews", nuance of which deserves praise, he doesn't include Ezra Levant in this. Yet Ezra Levant appears to be a perfect example of an objective (non-hypocritical) Jewish person. And there are many others like him defending the west and its values.

Summary:

- Hypocritical subgroup A (promotes western culture/population decimation but not their own) supports C (Israel).

- Non-hypocritical subgroup B supports C.

- Support for C is irrelevant to the hypocrisy of a subgroup.

Secondly [2] (previously articulated);

1. It is observed that the Jewish population is overrepresented in the control of a large number of institutions (media, cinema, music, academia/scientific achievement, etc).

2. Yet there are other non-conspiratorial explanations for this observation (for example, intrinsic population intelligence differences).

**Show less**

7 months ago

#### **Commented on The Candace Owens Show: Imam Mohamad Tawhidi**

Great Interview. Candace the far-left were inanely blaming you for NZ because the attacker cited you in his manifesto as an inspiration (dark humour; he probably sees your association with conservatism/etc as a threat to ethnicity) According to the manuscript the actual shooting

7 months ago

#### **Commented on Paul Weston - Why The British Police Bow To Islam**

Hi Paul, make sure you upload everything to Bitchute. We need a complete record of the censorship.

7 months ago

#### **Commented on We Now Know How the Universe Will Probably End - The Big Rip**

Note the English translation/communication of our universe's expansion (vs acceleration) appears to be slightly misleading (00:37-1:35); "Now before we start I actually have to kind of briefly tell you about the dark energy first of all we don't really know what it is we know it's something that keeps pulling things apart in the universe because for the past 20 or so years since the discovery of dark energy we realize that the vast majority of stuff in the universe is actually moving faster and faster and faster apart from from everything [so in other words if I were here and you were about let's say a million of light years that way you would be moving away from me but if you were two million light years away from me you would be moving away even further and faster so in other words the universe seems to be actually expanding faster and faster] and also accelerating its expansion and that's something we didn't really believe it first but then the math seems to suggest that it's true according to some of the more recent calculations about 72% of stuff in the universe is dark energy". What is described in brackets [] doesn't imply accelerated expansion (ie doesn't require dark energy), just constant expansion; Hubble's constant of expansion. Without dark energy we would witness slight negative acceleration (deceleration), but we would still expect near constant expansion - ie the statement in brackets [] would still hold: "let's say a million of light years that way you would be moving away from me but if you were two million light years away from me you would be moving away even further and faster", and we have recognised this to be the case before the discovery of dark energy (ever since Edwin Hubble). This is a nice illustration of constant expansion; Simulation of the Big Bang and expanding universe (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WGUBt-vNFC8>)

**Show less**

7 months ago

#### **Commented on Scientists Discover That Our Galaxy Is Full of Water Worlds**

The video recommends Titan, shouldn't it recommend Europa/Enceladus?

7 months ago

#### **Commented on 3 Moral Dilemmas That Will Test Your Character**

Nice comeback. The median value of female life is higher than the median value of male life (evolutionary consequence); which is why males are traditionally sacrificed in conflict. Not all males are required to satisfy the maximum reproductive capacity of the population/tribe. Similar logic applies to children versus adults, enhanced by the reduced resources to maintain (feed) them. Here are some dilemmas for utilitarianism; a) If human beings are worth more than puppy dogs, then shouldn't we surrender to a more intelligent and sentient lifeform upon encountering one? If not, why not? b) If we could bring peace on earth if only it involved keeping a tortured child locked in a basement for the rest of its life, would we do it? If not, why not?

**Show less**

7 months ago

Commented on

**Muslim rioters ATTACK Tommy Robinson voters with bottles, bricks in Oldham | Jessica Swietoniowski**

Jessica you/Rebel should consider sourcing some protective gear

7 months ago

Commented on **I Have Been Betrayed And SMEARED By Someone I thought Was My Friend**

Latest research (examining the distribution of GI genetic markers across populations); Dunkel, C. S., Pallesen, J., & Kirkegaard, E. O. (2019). Polygenic scores mediate the Jewish phenotypic advantage in educational attainment and cognitive ability compared with Catholics and Lutherans. *Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences*.

Piffer, D. (2019). Evidence for recent polygenic selection on educational attainment and intelligence inferred from GWAS hits. *Psych*, 1(1), 55-75.

<https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2019-03287-001>

<https://www.mdpi.com/2624-8611/1/1/5>

**Show less**

8 months ago

Commented on **Israel and The Virtue of Nationalism | Yoram Hazony | POLITICS | Rubin Report**

11:20; "in the book I actually argue that this is a biblical ideal"; Faith Goldy argued the same thing (<http://youtube.com/watch?v=QRJ-cbZ93B8> - 4:50)

8 months ago

Commented on a private video

In natural environments (where our emotions evolved), polyamory only works in polygynous environments (due to pregnancy/parental investment), and polygynous environments were only sustainable in eras of constant war (high male death rates). It does not appear to be an adaptive strategy even in artificial environments (contraception/abortion), as monogamous civilisations (those with high moral standards) outcompete promiscuous ones. Perceived long term partner value is a function of fidelity, and fertility rates are a function of perceived long term partner value (all modern western societies are currently below replacement levels, sustained only by the "diversity" of less/yet corrupted immigrant cultures). If for example a partner has intentionally given themselves away to another at a time of peak/higher sexual market value (which for a female is almost certainly in her past), the incentive to remain with that partner (reciprocate commitment) decreases, reducing the probability they will sustain a

long term relationship necessary for development and care of multiple offspring. Human beings have also, albeit more recently, evolved to value themselves as sentient agents (~ego), which makes monogamous intentions the most idealised in a partner. Sexual addiction can play with these priorities; ie the expectation of stimuli indicative of sexual receptivity/dominance (an expectation which has become increasingly conditioned with artificial stimuli).

**Show less**

8 months ago

#### **Commented on My Fiancé Has Been BANNED From The USA**

Only a government infiltrated by communists/fascists/foreign agents bans the free movement of western citizens (TR-au, GM-au, MY-au, BP-uk, LS-uk, MS-us). Censorship doesn't work, it just creates dissension (it like ad hominem is a form of psychological terrorism). People who truly respect freedom of speech (as opposed to abominations thereof; e.g. pornography) will gladly donate to persons whose freedom of speech is being curtailed, as a matter of principle. Yet it would appear the media once again is struggling with logic: terrorist donates to organisation != organisation donates to terrorist.

**Show less**

9 months ago

**Commented on**

#### **Cambridge University's Vice-Chancellor Responds to Jordan Peterson's Case (THE SAAD TRUTH\_877)**

If only the faculty of divinity had denied university association to anyone who had ever said hi to a person who had mocked their confabulated category of having an irrational fear of

Christianity then people would take them seriously. While lecturing across 100 thousand seat

9 months ago

**Commented on a private video**

The crucial question is whether one is honouring their future long term partner with such behaviour. Do they really want to enter old age with someone who has slept around? Or if they get married, why not just ditch them for someone younger (or if the system has been sufficiently gamed, for profit)? Consider the possibility sexual addiction (prior use/abuse, overstimulation, and competition for attention) is driving demand for short term relationships. When a male is offering no resources their standards lower, resulting in genetic inequality in coupling, and a female memory of having been used. This is assuming they were so naive as to think we have not evolved multiple mating strategies, one of which favours the crossing of high quality males with relatively low quality females, and in which it is adaptive to ignore their face and objectify them.

The ultimate critique of short term strategies being that every civilisation which did not nourish the long term strategy created inefficiencies (single mothers) and was subsequently subdued by its more competitive neighbours. We know this because no such societies survived natural selection, and ours is in the process of replacement. It is worth noting some extraneous factors; #1 The female gossip network which holds every liberal society together has been redirected towards promoting population suicidal ideology (Marxist dissatisfaction in the forbearance of their ancestors and the consequent erasure of natural hierarchies). #2 The western male is now

constantly primed with the illusion of female receptivity through the commercial presentation of the sensitive female reproductive area.

**Show less**

9 months ago

#### **Commented on 4 Views of Human Nature in Chinese Philosophy**

[16:07] It is true to say good/bad require something written into the structure of reality to distinguish them objectively. Yet reason itself necessitates right and wrong (and we have to assume humans are capable of such or any proposition is meaningless). Decisions/thoughts can be based on consistent deductions from reality or inconsistencies; the disregard of information based on impulse/negligence or error from ignorance/falsehood. An example of such information might be the strong possibility human beings have like minds (ie no p-zombies), and so it would be contradictory to treat another different to how one would expect to be treated under the same internal/genetic+external circumstances.

**Show less**

9 months ago

#### **Commented on Reasons shootings like Christchurch will happen again**

Great analysis.

9 months ago

#### **Commented on**

#### **Finger Diversity and Fluidity - Let's Stop Focusing on the Number 10 (THE SAAD TRUTH\_861)**

Base 10 is discriminatory.

10 months ago

#### **Commented on Critiquing a Feminist Article Against Sex Dolls**

I think as women hit their fertility wall outside of marriage they see how undervalued they really are by a materialistic society. If they (or their virtual actors) have invested entirely in sexual appeasement, males become conditioned to expecting this. Certainly if they have ever manipulated others for unreciprocated attention, disrespect will cumulate in the opposite sex. Although I haven't read the article, I agreed with most of the quotations. Dehumanisation is not healthy for an individual or society, and indicates a serious breakdown in gender relations. Civilisations which reject the adaptations upon which they are founded (proprietary and marriage), those enabling the suppression of short term mating strategies/instincts in favour of long term ones (partnership/fidelity), do not survive natural selection in a competitive world. The money borrowed to fund the disparate offspring generated from high genetic quality males and comparatively low genetic quality fertile females eventually runs dry, and can no longer be extracted from rogue males. The fertility rate falls below 2. This is assuming the electorate hasn't covered its morally unsustainable tracks with population replacement, introducing breeding partners who trade up on (racial) success.

**Show less**

10 months ago

#### **Commented on Youtubers CENSORED after Far Left Fake News Hit Piece?!**

The CNET article may be correct; "From Feb 15 onwards, that number [of alt-right

recommendations] dropped [from 7.8%] to 0.4%". The purported change would likely only apply to recommendations of users who are not subscribed to such channels. I am not subscribed to anyone but regularly receive recommendations from a number of such channels, and so have been able to retrospectively test a subset of four real "alt-right" channels from the Data & Society report, with respect to videos published 15 Feb 2019 - 1 March 2019. Note Tommy Robinson content is not "alt-right" (according to its most broad definition; having a preference for racial preservation), so there is no reason why he would be affected by the purported change. From my test I have found anecdotal evidence of this change:

#1. The last 'alt-right' videos I have watched from 3 of these channels were watched before 15 Feb (10, 13 Feb).

#2. 5 out of 5 'alt-right' videos that were published by 3 of these channels after 15 Feb were never recommended to me (they would usually be).

Interestingly however, videos from the 4th "alt-right" channel that explicitly contain "alt-right" were not censored - all bar one of their videos published after 15 Feb were recommended to me.

**Show less**

10 months ago

### **Commented on FOBO: The Plague That Kills Relationships**

a) This an excellent review of FOBO. The problem with FOBO is that it is a positive feedback loop. Once we cycle once, we are more likely to cycle again. Humans aren't made for cycling other persons (at least cognitively; at the level of ego or self-respect, evolutionarily recent cortical development). First serious breakups often create depression. Likewise, the probability of a female's relationship lasting is correlated with the number of prior sexual partners she has had. A reasonable hypothesis for this being; a female's sexual market value peaks at a young age, meaning it is almost inevitable that she had a higher evolutionary value at the time she had given herself away in a prior sexual relationship. Not only does her ability to offer herself as a valuable object to a future partner diminish over time, but if she has voluntarily given herself away to a prior partner she (subconsciously) retains knowledge of this gift, and does not feel as adequate in a future relationship. There is however a confounding factor where if a female only ever experiences one (1) sexual relationship she might think another better (FOBO).

b) Short-term and long-term mating strategies have co-evolved for males and females. Yet the description "low quality" of short-term relationships differs for men and women. Males have evolved to seek reproductive opportunity through numerous short-term encounters with comparatively lower genetic quality females (requiring negligible resource investment and reproductive opportunity sacrifice on their behalf, compared to the females; pregnancy, rearing etc). On evolutionary time-scales for Homo sapiens, the ratio of females to males that have successfully reproduced is 2:1 (average). And during the early anthropocene (<10000 years ago) the ratio of females to males that have successfully reproduced is often as high as 10:1. Females likewise have evolved to seek short term relationships with extremely high genetic quality (alpha) males, assuming they live in a socialist community which can provide them resources for the inevitable bastard. Note for a female this is a "low quality" partner from a non-genetic personal perspective (assuming they were deluded into seeking a long-term relationship through means of carnal seduction, as opposed to her voluntarily accepting insemination from a stud).

Proprietary (clothing) and marriage have co-evolved cross-culturally (universally) to suppress activation of this short-term mating strategy in favour of the long-term mating strategy (life-long partnership of matched genetic quality). Despite contemporary ingenuity, the function of clothing and marriage is not fashion and feelings (although beauty often coincides with material constructions, and feelings often coincide with the establishment of a partnership). The perversion of these social adaptations triggers in males short-term mating strategies based not on genetic quality (match), but preconditioned only on fertility (the only requirement being a satisfactory body for copulation, with the face necessarily ignored). Every civilisation in history that did not develop these adaptations died out through natural selection (and many today are in the process of dying out through the same means). Sacrificing these adaptations lowers the fertility rate (resources are not willingly redistributed from rogue males to genetically unrelated offspring) and destabilises the population (making it uncompetitive with respect to its neighbours).

c) A scientific term for non-economic value judgement is "sacred values". Treating others as transactional is fundamentally dehumanising, assuming individuals have dignity. Yet we often must (at least implicitly) offer some level of commitment in order to get to know another. For this reason, healthy relationships develop in stages.

**Show less**

10 months ago

#### **Commented on Women May Be DRAFTED Into The Military in MAJOR Court Ruling**

Being male does not grant one the title man (their gender is conditioned upon initiation; the willingness to self-sacrifice for the evolutionarily higher median value sex). Drafting women is not the kind of thing a planet wants to normalise even without hand to hand combat. A nation

10 months ago

#### **Commented on Panpsychism and Logos**

Non-reductive physicalism (property dualism) conflicts with metaphysical naturalism (i.e. ateleological ontologies of nature, e.g. atheism) because it posits an assignment of non-empirical (i.e. its existence cannot be denied by objective observation/measurement) mental properties (i.e. internal observer/qualia/non-physical consciousness) to arbitrary physical systems (i.e. human neural networks/brains). Yet under the current scientific paradigm, physical systems are assumed to operate perfectly fine on their own, according to (either probabilistic/deterministic; irrelevant) physical law (cf. computer/philosophical zombie).

1. Panpsychism is a resolution to this problem (see also; "overdetermination"). Panpsychism however is limited by the "combination problem". As discussed, there is no reason why some ~conscious low level particles or "quiddities" should combine into a greater conscious unity/centre of consciousness (mind) and not others. This is where information processing models of consciousness mind might make more sense (in that this delineation might be defined by the level of information processing complexity occurring in a physical system). Simulation theory is also helpful in this regard, but it like substance dualism pushes the problem of creation (emergence/ instantiation) and function (behaviour) of mind back a layer.
2. Under any non-substance dualist philosophy of mind (including naturalistic panpsychism and property dualism) non-physical consciousness can't evolve, or provide any adaptive advantage to the system. If it say operated on the indeterminism of nature at a lower (quantum) level, we would be able to detect (measure) the physical events as behaving according to a pseudo-

random probability distribution; this would break the precise probabilistic predictions of nature (quantum mechanics) in a way that follows some discoverable pattern.

3. Metaphysical free will could theoretically operate on such lower level physical (observed/measured) randomness, as per Robert Kane's 'free volition', because for metaphysical free will to exist its predictions are by definition unpredictable (observed random). There is however no clear reason for a conscious agent to reject any given desire in favour of a competing desire, assuming their mind (including desires and memory) are defined by the (probabilistic) physical system. A compatibilist would argue; what other reason is there for an agent to make a decision if not reason itself, which is a deterministic process (logic). Likewise, it is not clear how such a system of free will could be implemented practically, as neuronal systems are not obviously subject to quantum indeterminism (they are fairly macro and rely on ionic/molecular transfer/flow).

4. One possible "function" (philosophical) of non-physical consciousness under indeterministic interpretations of quantum mechanics (Copenhagen interpretation) might be to collapse the probability wave function (see "mini-collapse" as opposed to decoherence). Yet again, one might ask why or how would it do this, and if the prerequisites for its existence (instantiation in the universe) are defined by the physical system these prerequisites may as well be responsible rather than non-physical consciousness itself.

Under nominalism, what we perceive as a unity of particles/particulars (according perhaps to some universal category or "universal"), could be an adaptive method of discriminating between regularities in emergent phenomena (pattern recognition). In this sense an object is not necessarily more than the sum of its parts, just a productive and reliable method of categorisation of how these parts are interacting with each other and producing the observed high level phenomenon. Nominalistic reduction of nature to this extent is however a problem for conscious unity (mind), at least how we perceive ourselves - as objectively singular and unified centres of consciousness. In that our existence is perceived to be whole and real (I think therefore I am). We have certainly evolved to believe in ourselves as unified agents - see self/other directed theory of mind - and our qualia/experience convey this. Individual conscious experiences (e.g. of some qualia in the field of view/awareness, say a red/moving point of light, or concept, say a dog) likewise are thought to be encoded across vast/multiple neural networks (and not localised to particles or individual neurons). Again, this pertains to the combination problem.

**Show less**

10 months ago

**Commented on RE : "The Real Tommy Robinson"**

Precisely. Where there is no free speech the terrorists have already won.

11 months ago

**Commented on Joe Rogan Experience #1236 - Jack Dorsey**

Jack Dorsey appears to be a nice and reasonable person, the kind of character you would want to have on a team. The fact he was not aware of the partisan censorship (or even the specifics of the high profile anti-intersectionality takedowns) implies it would not have been productive to push him any further on the issue at the time. I thought this was a great conversation, as was his conversation with Gad Saad. It does however indicate a deficiency within twitter management, who are responsible for identifying the different ways in which their platform is

being gamed. For their platform to survive they need to deal with the continued biased and targeted censorship of social critics.

For example, it cannot be overlooked that a large number of prominent/public figures banned on twitter have been critical of Islam (Gavin McInnes, Tommy Robinson, Alex Jones, Milo Yiannopoulos, Carl Benjamin, Laura Loomer, etc - the latest martyr, Apostate Prophet: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MbFY-xZgJ6s>). It appears that some of their employees have difficulty differentiating between people and ideas, and should either be made redundant or given help learning English. The protection of any religion from criticism is unacceptable, and indicates the success of Islamic terrorism in infiltrating western civilisation.

I agree with Jack in that people should be able to follow (twitter)/subscribe to (YouTube) hashtags.

**Show less**

11 months ago

### **Commented on The Real Tommy Robinson Episode 2 Criminal convictions**

Why is YouTube censoring this video? source: <https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=0YjZAwqchj0>

11 months ago

### **Commented on Urban Liberal Admits Racism, Shame of race mixing**

According to google; racism (n): prejudice, discrimination, or antagonism directed against someone of a different race based on the belief that one's own race is superior. - the belief that all members of each race possess characteristics, abilities, or qualities specific to that race, especially so as to distinguish it as inferior or superior to another race or races. Under the dictionary definition preservation\* of racial diversity (population level average differences) is not necessarily racism. Likewise, cross-racial selection is a real phenomenon; both cultural and biological traits can be preferenced (e.g. #1 national traditionalism and lack of body hair emulate a more compliant and fertile/youthful female partner, e.g. #2 national wealth and height emulate a more competent and strong male partner).

\*Underlying scientific argument open to debate:

Complex traits often involve both additive and non-additive effects. The probability of population specific (i.e. adaptive) non-additive effects being maintained in a gene pool when mixed with a new population not featuring these alleles after  $x$  generations is low (where  $x \sim 10$ ). Assuming i) selection for these traits has ceased (i.e. those possessing the trait are not breeding in greater numbers than the rest), and ii) the non-additive effects rely on mutations at  $y$  loci (where  $y \sim x$ ). The probability of any individual retaining the non-additive effects after  $x$  generations is  $\ll 1/2^y$ , because reshuffling occurs at multiple locations on the genome (for every new generation). If we take a complex trait like intelligence, the genetic contribution is  $\sim 50\%$  (conservative est), while the additive genetic contribution is only  $\sim 25\%$  (single nucleotide polymorphisms, including here rare variants). This implies that the remaining 25% of the genetic contribution is non additive; it either involves a) gene-gene interaction (see epistasis), or b) gene-environment interaction. Effectively, in the case of at least a), some coded functions (protein assembly guides/genes or contextual expression guides/"junk-dna") specific to the expression of the trait require more than a single nucleotide polymorphism (and/or environmental condition). After  $x$  generations of reshuffling of the genetic material from two (or

more populations), where only one initially possessed the non-additive effect (and assuming it possessed the effect near unanimously across its members), the probability of any given individual retaining this effect is low. Assuming again i) and ii). Thus the maximum expression of the trait will be primarily determined by the additive effects (<https://www.nature.com/articles/nrg.2017.104> / <https://europepmc.org/articles/pmc5985927>).

The philosophical question should be;

1. which traits are genetically distributed across human populations ("races")? [Note intelligence appears to be but may not be one of them; we will know this as soon as the researchers cited in the above review decide to collect and publish racially specific statistics].
2. do these rely on non-additive effects, and if so to what extent do they rely on a) gene-gene interaction, and ii) mutations at y loci?
3. do we wish to preserve them?
4. what are the underlying genetic motives for answering yes/no to 3 (how will it affect the propagation of our genes personally)?

**Show less**

11 months ago

#### **Commented on Joe Rogan Experience #1009 - James Damore**

The irony is that James Damore was the least "sexist" of all of us. He was the only person in the company to underestimate the distribution of neuroticism (sensitivity to negative emotion), conformity and empathising in the human population and the intellectual terrorism it enables

11 months ago

#### **Commented on US diplomacy 101: Accuse, make threats, stay deaf to counter-arguments**

The Cold War is over. So what if Putin doesn't want his nation turning into a liberal hell hole. End all stupid and dangerous conflicts. #friendswithrussia

11 months ago

#### **Commented on Rick Sanchez: Cold War is back on**

Perhaps without our socially depraved mainstream media we could all be #friendswithrussia?

11 months ago

#### **Commented on BuzzFeed and Others FAILING Because They Are Clueless**

Interesting proposition; "Great minds talk about ideas [semantic memory], large minds talk about events [episodic memory], and small minds talk about other people [limbic system/ad hominem]". An equally interesting question is whether there are gender differences (averaged) in semantic versus episodic memory processing, as seen for example in stereotypical female conversation content (people) and occupational choice (law/medicine) - are these real (genetic) or an artefact of reproductive motivational frameworks (environmental)?

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.3758/BF03211324>

**Show less**

11 months ago

#### **Replied to a comment on Perpetual Motion From Negative Mass?**

I had the same thought: intuitively, wouldn't the negative and positive mass effects on space-time cancel themselves out (assuming same magnitude). meaning no force between them?

11 months ago

### Commented on The Humans That Lived Before Us

Denisovans +1

11 months ago

### Commented on Did I Harass a Trans Person?

intellectual terrorist: a person too immature or incompetent to be admitted to a university without turning their faculty/workplace/government into a religious cult.

11 months ago

### Commented on The Incel's Angst With Female Appearance Manipulation

I have never seen anything wrong with makeup (and I don't think it results in sexual use; only bodily modification/presentation has the potential to encourage this). Yet any manipulation of appearance for the sake of obtaining (inevitably short term) relationships with higher quality males (or similarly, any manipulation of behaviour by males to obtain short term relationships with a plethora of lower quality females) results in a surplus of second/etc hand females, which decreases their value as a long term partner (having given herself away to another person at her peak sexual market value). If this reaches the point where they are unmarriageable, or cannot on average raise more than a single child with support from the father, the population dies out.

The confounding and I would argue more fundamental problem in this context, is that women are now encouraged to seek higher quality males (and manipulate their sexual attractiveness to this end, regardless of makeup) in response to the normalisation of mass virtual prostitution, in which males become accustomed to inseminating higher quality females in their minds. This leaves females without the natural affections/adoration/competition generally directed them by the male community (now a zoo of stimulated jerks), and a feeling of being valued only for their sexual attractiveness. Not helped by mainstream publications either; physical appearance while a genetic/fitness precondition only goes so far in a 60 year relationship; long-term happiness requires virtue.

The only issue I had with the analysis was the plastic surgery, as this creates permanent (potentially undetectable changes) in their inferred phenotype and thus inferred genotype. It messes with evolution (long-term partner selection), and is the pinnacle of deception.

**Show less**

11 months ago

### Commented on Facebook Kicked Me Off Mid-Stream & BANNED ME! Wow...

"He accepted everything. The past was alterable. The past never had been altered. Oceania was at war with Eastasia. Oceania had always been at war with Eastasia."

11 months ago

### Commented on Babies In New York Have To Die So This Can Happen In 2020

That is a lot of dead baby girls - they don't care about women, they only care about themselves and their next orgasm. It looks like the governor has a problem with object permanence.

11 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Claims of "supremacy", "phobia" and "ism" are psychological warfare (they can switch the definitions to their extremes at any time). Never negotiate with intellectual terrorists. Only respond to argument

11 months ago

**Commented on Parents OUTRAGED as TransWoman Seen Showering in Women's Room**

The parents should sue their state (and federal) government for negligence. If a government wants to enable gender non-conforming privacy they should mandate independent disability rooms for all public toilets/showers

11 months ago

Commented on an unavailable video

What if the democracy we thought we were serving no longer exists, and the Republic has become the very evil we have been fighting to destroy?

11 months ago

**Commented on Why Does The Far Left think Muslims Support LGBTQ Issues?**

If schools were capable of teaching objectively about gender, race, sexuality etc then they could theoretically do so. Yet;

1. This is not equivalent to providing ideological (eg Marxist, religious, etc) indoctrination on how students should respond to this education (ie how their culture should be constructed).
2. The nature of gender, race, sexuality are not school textbook level topics; they are extremely complex phenomena and should be reserved to post graduate level research in/with the hard sciences (minimum prerequisite knowledge; evolution, genetics).
3. Basic principles of student behaviour/etiquette do not require contextualisation with respect to arbitrary variability/aberrations. Discipline should be impartial to the motives of the assailant.

**Show less**

11 months ago

**Commented on Tulsi Gabbard: Religious bigotry is un-American and must be condemned**

In the modern west most bigotry is areligious (intolerance towards those who hold different opinions from oneself).

11 months ago

**Commented on My Experience with the Jehovah's Witnesses...**

Carrier has developed a pretty good deconstruction of Judeochristian tradition;

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=bQmMFQzrEsc>

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=rDt7wc5nn7F>

11 months ago

**Commented on In the Land of Toxic Masculinity, the Cuckold is King (THE SAAD TRUTH\_834)**

(at school)

child #1; "what does your Dad do?"

child #2; "he's a jerk"

child #1; "what does your Mum do?"

child #2; "she's a jerk too - wait I think she might do graphic design or something on Tuesdays"

teacher #1; "hate speech! don't you realise that polygamy is a normal and healthy expression of mammalian sexuality? Much to learn young ones.."

teacher #2; "I think we should report them to the American Psycoprogressive Association - they seem to think human psychology should not abide by the social norms of the annum"

**Show less**

11 months ago

#### **Commented on Quantum Physics, Feminism, and Intersectionality (THE SAAD TRUTH\_832)**

There is obviously a Sokal 3 going on which none of us are aware of. The entire department should be drug tested. If this is not supposed to be poetry it is the hallmarks of insanity.

11 months ago

#### **Commented on Leftist's OUTRAGE Mob Turns On Her Ending Her Career**

Tulsi Gabbard will soon learn the hard way: never negotiate with terrorists.

11 months ago

#### **Commented on How Hollywood viewed america in the 50s Pleasantville**

I think the colour is supposed to represent reality. Your analysis supports the hypothesis that progressivism is just a fantasy created by immoral men to maintain a fresh supply of sexually available young women. If they were still virgins in high school how would they feel worthless enough to have intercourse with random guys throughout their 20s? The only problem is; why would any guy then marry them for anything other than intercourse? And so what is the probability of the relationship surviving? This is borne out in the research which suggests a woman's marital success rate is inversely proportional to the number of guys she has slept with. Bonds require love, and love requires virtue (sacrifice).

**Show less**

11 months ago

Commented on a private video

viral level performance

11 months ago

#### **Commented on LGBTQ Statement by Tulsi Gabbard**

Why apologise for not wanting to change the definition of something? Moreover, it is a thousand year old institution - statistically, is it more probable that our ancestors were well adjusted or that we are well adjusted? Compare virtual/prostitution rates. Marriage evolved because any civilisation that didn't institutionalise it died out. This suggests a convergent solution to a universal (ie biological) problem. There is nothing extreme about a society prioritising long term mating strategies guaranteeing pregnant women a future, and children a mother and father.

The only difference now is that we believe we are able to artificially divorce sexual intercourse from pregnancy. The native fertility rate demonstrates otherwise. The desire to create a family

and remain true to their ageing partner requires life long sexual fidelity. Traditional societies respected the sacrifices young men and women make to choose this path by honouring this choice, from the clothing they wore to the laws they instilled. All other considerations (eg emotion) are secondary to its function. A dissociation of marriage from its origins on hypothetical moral grounds could well be indicative of extremism. There are certainly more healthy ways of achieving love than to engage in propaganda.

**Show less**

11 months ago

#### **Commented on Can Reason Prove We Have a Soul?**

Some minor technical notes (00:40);

- "mental is over and above the physical" - this definition of substance dualism assumes a specific definition of "physical" only used in philosophy of mind. It is treating "physical" as an overarching substance which may or may not contain mental properties (note the "physical" in "physicalism" also asserts this definition). What is referred to as mental here (mental properties/1st person) are however over and above the physical when taking the word physical in an empirical (scientific) context, as the physical universe by definition only comprises empirically measurable observables (the scientific method only has access to physical properties, and it makes no assumptions regarding the existence of an overarching "physical" substance potentially encapsulating both mental and physical properties).

- "it is not reducible to the physical"; this is a prerequisite of substance dualism though it is not its definition; cf property dualism, non-reductive physicalism. I am sure you will unpack this in a future video.

**Show less**

1 year ago

#### **Commented on Life is unfair**

People should study evolution and the different motivational frameworks (encoded mating strategies) activated in men and women. In males; long term resource sharing, short term opportunistic (with lower quality females), and rape (cf drugging). In women; long term resource/time sharing, short term opportunistic (with higher quality males), and cuckolding (cf socialism). Civilisation has evolved to suppress all but one of these (long term reproductive partnership). Marriage is a cross-cultural universal (as is clothing for extra-kin tribes), suggesting that civilisations which rejected this strategy were unstable and died out eons ago.

The viability of a modern civilisation that rejects this principle as normative is unclear. Artificial contraception/abortion may appear to allow sexual freedom of exploration, but the personal and interpersonal psychological consequences of promiscuity haven't been rigorously tested. Clinical research captures only an extremely local picture of social consequences (years to decades, not generations to centuries to millennia), and its evaluation of personal consequences is confounded by their rapidly changing environment. Sexual selection on the other hand has been operating for a billion years, and it has produced expectations and desires in the sexes that are, quite literally, impossible to ignore. For females; if they distribute themselves at a time of high/peak sexual market value, what are the implications for the faithfulness of a future husband to their children (when he might be able to produce countless other families with more fertile/available women). Likewise for males, what are the implications for a female's preferred mating strategy, when she can be offered no guarantee of faithfulness

in a partner (the rise of virtual/prostitution correlates positively with the rise of female promiscuous hypergamy). There is balance in nature, but it often operates not at the level of individuals but of groups. Evolution does not tolerate inefficiency; short sighted populations have been constantly replaced for hundreds of thousands of years.

**Show less**

1 year ago

### **Commented on Oven Chip Advert Attacks the Traditional Family**

We would expect there to be just as many non-practicing Jews as non-practicing Christians. There is nothing inherently Jewish about destroying the nuclear family - they practically invented it or at least this is Jesus' interpretation (also a Jew): "for this reason one flesh" As  
1 year ago

### **Commented on Social Justice and Far Left Ideology Is Corrupting Science**

I think right-wing science denial has caused more systemic problems than left-wing science denial. Right-wing science denial has caused a failure to integrate our knowledge of nature (evolution) with traditional morality. The western family is collapsing as a consequence. Likewise, in pleasing such persons, it has caused a downplay of evolution in favour of social constructivism and the generation of atheoretical frameworks of human cognition/behaviour. Left-wing science denial is an artefact of interest groups exploiting this evolutionarily barren psychology literature.

**Show less**

1 year ago

### **Commented on a private video**

Completely agree with your analysis. The trade of human bodies is akin to slavery while exploitive of abused women (no little girl grows up wanting to be a prostitute), and the first amendment only covers words (neither would the forefathers wish it to be misappropriated to non-communicative material). One confounding factor however is mass sexualisation in culture/media; males have become addicted to sexual stimuli, and it will become increasingly difficult to take this drug away. Addiction is not a good condition for long term relationships, as witnessed by the dissolution of the family/marriage. Ultimately, a solution is going to depend on a better understanding of human desire and the manipulation of signals indicative of sexual receptivity in the environment of evolutionary adaptedness. It might start by beginning to appreciate that clothing is a cultural universal for large scale civilisations, and we remove it at our peril.

**Show less**

1 year ago

### **Commented on DRAG QUEEN KIDS: Stop ruining children's lives!**

Where are the psychologists?

1 year ago

### **Commented on a private video**

The best way to think about sexual relations/modesty is to think about how a future partner would have liked one to behave. Depreciation is not just an economic phenomenon. Neither is it gender specific. How much engagement with (virtual) prostitutes does one think their wife can

tolerate in a man? "Mommy?, Daddy?" If you are struggling with sexual addiction install an adblocker, retune your YouTube, and learn to forgive others - research the social/corporate pressures pushing your own/opposite sex to degrade themselves.

**Show less**

1 year ago

### **Commented on Tucker Boycott, Sargon Ban Prove Social Justice Is Just A Cover**

I think there is a false equivalence presented at 0:50/3:50 (first made <http://youtube.com/watch?v=dhCp4KbJAXw>); there is a significant difference between Sargon's use of critical language (ad hominem) and Sarah Jeong's. SJ (presumably) pretended to racially slur a group (X), where as SOA used a word that is commonly used as a racial slur in a novel context to make a point (Y). X implies Y, Y does not imply X. Moreover, a) based on its target audience (non-black/etc), it is nonsensical that the language used by SOA could be applied as a racial/etc slur, and b) based on the beliefs being critiqued in the context (socialism in general, alt-right/national socialism in particular), it is nonsensical that the language used by SOA should be inferred to retain its prototypical generalisations. It is far more likely that he was directly responding to written harassment unseen by the audience, and he took the liberty to use the English language in any way he pleased (ie sarcasm/irony). Despite what logophobic propaganda wants us to feel, human language is symbolic rather than indexical - the projection of information about a spatio-temporal target is not necessitated by words (words can be used to discuss/critique concepts independent of their instantiation in the universe).

**Show less**

1 year ago

### **Commented on Debunking The Narrative Of Right Wing Radicalization On Youtube**

I think the idea of radicalisation through exposure to uncensored speech only makes sense when ignoring the creeping shift of the base line "norm" (as defined by the MSM). They have redefined religion, gender, marriage, race, sexuality, etc (according to their socialist ideology), and so anyone who rejects these categories becomes viewed as radical. A cultural shift is only as stable as the strength of its arguments (cf <https://youtube.com/watch?v=R1R8yrEGAgw>). If they are concerned about a shift in actual (violent) "hate crimes" due to exposure of uncensored speech, then they should focus on these; religious terrorism (e.g. islamic), racial terrorism (e.g. national), gender terrorism (e.g. incel), sexuality terrorism (e.g. institutional), etc. Specifically, what evidence is there that someone becomes proactively violent through exposure to such ideas? A deontologist (principled) would argue: the only way to deal with such actual 'radicalisation' is to encourage freedom of expression, i.e. exposure to debate (centrism/heterodoxy). Censorship is like kicking the can down the road. A utilitarian (pragmatic) would argue: does the risk of exposure to such ideas outweigh the benefit of exposure to their criticism (by centrist/nuanced debate)? I personally think the principled and the pragmatic align: What are the implications of engineering human thought based on utilitarian outcome rather than a respect for truth (human reason); how well does human psychology respond to covert censorship? Historically, social manipulation by authorities results in revolution. Humans love freedom of thought, and they hate being controlled. Let an idea live or die on its own merits. Such philosophy is enshrined in the US constitution.

It is ironic that those granted freedom through the arena of ideas are now (almost immediately)

~~seeking to shut down debate. This doesn't reflect well on their integrity. Furthermore, it is~~

seeking to shut down debate. This doesn't reflect well on their integrity. Furthermore, it is possible that the actual 'radicalisation' (terrorism) we see is a warning signal to deal with frustrations resulting from social incohesion/incompatibility, and that it is not reflective of the actual levels of violence capable of the species should such change continue. Unless we listen to people and deal with the content of their arguments, history is destined to repeat itself.

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Here are two good German documentaries on the Venezuelan crisis that were disappeared from their YouTube channel soon after being published (

<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCW39zufHfsuGgpLviKh297Q>);

Venezuela - Escape from a Failed State (DW Documentary) -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1lyeybE8qSs> (mirror:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pXmDYM0TdYQ>)

Venezuela - On the edge of ruin (DW Documentary) -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo> (mirror:

<https://www.dailymotion.com/video/x6kh7br>)

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on **Two Girls Face JAIL Over Refusal To Make "Same-Sex" Art**

This is a great way to start a civil war. Just put up a sign saying you only make wedding cakes for traditional western weddings (opposite sex), it has nothing to do with refusing service to people. The problem is an artefact of a non-consensual redefinition of the word marriage.

1 year ago

Commented on

**SCOTUS Defends Planned Parenthood as Kavanaugh and Roberts Join the "Liberals"**

If abortion of sentient humans (as inferred by our best understanding of the neural correlates of consciousness) is legal then parental murder in general should be legal. It is more humane to let their families fight it out than to take out sexual addiction problems on innocent baby girls. Abortion is by the way a more serious humanitarian crisis for atheism, because there is no reason to assume sentience (mental properties) magically pop into existence in the late stages of pregnancy. Under naturalistic assumptions, it is far more probable that sentience is an inherent property of physical reality, and emerges therefore in much less complex systems (including animals bred for consumption). Social tolerance of an institution whereby a human child is oft decapitated for the poor choices of 12+ year educated individuals (conditioned by their surrounds to live in fantasy worlds) implies cognitive dissonance and conformity over ethical rigour - it is the pitfall of utilitarian thinking; the greater good.

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on

**Youtube Bans Gavin McInnes for "Copyright Infringement" a Day After Glenn Beck Fires Him**

I have speculated that the increasingly blatant biased deplatforming is orchestrated by covert populists (Hungarians included) who like to create martyrs. Their totalitarian actions are not going to be forgotten for hundreds of years. Either that or they are morons who think Hitler liked

freedom of speech. Anyone who thinks they can successfully suppress thought in the 21st century clearly knows nothing about technology or human psychology. And if you can't challenge someone intellectually they have already won, you are just delaying the inevitable. The probability of socially engineering some propaganda and having it not backfire in your face or create a leviathan is minuscule - this is the universal lesson of history. People who attempt to game systems and manipulate others rather than reason towards mutual agreement might spread their genetic material for a generation or two, but their regimes never last.

If social media giants are concerned that the populace is no longer believing their mainstream media narrative, this is probably a better reflection on how out of touch with reality it has become, than in some inherent evil in the technology. Humans are actually unusually rational animals, and if they are all complaining about different things, chances are they all have at least one problem that requires fixing (left, right, religious, areligious, etc). But if dictators shut them up, who will uncover a solution? How many lives will be lost in the delayed struggle back towards freedom to discuss such problems openly and objectively in the arena of ideas?

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on My Chat with Physicist Alessandro Strumia (THE SAAD TRUTH\_809)**

Is intelligence negatively correlated with courage? This appears to be a pretty important question to investigate.

1 year ago

**Commented on WATCH what happens when I confront Aussie #FakeNews in London**

The NPC News doesn't like to be questioned Avi, still awaiting a response on their publicly funded Australian Story about the beauty of brothel ownership.

1 year ago

**Commented on**

**Tim Cook Should Spare us his Crocodile Tears About the "Hateful" and "Violent"**

Tim Cook needs to study morality rather than ban people from talking about it.

1 year ago

**Commented on Do Women Fit Well In Politics?**

The only people who truly fit well into something is if there is a reason for them to be there. So one could imagine a hypothetically corrupt civilisation with the only saints willing to speak truth to conformity being female

1 year ago

**Commented on a private video**

Too right Barbara - they really need to distinguish between sexual prejudice (thought; not necessarily aware), discrimination (enacted prejudice), harassment (intentional discrimination; manipulation) abuse (physical manipulation; victim not necessarily aware) or no negative

1 year ago

**Commented on Lord of the Rings is Racist**

Elrond: "But in the wearing of the swift years of Middle-earth the line of Meneldil son of Anárion failed, and the Tree withered, and the blood of the Númenoreans became mingled with that of  
1 year ago

**Commented on Alex Jones Is 'Voldemort' Because The Left Hasn't Read Other Books**

The greatest trick Lord Voldemort ever pulled was to convince the world he was Alex Jones.  
1 year ago

**Commented on**

**Laura Loomer Banned from Twitter for Criticizing Religious Zealots and Child Abuse**

So is the definition of far-right now being against female genital mutilation, terrorism, gang rape, paedophilia, sexual slavery, polygamy, and globalism? As creatively manipulative as the propaganda is becoming they should really study the concept of backfire: (of a plan or action) have an opposite and undesirable effect to what was intended.

Top 5 Google News results for "laura loomer":

"Twitter bans far-right activist Laura Loomer for Islamophobic tweet" - Mic

"Far-right activist Laura Loomer is banned from Twitter after attacking" - Daily Mail

"Twitter Permanently Suspends Far-Right Activist Laura Loomer" - TheWrap

"Laura Loomer banned from Twitter" ("Far-right activist Laura Loomer") - WND

"Twitter, Facebook ban far-right activist Laura Loomer for violating rules" - The Statesman

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on**

**My Chat with Pete Boghossian - On the Grievance Studies Project (THE SAAD TRUTH\_788)**

Feminism is a self protection mechanism against being unwanted in a sexually addicted society. The only way to break the illusion is by offering hope found in being genuinely valued as a person. Those responsible for threatening the academics responsible for revealing the  
1 year ago

**Commented on LEAVE VICTORIA'S SECRET ALONE!!**

Victoria didn't have a secret - it is a lie invented by perverts. Intelligent women don't need models to look after themselves and avoid gluttony.

1 year ago

**Commented on LABOR CANDIDATE CALLS POLICE ON ME**

The problem Avi is that you are too Australian, try using Newspeak.

1 year ago

**Replied to a comment on**

**Paypal Deplatforms Bitchute, Bending to Pressure from Busybodies and Lamestreamers**

If they are going to engage in textbook fascism, it could be most accurately put using Styx's favourite four letter word.

1 year ago

**Replied to a comment on**

**Paypal Deplatforms Bitchute, Bending to Pressure from Busybodies and Lamestreamers**

There is a much more explicit version of the hashtag.

1 year ago

**Commented on Freedom of Speech in the UK: The Grenfell Bonfire**

Does it cause stress and anxiety to risk suggesting that pedophiles (a group) should be hated? What is the point of creating nonsense laws if they are not universally applicable? If someone thinks others are being unduly hated or incited to hatred then they should learn to formulate an argument. All lexical censorship does is to crystallise instability and forge an underground (it certainly will not diffuse hatred). Don't let the mother country fall to socialist despots. Fascists--including national socialists--restrict freedom of speech (create silencing squads and force corporations to censor people). A free people speaks their mind in the arena of competing ideas.

Or if the example I chose is not hate-speech, who defines hate? Chances are it will soon be our worst nightmare because only weak and immoral persons seek power to control others. Perhaps if the modern west did a better job at not depicting humans as animals for sexual consumption, they wouldn't feel the need to control others' speech. Have they lost faith in the potency of truth and the weakness of error because their integrity is compromised? Laws restricting distress and hatred might have worked in an era where there was a common culture and it upheld morality, but this is precisely the time where contrarian speech is needed most.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Should the Right Embrace Identity Politics? | #BLEXT & #Walkaway**

@LaurenChen @TimPool @IDW; regarding right-wing identity politics, what do you think of this method to make the alt-right redundant? We all get our DNA sequenced and encrypt it in an underground archive (cf Svalbard Global Seed Vault). If globalisation is successful and this radically reduces human biodiversity on the planet (nullifying 20k+ years of divergent evolution; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R1R8yrEGAgw>), compromising speciation and exposing the majority group to pathogens, our progeny will have the option to repopulate their environment with racially diverse clones.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on**

**Youtube Bans Gaming Channel for Killing a Suffragette in RdR2, Then Reinstates It**

I think these kinds of videos--depictions of killing/assaulting non-violent individuals, or depicting individuals as wanting to be used--are in fact dangerous left unchecked/unchallenged; with respect to psychopaths taking content literally, or misconceptions being created about the humanity of individuals (dehumanisation). YouTube should rather than banning the content however challenge them to livestream a public debate. If someone has the inclination to depict killing/assaulting a despised (or annoying) individual or present them as wanting to be used then we should know why they despise them or see them that way and force them to argue

their point under public scrutiny. Because if the video is popular chances are other people share

their sentiment. Emotions like anger or frustration need to be expressed and discussed rather than bottled up. In the case of depicting individuals as wanting to be used (pornographic), we might find that the content creators are liars, which would be pretty useful information for the public.

Note the defence that depictions of male to female violence are equivalent to depictions of male/female to male violence is based on an ideological belief in symmetry between gender. Gender is in fact asymmetrical, complementary. This explains why women (feminists) can call for the killing of men, but not the reverse (because it violates a natural asymmetry based on the disproportionate value of their gametes). It might also explain why females can subsume traditionally masculine roles (combat/hunting/leadership) without losing their identity but the opposite is not necessarily the case (nurture/cohesion/beautification). It may also explain why the titles male and female are not symmetrical (one is a superset of the other). Likewise why feminism is tolerated but masculinism is abhorred. It certainly explains why we expect the opposite gender to behave and desire in ways that make sense to us.

**Show less**

1 year ago

#### **Commented on Girl Scouts Sue Boy Scouts For Allowing Girls... Uh Wat**

Equality is an unbelievably complex problem; gender is not symmetrical, it is complementary. Freedom is traditionally held as a higher value than equality, because attempts to engineer equality typically fail (of our comrades)

1 year ago

**Commented on**

#### **Jordan Peterson: "There was plenty of motivation to take me out. It just didn't work" | British GQ**

They keep throwing brighter and brighter feminists at JBP. Wouldn't it be wiser to identify those fundamental areas of concern that affect women today and deal with them directly? Rather than espousing some weird desire to be able to kill baby humans under the guise of "reproductive rights", or to force people to pay them more irrespective of the fact their race is dying out while some women still choose to nurse children. Surely these only exist because women feel sexually or intellectually threatened? It might be that women's bodies are more exploited today than any time in history, and it has nothing to do with a patriarchy. It might be that women's intellects are discarded today more than any time in history, and it has nothing to do with a patriarchy. It might be that the average male now fornicates with at least 3 virtual prostitutes per night and this has nothing to do with a patriarchy. Could it be that we have become addicts in a sexually saturated and manipulative society?

I have never heard the feminist thesis on patriarchy expressed so clearly. What a poisonous minefield. No wonder why MGTOW and the like are becoming radicalised into maleism. Do feminists really believe this stuff, or are they only looking for a desired reaction (a redirection of attention away from their appearance to their innermost selves)? They should check such wild expectations for male grace (lack of appreciation of the top down control required to maintain a gentleman) is not just a mask for female supremacism.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Science Is Starting To Prioritize Social Justice Over Truth**

The scientific method enables objective observation of the universe - and science therefore is neutral to opinion/ideology (there is only good and bad science). If a scholar doesn't cite someone then there was a flaw in the editing/review that led to the original publication. Applying "reverse discrimination" is not going to help, but just further corrupt the literature. The character of a scientist likewise should have no influence on whether their scientific findings are cited (unless the veracity of their method is in question). Moreover, if a paper adds a questionable interpretation of the data based on an ostensible bias, then a new author should critique that interpretation in their own work by providing an alternate, viable interpretation of the original result. Finally, to discuss ideological terms (racism/sexism etc) without qualifying precisely what is meant by them in a scientific context suggests a lack of rigour, and the possible projection of a philosophy in their reasoning (eg blank slate hypothesis).

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Women Want Love, Men Want Respect?**

Interesting theory. I operate on the assumption that women need respect; respect for their qualities that uphold and strengthen their virtue. Men definitely need respect and appreciation; this relates to the origin of the word 'gentleman', because males are not in their natural environment gentle but are socialised to this end. Men need affection and love in support of this specifically human attribute of their sex (in the context of a relationship). Likewise, men pity disrespectful persons such as female supremacists (or equally divisive malists). It is assumed they have suffered some kind of a bad experience, or have never known a man. Although men accept differences in natural/evolutionary value between the sexes (female gametes and by extension their bodies are worth more), male capitulation to group disrespect is not characteristic of man. It operates on a moral licensing psychology wherein they are implicitly granted certain rights to objectify women in their minds as long as they pay them in some form (whether it is resources, validation, adoration, etc). Real men don't buy into this cycle; it hasn't existed for very long. Men will naturally grant such attentions to virtuous women, but the only reason they will do such for the unvirtuous is if they are getting something in return (or are guilt ridden).

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on A Chat With Shlomo Gordon**

Jesus was a jew. Critique judaism all you like, but anti-semitism as a western philosophy is retarded.

1 year ago

**Commented on****European Court of Human Rights: Do NOT Insult the Noble Religion (THE SAAD TRUTH\_765)**

The only explanation for an exception to criticism being granted to an arbitrary religion is cowardice.

1 year ago

**Commented on**

### **A Day of Reckoning - 1 - Sam Harris, Eric Weinstein, Bret Weinstein, Maajid Nawaz, Douglas Murray**

[85:10-89:25] The advantage of the IDW is that they don't propagate predetermined dogma, but respect the content of argument. Any ideological closed mindedness with respect to the importance of biological diversity in human evolution would be damaging to the intellectual

1 year ago

#### **Replied to a comment on From Women To Men**

I get the vibe (

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vwKLTVCJn6Q&lc=UgxFmHa1KDoTq0SVrN14AaABAq> / <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vwKLTVCJn6Q&lc=Ugw5Sk5YGfUpqORY0OV4AaABAq>), but will check it out preconditioned upon the detection of a minimum level of respect for the opposite sex. Nations have borders for a reason. Societies have cultures for a reason. If women aren't mating with half the population then they should blame their ancestors, not women - they are adapted for hypergamy. Clothing/proprietary evolved because societies that could not manage the stimulation of male/female instinct died out. Marriage evolved because societies which could not manage the consequences of sexual relations (the subsequent drain on female psychological+physical resources) died out. And a democratic society will most certainly die out if we start to blame a sex for our problems. It is an unbelievably immature and ineffective approach to a problem. If people wish to start blaming women for their problems then they should go read a tribal religious text. This is not a western tradition. And it never will be.

**Show less**

1 year ago

#### **Commented on I Smell A Rat with the Clinton, Obama, Soros, CNN Explosive Device Story**

Alex Jones on infowars has been expecting a false flag for the last 4+ weeks and claimed this was one of the main motivations for censoring him (so that people couldn't hear him talk about the prospective false flag to derail the midterms). I thought this was crazy, now I am not so sure. You would have to be deranged to attempt to censor a citizen in the 21st century, so a short term political motive (targeted suppression of reporting to influence an election) is feasible.

**Show less**

1 year ago

#### **Commented on From Women To Men**

MGTOW whatever this stands for seems like a beta pipe dream. Ever considered the possibility that you got used because you were using someone else? Males don't deserve anyone - historically men died in combat, and on evolutionary time scales only like 50% of male Homo sapiens successfully reproduced. These women are apologising for things they should never have to apologise for - who encouraged them to seduce men? Why weren't they given enough natural attention to feel loved without sexualising themselves (what ingenious male invention took this attention away)? Why were they abused? I am all for a think tank on gender relations, but if it involves hostility without grace, then there are more fundamental problems to be identified. Forgiveness is predicated on ignorance - forgiveness is necessary because others are ignorant of our own feelings/natural dispositions ("for they know not what they are doing"). Even the fact these women are appearing on camera is an act of kindness - what gives incels

the right to behold their beauty? From where does this lack of appreciation originate? An addiction perhaps has conditioned men to natural female value.

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Any takers on the half-life of a civilisation that bans speech by political candidates?

1 year ago

**Commented on Study Shows Conservatives Outnumber Progressives 3 to 1**

A serious caveat for this study is that in the primary survey they they didn't define political correctness for the participants, so we can't be certain that they all understood it the same way (e.g. wrt free speech absolutism). "One obvious question is what people mean by 'political correctness'. In the extended interviews and focus groups, participants made clear that they were concerned about their day-to-day ability to express themselves: They worry that a lack of familiarity with a topic, or an unthinking word choice, could lead to serious social sanctions for them. But since the survey question did not define political correctness for respondents, we cannot be sure what, exactly, the 80 percent of Americans who regard it as a problem have in mind." (

[www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2018/10/large-majorities-dislike-political-correctness/572581](http://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2018/10/large-majorities-dislike-political-correctness/572581))

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Evolution, Psychology, and Religion with Dr. Gad Saad (THE SAAD TRUTH\_754)**

"when you explain the nice stuff of humans everybody's quiet, when you explain the bad stuff - 'what a Nazi you must be, you're condoning cheating on your husband or wife' - no I'm not condoning it, I'm explaining that it happens tons of times and let's try to understand why it happens - it's not because of pornography."

I think people get deterred by evolutionarily reductive explanations of human behaviour - there may for example in this context be elements of infidelity that are exacerbated by socio-cultural factors. Pornography use implies infidelity of mind (fantasy), and studies have indicated that pornography is cited as a problem in some ~50% of cases of marital breakdown (NB to what extent is infidelity in other equally modern forms not also a contributing factor?). Such 'virtual' infidelity is a problem for relations because;

1. We are adapted to select a faithful partner (to increase the probability that the partner will provide resources for/conceive our offspring).
2. We have evolved domain general cognitive systems including theory of mind; we have self respect, abstract morality etc. Since infancy we demand human behaviour supports these belief structures (in the significance of self/other as extra-carnal sentient/agentive beings). Thus there are also non-adaptive (exaptative) bases for disdaining infidelity and/or engaging in it out of spite.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Losing Our Voice in the Public Square**

Nationalism enables competition, and competition maintains biological diversity which protects

against extermination of a species. Yet in a modern environment, globalism minimises incentive for a thermonuclear war because human beings are more concerned about  
1 year ago

### Commented on Why the NPC Meme FREAKS OUT the Left

The NPC meme is a commentary on conformity.

1 year ago

### Commented on Why I Reject Indigenous Land Acknowledgments

Someone needs to examine the hypothesis that historic grievance rhetoric causes indigenous disillusionment and suicide.

1 year ago

### Commented on Free Speech Police

Speech cannot be outlawed because totalitarian societies are inherently unstable (who determines what is offensive; and what if this happens to be speech against an infiltrator/dictator/commune). Furthermore, people need to be legally allowed to make sick jokes (speak) such that we can identify sickness at its core and deal with it. If we weren't able to see all the sickness around us due to people's fear of censorship then there might for example exist an epidemic of disintegration that is tearing society apart. This epidemic may not even be caused by speech, but something much more sinister. Contrarily, the only way to help such people is to reason with them, but this necessarily requires respect for reason including its ability to persuade in open debate.

Show less

1 year ago

### Commented on White People Should not Date White People??

The shroud of the dark side has fallen, begun the brown supremacy war has.

1 year ago

### Commented on Tommy Robinson: 'You didn't expose abuse' - BBC Newsnight

Errors in compilation;

1. (00:08) "a villain to many but a hero to some"; the qualitative judgements reported here have been determined by his representation/labelling by MSM. It would have been productive to report conflict of interest (their role in shaping TR as a villain through terminology such as "extremist"/"far right").
2. (00:23) it would have been productive to report why he goes under the alias TR; protection of self/family against political/religious threats.
3. (00:40) selective broadcasting of disturbed TR supporters makes the BBC look biased and negatively affects their reputation with respect to neutrality.
4. (00:36) it would have been productive to report why his sentence was "later quashed" by the court of appeal; because it had over 7 systematic errors in its execution, making it "fundamentally flawed" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s6yjbQye5RM/> / <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JEBdSc8WLY8> [3:00]).
5. (00:37) it would have been productive to report why TR can "still go back to prison"; because two new charges have been brought forth - claims of jeopardising the Leeds trial by reporting

on publicly available grooming gang demographics declared by 3 independent parties - claims which are contradicted by a discussion between the original judge Marson and the CPS (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mV6-EygiQIA> 3:58) after they watched the full livestream post jailing TR (in that it contained nothing to jeopardise the trial; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TTdJaHWvLbk>).

6. (00:47) "these days he calls himself a journalist"; TR has worked for Rebel Media and is currently an independent journalist. He undertook journalistic training with respect to contempt of court at one of the best law firms in the country before being arrested at Leeds court for breach of peace (later "contempt of court" and then quashed by the court of appeal).

7. (1:28) selective broadcasting of unimpressed policewomen makes the BBC look biased and again negatively affects their reputation with respect to neutrality.

8. (1:52/6:50/11:24) "islamophobia" implies irrational fear so it would generally be prescribed after examining the evidence (or making a journalistic case on a broadcast) not before.

9. (5:46) "the majority of paedophiles in this country are white men" - child gang rape/paedophilia (majority "Asian"/Pakistani Muslim) is not equivalent to child rape/paedophilia (majority "white").

10. (5:46) The percentage of "Asians" (Pakistani Muslim) are lower compared to "whites", so we would expect white paedophilia to be much (1 / 1.2m Pakistani/65m total pop x 90% Muslim = 50 times) higher than such "asian" paedophilia all things being equal. One has to examine statistical over-representation of Pakistani Muslim child rape with respect to the base rate (of Pakistani Muslims in the country).

11. (5:50) "go to any Tommy Robinson rally and you would come away with the impression that child abuse is somehow linked to Islam"; a thorough reading of the Old Testament and Quran would seriously assist in the BBC's ability to objectively report on this issue. The bible primarily contains stories/narratives/accounts of events including laws as given to Moses, whereas the Quran primarily contains commands/interpretations/assertions (narration versus dictation).

This lends the Quran to being taken as an instruction manual. Moreover, it repeatedly asserts this as its required interpretation (while providing a method for dealing with contradictions across surahs; abrogation -

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081011212809/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/002.qmt.html#002>  
/

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081019234821/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/016.qmt.html#016>  
. The bible does not codify sexual slavery (with captives of war), yet the Quran can be interpreted to do so (

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081019234836/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/023.qmt.html>  
/

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081106182616/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/070.qmt.html>  
/

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081020003745/http://www.usc.edu:80/dept/MSA/quran/024.qmt.html>)  
. Muhammad had at least one child bride (taken at age 6, consummated at age 9), along with multiple wives and sexual slaves, unlike the religious founder of the Church of England (

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/033.qmt.html#033.02>  
/

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/033.qmt.html#033.05>  
/

<https://web.archive.org/web/20081012204954/http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/066.qmt.html#066.00>  
.

12. (8:00) continuing to interview only critics of TR is not going to improve the BBC's reputation for misreporting about TR.

13. (8:15) "he seemed to be the go to Muslim" - this segment frames an outspoken and unapologetic cleric as exclusively representative of TR's view on Islamic following (it would have been more objective to examine the actual arguments of TR).

14. (10:40) "they are hanging their politics explicitly on an anti-muslim agenda"; this statement is subversive and should be corrected - confounding ideas with people is precisely how actual extremism (dehumanisation) develops. Where politics is involved there is only an anti-islamic agenda. (Note UKIP has multiple unrelated policies so any categorisation of their politics as being dependent on this agenda is questionable).

15. (11:05) "the platforms are been given over to the extremist ideologues" - the only way to prevent actual extremism (which is minimal in the TR movement), is to start reporting on facts neutrally. Censorship will not work as it will just go offline/underground. The solution is more not less information/speech. Similarly, there needs to be greater and more detailed criticism of culture and religion.

16. (11:35) "the people who have exposed scandals throughout the country is survivors contacting the media"; this is of course correct. Yet the "right" (including Sikhs, e.g. <https://sasorg.co.uk/about>) were talking about the Pakistani/Muslim grooming gangs before the MSM or establishment (first reported by MSM 2010; [http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/shropshire/hi/tv\\_and\\_radio/newsid\\_8662000/8662856.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/shropshire/hi/tv_and_radio/newsid_8662000/8662856.stm)). Their coverage was cited by MSM reporter Andrew Norfolk who conducted the first MSM investigation into the demographics of grooming gang assaults. Andrew Norfolk declared their own findings to be in accordance with "the far right's dream story" ("innocent white girls, evil dark skinned men"; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D7xhNYJV430> 3:52), in that it is precisely what the "right" had been reporting. The "right" continue to talk about the problem far more than the MSM, thereby "exposing" the problem to public awareness. Again, it does critically depend on victims to first come forward.

**Show less**

1 year ago (edited)

Commented on an unavailable video

British soldiers are off in far away lands fighting never ending wars, and the reason they are never ending is contained in the very book which is not allowed to be criticised. They wouldn't need to hire Islamic intelligence officers (for which they are presumably censoring criticism) if they had a better grasp of their own history, tradition and morale. Appeasement will fail, and so will proactive violence. The only way to challenge a cult is to take the moral high ground. This includes freedom of speech.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Video Games Or Kids: You Can't Have Both?**

I love the way they have implicitly paired playing video games (base desire for demonstration of fitness by action) with wearing heels and makeup (base desire for demonstration of fitness by appearance) - it would appear advertisers have a better understanding of evolutionary psychology than much grievance studies infected research. What they fail to appreciate is that the specific examples chosen involve simulation of stimuli and are to this extent (of themselves) fake. Perhaps if they had focused on actual demonstrations of evolutionary fitness

(physical fitness, natural beauty, fertility, availability, exclusivity), they would be more engaged with reality and therefore more appreciative of the intrinsic connection between their base desires and children.

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

There is reason to believe a Sharia infiltration is tolerated by a socialist state because at a more fundamental level it is representative of left wing authoritarianism. It makes no class or racial distinctions, idealises global submission, suppresses alternate belief structures, and has a built in welfare state. Its assignment of the female proletariat to human production and willingness to terminate psychological anomalies which threaten uniformity are peripheral. The explanatory power of an unwillingness to confront ideas which pose actual physical threats, enemy of thy enemy, and anti-materialist hypotheses seem insufficient of themselves.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Dude KICKED Pro-Life Activist, Gets Fired For it**

15-Year-Old Punched Out In Front Of Planned Parenthood;

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ai5340EASXU>

1 year ago

**Commented on Tommy Robinson on Sky News**

The fundamental error I identified in the interviewer's knowledge (or logic if he has truly read all the relevant scriptures) was that he is incapable of differentiating between a set of narratives/accounts/letters/etc (Judeochristian texts) and a set of instructions/commands/dictates (Quranic texts). Moreover, the Quranic texts repeatedly reinforce their required interpretation to the reader as the will of Allah (inc synthesis eg abrogation etc). The gospels are journalistic accounts, the letters are just that (letters), the Nevi'im are stories, the Ketuvim are both poetry and stories, and even the Torah/law of the Old Testament (inc Leviticus/Deuteronomy) are presented in narrative form (ie "here is what was said to Moses: ...").

Leviticus 1:1;

The Lord called to Moses and spoke to him from the tent of meeting. He said, "Speak to the Israelites and say to them: ...

Numbers 1:1;

The Lord spoke to Moses in the tent of meeting in the Desert of Sinai on the first day of the second month of the second year after the Israelites came out of Egypt. He said: ...

Deuteronomy 1:1;

These are the words Moses spoke to all Israel in the wilderness east of the Jordan...

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

If the alt-right weren't so anti-semitic people might start to listen to them. The very same "race-realism" used to explain global cultural development differences (i.e. evolved variations in group intelligence) can be used to explain differences in power structures (Hollywood/media

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

Note being "more prone to committing violent crime" appears technically an interpretation of the data not a fact per se. There might be an intermediate variable, for example upbringing.

It is impossible to tell for certain because the tweet was expressed in a reply which has now been censored, so thanks to the brilliance of progsplaining temporal superiority in discarding ancestral wisdom as encapsulated by the first amendment we may never know. How does an individual know that deplatformed persons were not taken out of context? How do we know it was not just an accidental oversight? On what basis should we expect a conspiracy theory not to emerge regarding the existence of illegal libraries (is authority an argument?) This is why the speech of a true citizen (with ancestral claim) should never be censored by their nation. If domestic terrorist propaganda (i.e. speech) is a problem being generated by people without ancestral claim (e.g. Islamic fundamentalism) then we need to immediately correct the immigration and/or citizenship process. But otherwise the only stable solution for internal disagreement is more speech. Authoritarianism is not stable.

The double standards in censorship are by the way unbelievably "racist"/"sexist" - it assumes the uncensored individuals are not intelligent enough to be expected to be objective by virtue of their race/sex.

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on

**Is it okay for the media to label someone racist and then silence them? A Faith Goldy Story**

A communist/globalist propaganda machine must confabulate a new definition of racism at every stage of an ethnic neutralisation. All components of the nation state must be neutralised (religion, culture, gender, ethnicity, etc). Words change or are invented at every stage of the process. When dysfunctional anomalies are detected by the public new words are quickly inserted to suppress all opposition (eg phobia, ist, bigot, etc). Once the public is sufficiently terrorised into accepting the anomaly, the definition of the negatively conditioned trigger starts to shift toward the next desired target state.

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on

**California Passes Suicidally Unconstitutional Law Re: Females in Corporate Boardrooms**

If people think an underlying problem emerges from having a sex specific corporate board then they should clearly and directly identify that problem (e.g. bias x, harassment y, dehumanisation z, etc) through speech, not engage in indirect subversive behaviours like forcing companies to discriminate in their hiring across genotype/phenotype. The moment we give up on communication between social or genetic groups civilisation will fail. Tyranny requires ever

increasing amounts of force to maintain. Good intentions do not necessitate good outcomes. It

is incredibly easy to screw systems up, particularly those that have evolved over 100s of millions of years (e.g. meritocracy).

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

He hadn't even watched the livestream - that doesn't qualify as journalism. There is a more accurate description for an interviewer that lectures to their audience about imperialism.

1 year ago

**Commented on Keeping the Country Together: Should We?**

Although I want the investigation to continue, this is great analysis; the value system of western civilisation has become so divergent that we need to seriously consider creating two substates and giving citizens the choice of living according to their respective values. I have discussed this proposal in the past and the primary criticism is that 1. there is no guarantee the offspring of the communities will share their values so 2. they will be forced to move to the alternate faction. Yet 3. human beings have a strong predisposition to care for genetically related persons meaning this might destabilise both communities. If one faction deteriorates within a generation however and are forced to reconsider their values this might not be a problem.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on I lost all my friends in the culture war.**

You have made a new friend, I have never seen you before. The traditional right and feminism do have a common enemy; mass dehumanisation of women through objectification in the media

1 year ago

**Commented on**

**The REAL Sky News interview with Tommy Robinson before they edited, lied and spread fake news**

If this is representative of the analytical capacity of the propaganda wing of the UK establishment, the UK is conquered land. Post modernism might be safe in an imaginary tea party, but when applied to religious texts it is deadly. There might be an unlimited number of interpretations of a text, but not all are equally valid (internally consistent).

Historically, the word ignorant might have been reserved for those who equate the narrative style of the Torah or Nevi'im, to the poetic style of the first Ketuvim, to the journalistic style of the gospels, to the personal style of the letters, to the dictation-ary/dictatorial style of the Quran. Critically, it is more probable that a scripture with only one author, account, and audience will lend itself to being taken as doctrine - especially when the text itself dictates that this is its purpose, to the exclusion of all other readings. Educated people don't read a story/account the same as a manual. And they don't outsource their scholarly responsibility to foreign agents.

The modern concept of "extremism" as applied to religious zealotry is nonsense. Religions are different - they are not necessarily compatible. Religious zealotry created western civilisation. How many zealots died to bring freedom of speech to the west? Perhaps it will be

the zealots who will save the confounded and terrorised masses from the real extremists and their global equalist agenda. And if we look at history, it is the secularists who surrender first. How did the British become as demoralised as the French? Clean up your society and have some pride.

Of course there may be more socially compatible interpretations of a scripture, but it doesn't mean that we demonise citizens who point out inequalities. Based on this limited sample, there appears to be no correlation between British accent and intelligence, only courage.

**Show less**

1 year ago

#### **Commented on Built not Bought: Comments on New Democrat Messaging**

This may be the most dangerous part of the video; "as long as everybody plays by the same rules" - which rules? The "just and free"? It sounds deceptively authoritarian. Should dehumanisation be legally protected from destruction so long as it is pleasurable to the majority? Does everyone have to pay to kill developing humans? Should doctors be forced to kill them? Does everyone have to respect a perceived identity, regardless of how sick their society is? Should we force both sexes not to exhibit evolutionarily adaptive behaviours of sex x, without forcing them not to exhibit evolutionarily adaptive behaviours of sex y? What about a progressive tax system? Should we ensure that everyone has only x dollars in their bank account every jubilee? Should we disarm the populace because a cultureless civilisation becomes increasingly erratic? Moral societies require very little government. Personal and cultural disintegration necessitates rules, and rules necessarily multiply (because they are never perfect). The USA was founded on a constitution of governmental restriction not enforcement.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on**

#### **What is Authoritarian about Social Justice? A University's LGBT Twitter account Grants a Glimpse**

It is already happening in the UK - people are being locked up for speech.

1 year ago

#### **Commented on Feminist "Me Too" Leader Asia Argento is a Hypocrite**

We should give her a break man - if the claims against her are true, then she is replaying her abuse to ameliorate the pain - very common. Rather than dealing with the horror of reality abuse victims often try to emphasise with the perpetrator (speculating that their behaviour was right and natural), and perceive such desire in everyone they meet. Again, the consequences of (claimed) sexual relations for men/women are not evolutionarily equivalent, so although we need to be careful of reinterpreted events, we need to be particularly attentive to the damage caused by predators. The me too movement is a response to the breakdown of social standards that protected women against abuse (the law was never fit for this purpose) - you should interview Cassie Jaye on her experiences of what led her to feminism.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on an unavailable video**

The persons responsible for bringing forth these charges should be tried for treason.

1 year ago

#### **Commented on Why I Love Australia**

We've got your back Tommy. Rest assured Australia will never become a dystopian nightmare where speech is outlawed. We will defend our land against communist tyrants.

1 year ago

#### **Commented on Steve Bannon debate at The Economist #OpenFutures festival | The Economist**

I found the interview to contain unnecessary ethnoglobalist propaganda, and it is not clear the interviewer was even aware of this. Not everyone shares this doctrine, e.g. Dalai Lama; "Europe belongs to the Europeans" A more neutral approach would have been productive

1 year ago

#### **Commented on a private video**

Sounds like an AI error; you should appeal

1 year ago

#### **Commented on The European Union is Making a Massive Mistake Punishing Hungary**

If Germany developed its own nuclear arsenal they wouldn't need to push an authoritarian EU. The only thing risking a second hitler is the kind of mass censorship currently being promoted by the psychological inepts in power. If you treat a human like a dog it will act like a dog. Stop caring for your citizens or their culture and they will hate you. I thought they would have learnt this lesson already (it's in the primary source material).

Apparently someone has already proposed this;

<https://nationalinterest.org/feature/why-germany-should-get-bomb-28377>. Ideally, denuclearisation would occur globally, but it is not going to happen anytime soon given the subsistence of communism and theocracy. I am not an expert in the field, so there may be a reason why this is a bad idea (e.g. precedence), but given the seriousness of the 'info war' through increasingly dystopian censorship I am suggesting it anyway.

**Show less**

1 year ago

#### **Commented on Women Are Getting Attacked in Gender Neutral Rooms**

We need to consider defunding all arts until its biological ignorance and societal recklessness stops posing a risk to civilians. Anyone with half a degree of knowledge of nature or respect for their civilisation could directly predict the consequences of a forced containment of males and females in private areas. Those responsible for the implementation of such changes without thorough decades long psychological analysis/experimentation should be sued by assault victims for negligence. The law has no mandate to cater for outliers at the expense of the biological norm. Affirmative action in this context is both discriminatory and dangerous.

**Show less**

1 year ago

#### **Commented on**

**EU Wants to Force Internet Firms to Remove "Extremist" Content Within One Hour**

The category extremist content should include laws that suppose intelligent agents to be able to objectively identify and remove all extremist content within an hour. Or if it doesn't, the category sounds pretty arbitrary... they better throw Fahrenheit 451 in there to be safe. They wouldn't want any Europeans using their brains and thinking for themselves - how on earth would they implement their international socialism? There is a lot of real extremist content out there, a substantial proportion of the internet - but few care about dehumanisation when it doesn't serve their political agenda, even if it involves an actual depiction of a human being as an animal.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on****Walk Away Brandon Straka BLOCKED On Facebook For Posting InfoWars Link #WalkAway**

Fahrenheit 451

1 year ago

**Commented on****U.K. "Hate Speech" Delusion: West Yorkshire Police Suggest Criminals Aren't To Blame, Words Are**

Words cause harm/crime - this is the philosophy that will end western civilisation. Words prevent harm/crime; they express both subjective (feelings) and objective truths, allowing people to argue with and eventually understand each other. People who give up on words and resort to force are tyrants. Declaring that words are violence only encourages and justifies people in their tyranny. Etiquette is how to operate a high society comprised of individuals with education, discipline, and a cohesive culture - but politeness is never enforced to prevent harm/crime. When society has become so disintegrated as to necessitate the enforcement of politeness, this is just one of many first steps in the self-destruction of an experimental globalist/Marxist utopia.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on The Far Left Opposes Science Even When They Think Its True**

Note (7:30) it is important to distinguish superiority from intelligence. The claim that high intelligence implies superiority is philosophical not scientific. Intelligence is just one trait that nature selects for depending on the environment.

General intelligence as measured by IQ has high heritability (it is typically measured to be approximately 70% genetic, increasing with age). Measurements of trait heritability differ however depending on the environment in which they are measured, for example in low socioeconomic environments the relative genetic contribution to intelligence differences is much lower; being almost entirely dependent on the opportunity to access education/resources. A significant component of general intelligence as measured by IQ is

environmental/cultural (the Flynn effect suggests that average intelligence has been increasing over the last century). The question of whether average differences in IQ (reflective of actual

general intelligence) across racial groups are genetic is presently unestablished. The reason being that it is difficult to partial out environment differences (for example differential treatment by parents/peers based on the race of the subject and/or the culture of the group). Once the genetics of intelligence differences has been mapped at a molecular level (DNA) it will be possible to answer.

**Show less**

1 year ago

#### **Commented on How CNN Contributed to and Profited Off Of Banning Alex Jones**

Maybe Jack/twitter thinks that Islamic terrorists are conservatives? They don't ban conservatives, they only ban moderates who criticise conservatives like Gavin McInnes, Tommy Robinson, Alex Jones, Milo Yiannopoulos, Carl Benjamin, etc

1 year ago

#### **Commented on WHAT IT'S LIKE TO BE A FREE SPEECH WARRIOR**

One of the most obvious flaws with the argument that prototypical pornography distribution represents free speech is that it doesn't comprise words (in fact the entire product is antithetical to speech as it aims to represent people without speech or where their speech is

1 year ago

#### **Commented on Women is going to PRISON for False Accusation Against Men**

"No, I never said I was sexually assaulted," she said, adding "I never told [the detective] I was sexually assaulted. I told him that something happened in the bathroom at the party with these two guys, that I didn't want to happen." (

[https://lawandcrime.com/live-trials/live-trials-current/nikki-yovino-sentencing/woman-who-lied-about-rape-rolled-her-eyes-in-court-while-being-sentenced/amp](https://lawandcrime.com/live-trials/live-trials-current/nikki-yovino-sentencing/woman-who-lied-about-rape-rolled-her-eyes-in-court-while-being-sentenced/))

. Western tradition dictates that males lead with respect to moral fortitude (outcasting animal behaviours like group penetration) - Ephesians 5:21-33. Regardless of the validity of the philosophy/mythology, this is a natural expectation, because it coincides with our evolved instincts (such as conducive to fitness in the environment of evolutionary adaptedness). We can even measure these responses upon sexual prime (non-conformity/conformity). Whether men are incapacitated in this role or simply malevolent is irrelevant. Whether women are manipulated in this role or simply malevolent is irrelevant. For society to maintain equal expectations of male/female psychology is biologically incongruent.

Reportedly "rolls her eyes in court during victim's statement about how she ruined his life" (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-6091983/amp/Woman-false-rape-claim-gets-1-year-jail.html>)

. Compare the differential value of faithfulness in a long term male/female partner. Males have evolved to value faithfulness higher because they could never be certain of the existence of their offspring. Her prospective value is thus diminished significantly, ignoring entirely the permanent psychological scarring/conditioning of her desires and its impact on her subsequent relationship/s (giving herself away to another at a time when she was most physically valuable; the peak of her sexual market value). Contrarily, polygamy does not significantly detract from the male's evolutionary worth.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Replied to a comment on Proud Boys BANNED, H3H3 Shut Down For Discussing Alex Jones**

@FTNWO; AJ discusses humans as interdimensional beings on Joe Rogan Experience #911 - Alex Jones & Eddie Bravo (<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=UZPCp8SPfOM>); 1:49 to 1:52. As explained by A.I on the podcast, he doesn't explicitly talk about this on Infowars; but there are 1 year ago

**Commented on Consent is a SPECTRUM!**

Rape culture is a typical 'feminist' construct; there is an important truth behind it although the terminology is overtly attention attracting - I am hesitant to call it nonsense because it supervenes an even larger promiscuity problem in which manipulating behaviours/appearances for sexual gains is common place; psychologically/deterministically similar to rape. Regardless, here is the important truth;

Between 30-70% of college aged women have been used (tactile stimulus and/or intercourse initiated without their intention) by a subset of more dominant (or deceptive) males. The pseudo-alpha male doesn't necessarily see it as non-consensual however, as they are responding to (typically visual) stimuli coded for in the environment of evolutionary adaptedness. Following such relations (in particular where post traumatic stress develops), the associated environment will be conditioned/imprinted in memory thereby triggering perceptions of intent in future encounters where none might exist. Likewise, they may start to voluntarily engage sexually with males thereby changing male expectations of women (female desire). Furthermore, it may only become clear as to the devastating nature of their original use once the chemicals have subsided (or once they have explored all available territory at the peak of their sexual market value), and they are contemplating on their value as a future long term partner. There is a cultural problem, but it is not rape per se, but idiocy. If not wilful ignorance of ancestral wisdom, or manipulation by commercial entities.

In this specific case the actions are clearly premeditated (personally it looks like abuse/rape). Perhaps if he had gone to a decent private school he would have learnt that taking advantage of women, sodomy, and domination are all inhumane acts. And for those modern and necessarily enlightened club attendees wishing to continue this education beyond school (apart from ignoring national ethics standards endorsed by unelected ministers and propagandists), they should probably focus on rediscovering styles of dance that do not simulate such intercourse.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Are They Being FORCED To Censor People on Gab??**

Censoring conspiracy theory/religious ideology is counterproductive, it just pushes misconception underground and justifies any degree of truth in the original proposition. People need to be engaged with; although a negative cannot necessarily be proven evidence must be provided that contradicts the original claim. We think what you are saying is insane because of xyz.

In this specific case there may be bad actors that justify the classification of phishing. Counter-terrorism strategies must accommodate appropriately for the distinction between foreign and domestic threat. Attempting to eliminate domestic threats by covert operations or censorship is antithetical to democratic civilisation. Western civilisation is based on reason, and that inherent

to its culture. For such civilisation to stand it must be able to reason its principles to its citizens. And the moment it ceases to discriminate between its citizens and foreign insurgency/infiltration it will suffer the path of disintegration.

Thus, if this collection of text is not phishing but representative of the actual beliefs of actual descendants of the nation then it indicates a need for greater dialogue. National or international socialism does not rise because of the expression of ideas, it rises because of the active suppression of them.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Youtube Bans Infowars (I Condemn This And It Needs to Stop)**

Sexual conservatives ban actions, sexual liberals ban people and speech.

1 year ago

**Commented on Patrick Gower takes on Lauren Southern and Stefan Molyneux**

The national socialists better take it down before it goes viral

1 year ago

**Commented on Women Are Losing Their Femininity**

One reason why femininity has become masculinised (ignoring changes in tech) is because the impression of independence is designed to combat the illusion of objectification - it is a natural consequence of tolerating the dehumanisation of a group

1 year ago

Commented on a private video

What happened to "Venezuela - on the edge of ruin | DW Documentary"? (

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo /](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo/)

[https://web.archive.org/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo /](https://web.archive.org/watch?v=925NuW2PnBo/)

1 year ago

**Commented on New Hampshire - the State Liberals Claim is TOO WHITE**

People who wish to create a single global race (or by their actions determine this) are racist, people who wish to preserve race are not necessarily.

1 year ago

**Commented on Feminism: A Cancer on the West**

yeah I have noticed this trend also. But consider this alternative hypothesis Mark; Jewish feminists are over represented in the public eye because they are disproportionately more intelligent. Also consider the fact their culture is different; the West derives from Christendom, which honoured the Virgin Mary, chastity and celibacy. The West was able to break free from material addiction, or at least as in Frodo's case be willing to let it go. The scapegoat hypothesis has been tried for over 1000 years man, and it isn't needed to explain entropy. It also can't explain all the exceedingly moral Jewish girls. "Feminism" (apart from the inevitable increase in equality; being a byproduct of technology) is a natural response to the public tolerance of the dehumanisation of women (prostitution, pornography, ad infinitum). The roots of the gender

division lie in moral error. Sin metastasises quickly; this is what the first book of the Torah is all about.

**Show less**

1 year ago

Commented on an unavailable video

This would suggest there is a positive correlation between the framing of masturbation as a psychologically healthy behaviour and both liberalism (95-99% of the academy) and atheism. Masturbation is a response to imaginary stimuli indicative of the environment of evolutionary

1 year ago

Commented on

**Meet youngest US woman on death row (FULL VERSION) | A Hidden America with Diane Sawyer PART 4/6**

It is impertinent to ask a sexual abuse victim how they feel about a crime. The judge was surprised that she was cold? Ever wondered how the 50% of psychopathy not attributable to genetic factors develops?

1 year ago

Commented on **Tommy Robinson is in prison and this is why**

Adam Wagner; "If Tommy Robinson was really bothered about getting justice for the victims of the crimes, then he wouldn't have attempted to derail the trial by reporting it in a prejudicial way";

1. The judge who sentenced TR hadn't seen the full live stream;

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UbNWJZMyJz4>

2. TR was careful to use the word "alleged" whenever referencing the accused (unlike other journalists; e.g. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>).

3. TR repeatedly asked the police and crew whether he was allowed to be reporting outside the court (off the steps). The police responded by saying "as long as you're respectful". Note the reason TR was being so careful in this regard is because he was previously charged with contempt of court (of another child gang rape trial).

4. The question TR asked of the accused "how are you feeling about the verdict? Is there any guilt?" mirrors what a prominent SkyNews reporter asked Count Dankula outside the court (implying that it classifies as respectful according to journalistic standards).

5. Compared to the time in which TR was charged with contempt of court, previous hearings being reported on involved verbal harassment of the accused by media/persons outside the court (e.g. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>,

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4wOtot5z7yA>). TR is one of few individuals in the UK yet required to be overtly courteous to alleged gang rapists of young girls.

6. The audience numbers were 10000 during the livestream (the only reason a quarter of a million have now watched it is because the general public have detected a discrepancy in the administration of justice in the case of TR). These audience numbers are similar to those witnessing recordings of previous hearings by independent journalists (e.g.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsMWABPp7eU>).

7. TR's reporting apparently occurred on the final day of the trial when "the jury are making their verdict", meaning it was assumed that the reporting would/could not influence the outcome of

the trial.

8. There is evidence to suggest TR is one of only few journalists in the UK who has consistently and actively cared about the UK child gang rape victims over the decade.

Classifying TR's activities as right wing is disingenuous given that 90% of the child gang rape cases involve accused persons from an Islamic/Pakistani background/demographic (and any reasonable individual would like to know why, irrespective of their political affiliation). A large number of persons were instrumental in lifting the media ban ("blackout") on TR's imprisonment.

**Show less**

1 year ago

**Commented on Evolution & the future - Bret Weinstein & Jamie Wheal**

Rejecting the evolutionary programme is not simple - for evolutionary reasons, this is typically the most difficult thing for an organism to do.

1 year ago

**Commented on Steve Bannon: the full Euronews interview**

Steve Bannon the full Euronews interview (without "propaganda" cutoff):  
[www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZkSALWoiL1I](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZkSALWoiL1I)

1 year ago

**Commented on Generation Identity Facing Prison**

The reason why suppression of free speech grants credibility is because it is inversely correlated with general intelligence.

1 year ago

**Commented on**

**College Free Speech Event Canceled / Tommy Robinson Removed from Speaker's Corner by Police**

Tim should receive an award for neutral journalism.

1 year ago